

WOMEN, BUSINESS AND THE LAW 2021



WORLD BANK GROUP

WOMEN, BUSINESS AND THE LAW 2021



© 2021 International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank
1818 H Street NW, Washington, DC 20433
Telephone: 202-473-1000; Internet: www.worldbank.org

Some rights reserved

1 2 3 4 24 23 22 21

This work is a product of the staff of The World Bank with external contributions. The findings, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in this work do not necessarily reflect the views of The World Bank, its Board of Executive Directors, or the governments they represent. The World Bank does not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, or currency of the data included in this work and does not assume responsibility for any errors, omissions, or discrepancies in the information, or liability with respect to the use of or failure to use the information, methods, processes, or conclusions set forth. The boundaries, colors, denominations, and other information shown on any map in this work do not imply any judgment on the part of The World Bank concerning the legal status of any territory or the endorsement or acceptance of such boundaries.

Nothing herein shall constitute or be construed or considered to be a limitation upon or waiver of the privileges and immunities of The World Bank, all of which are specifically reserved.

Rights and Permissions



This work is available under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 IGO license (CC BY 3.0 IGO) <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/igo>. Under the Creative Commons Attribution license, you are free to copy, distribute, transmit, and adapt this work, including for commercial purposes, under the following conditions:

Attribution—Please cite the work as follows: World Bank. 2021. *Women, Business and the Law 2021*. Washington, DC: World Bank. doi:10.1596/978-1-4648-1652-9. License: Creative Commons Attribution CC BY 3.0 IGO

Translations—If you create a translation of this work, please add the following disclaimer along with the attribution: *This translation was not created by The World Bank and should not be considered an official World Bank translation. The World Bank shall not be liable for any content or error in this translation.*

Adaptations—If you create an adaptation of this work, please add the following disclaimer along with the attribution: *This is an adaptation of an original work by The World Bank. Views and opinions expressed in the adaptation are the sole responsibility of the author or authors of the adaptation and are not endorsed by The World Bank.*

Third-party content—The World Bank does not necessarily own each component of the content contained within the work. The World Bank therefore does not warrant that the use of any third-party-owned individual component or part contained in the work will not infringe on the rights of those third parties. The risk of claims resulting from such infringement rests solely with you. If you wish to re-use a component of the work, it is your responsibility to determine whether permission is needed for that re-use and to obtain permission from the copyright owner. Examples of components can include, but are not limited to, tables, figures, or images.

All queries on rights and licenses should be addressed to World Bank Publications, The World Bank Group, 1818 H Street NW, Washington, DC 20433, USA; e-mail: pubrights@worldbank.org.

ISBN (paper): 978-1-4648-1652-9
ISBN (electronic): 978-1-4648-1653-6
DOI: 10.1596/978-1-4648-1652-9

Cover and interior design: Takayo Fredericks and Fathom Creative, with updates and additional figure design by Sergio Andres Moreno.

Library of Congress Control Number: 2020925440

CONTENTS

vii	Foreword
ix	Abbreviations
1	Chapter 1: Findings
29	Annex: Summaries of Reforms
35	Chapter 2: Women and COVID-19
53	Chapter 3: New Areas of Research
67	Appendix A: Data Notes
83	Appendix B: Economy Data
89	Appendix C: Acknowledgments

Women, Business and the Law 2021 is the seventh in a series of annual studies measuring the laws and regulations that affect women's economic opportunity in 190 economies. The project presents eight indicators structured around women's interactions with the law as they move through their careers: Mobility, Workplace, Pay, Marriage, Parenthood, Entrepreneurship, Assets, and Pension.

Amidst a global pandemic that threatens progress toward gender equality, *Women, Business and the Law 2021* identifies barriers to women's economic participation and encourages reform of discriminatory laws. This year, the study also includes important findings on government responses to the COVID-19 crisis and pilot research related to childcare and women's access to justice.

By examining the economic decisions women make throughout their working lives, as well as the pace of reform over the past 50 years, *Women, Business and the Law* makes an important contribution to research and policy discussions about the state of women's economic empowerment. The indicators build evidence of the critical relationship between legal gender equality and women's employment and entrepreneurship.

Data in *Women, Business and the Law 2021* are current as of October 1, 2020.

Boxes

- 2 **1.1: What's new in this edition?**
- 24 **1.2: How have *Women, Business and the Law* data and findings been used to promote policy reform?**
- 47 **2.1: Using code words to escape violence at home**
- 48 **2.2: UNDP–UN Women Global COVID-19 Gender Response Tracker**
- 58 **3.1: Existing data on childcare**
- 71 **A.1: Assumptions used to ensure comparability**

Figures

- 3 **1.1: Laws affect women throughout their working lives**
- 7 **1.2: A comparison of two indexes by region: Legal gender equality (WBL index) versus equality in opportunities and outcomes (WEF index)**
- 8 **1.3: Legal gender equality and female labor force participation**
- 9 **1.4: Legal gender equality and the proportion of seats in parliament held by women**
- 11 **1.5: Among regions, OECD high-income economies score the highest on the WBL index**
- 12 **1.6: Economies in Sub-Saharan Africa have large variation in WBL index scores**
- 13 **1.7: Economies have the lowest scores on the Parenthood indicator**
- 15 **1.8: Differences in the retirement age of men and women still exist in all regions**
- 16 **1.9: The Middle East and North Africa and OECD high-income regions reformed the most in 2019/20**
- 20 **1.10: Economies across all regions made progress toward gender equality over the last 50 years, but at different rates**
- 21 **1.11: Economies are reforming laws in the right direction**
- 22 **1.12: Since 1970, scores on the Workplace and Parenthood indicators have shown the greatest improvement**
- 23 **1.13: Economies have enacted few reforms affecting the Assets indicator since 1970**

- 36 **2.1: COVID-19 has led to a larger drop in female employment, selected economies**
- 37 **2.2: Select measures introduced during the COVID-19 pandemic**
- 41 **2.3: Economies across all regions allowed remote access to family courts during the COVID-19 pandemic**
- 56 **3.1: Types of childcare**
- 61 **3.2: Other global justice data**
- 67 **A.1: The eight *Women, Business and the Law* indicators**
- 69 **A.2: Ecuador scores 89.4 on the *Women, Business and the Law* index**

Map

- 14 **1.1: Ninety economies mandate equal remuneration for work of equal value**

Tables

- 4 **1.1: Example of how the *Women, Business and the Law* index is constructed, Ecuador**
- 10 **1.2: *Women, Business and the Law* 2021 index**
- 17 **1.3: Economies across all regions undertook reforms toward gender equality in 2019/20**
- 39 **2.1: Examples of childcare measures introduced during the COVID-19 pandemic**
- 42 **2.2: Examples of family court system responses to the COVID-19 pandemic**
- 45 **2.3: Examples of services provided to survivors of gender-based violence during the COVID-19 pandemic**
- 55 **3.1: Childcare policies and corresponding economic outcomes: A literature summary**
- 60 **3.2: Access to justice and corresponding economic outcomes: A literature summary**
- 68 **A.1: *Women, Business and the Law* indicators**
- 70 **A.2: Methodological strengths and limitations**



FOREWORD

The unprecedented challenges of the COVID-19 pandemic have exposed and deepened global inequality. For many women around the world, this could mean not only economic insecurity, but also threats to their health and safety. In times like these, a legal environment that encourages women's economic inclusion can make them less vulnerable in the face of a crisis.

Yet in difficult moments many women start at a disadvantage. *Women, Business and the Law 2021* identifies the laws and regulations that restrict women's economic opportunity in 190 economies. From the basics of movement in the community to the challenges of working, parenting, and retiring, the data offer objective, measurable benchmarks for global progress toward gender equality. They also emphasize the policy actions that could be taken to improve economic opportunity for women.

This year's study is the seventh in the series, and again the results are promising. Despite the adversities of the last year, many economies made gender equality a priority. Every region improved its average score, with economies in the Middle East and North Africa seeing the greatest increase. Globally, several of the changes eliminated job restrictions or aimed to reduce the gender wage gap. Other improvements were good-practice legislation related to marriage and parenthood or to removing constraints to women's entrepreneurship.

Still, many laws continue to inhibit women's ability to enter the workforce or start a business. On average, women have just three-quarters of the rights of men. New measures may also be necessary to safeguard their economic opportunities during this time of crisis. *Women, Business and the Law 2021* presents evidence of the ways in which governments have responded to women's unique needs throughout the pandemic. Many have implemented innovative solutions, ensuring that women maintain access to childcare, justice systems, and protection from domestic violence, even during widespread shutdowns. The study also introduces two pilot projects on childcare and women's access to justice, both of which are foundational to women's success in the labor force.

Gender equality is a fundamental human right. More important, it can boost economic growth and improve development outcomes. There has never been a more important time to ensure women's economic empowerment. As economies adapt to difficult circumstances, the World Bank Group will continue striving to improve conditions for their most vulnerable populations. By recognizing barriers to success and highlighting solutions, *Women, Business and the Law 2021* is an important tool in that effort.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Mari Elka Pangestu'.

Mari Elka Pangestu

*Managing Director, Development Policy and Partnerships
The World Bank*



ABBREVIATIONS

ATM	automated teller machine
CEDAW	Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (United Nations)
EU	European Union
GDP	gross domestic product
IFC	International Finance Corporation
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
SAR	special administrative region
UN	United Nations
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
UNICEF	United Nations Children's Fund
WBL	<i>Women, Business and the Law</i>

All dollar amounts are US dollars unless otherwise indicated.



CHAPTER 1

Findings

- Better performance in the areas measured by the *Women, Business and the Law* index is associated with a more narrow gender gap in development outcomes, higher female labor force participation, lower vulnerable employment, and greater representation of women in national parliaments.
- On average, women have just three-quarters of the legal rights afforded to men.
- Ten economies—Belgium, Canada, Denmark, France, Iceland, Ireland, Latvia, Luxembourg, Portugal, and Sweden—score 100 on the *Women, Business and the Law* index.
- Since 2019, 27 economies from all regions have enacted reforms increasing gender equality.
- Most reforms introduced or amended laws affecting pay and parenthood. There were no reforms addressing gender differences in property and inheritance as measured by the Assets indicator.
- The Middle East and North Africa and Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) high-income economies improved their laws the most in 2019/20.
- Over the last 50 years, three regions—OECD high income, Latin America and the Caribbean, and Sub-Saharan Africa—have seen a record gain in their average scores of more than 30 points.

Although much progress has been made over the past 50 years, global gender equality had not yet been achieved when crisis struck in 2020. COVID-19 has directly and disproportionately jeopardized women's social and economic capabilities. Because they make up the majority of health, social service, and unpaid care workers, women are uniquely susceptible to the effects of the pandemic. In addition, women continue to earn less than men for the same work, as well as face a higher risk of violence in their homes.

It may be impossible to fully prepare for such a situation, but governments take an important step toward safeguarding their economies when the legal environment encourages and incentivizes women's work. The importance of legal equality is not limited to disaster preparedness or mitigating the effects of a pandemic. When women are given the same opportunities as men, they enter and remain in the labor force, strengthening economies and enabling development. Gender equality in the law is also associated with better development outcomes, such as lower rates of vulnerable employment and extreme poverty among female workers.¹

BOX 1.1 WHAT'S NEW IN THIS EDITION?

This edition of *Women, Business and the Law* updates the data for 190 economies to October 1, 2020. It considers reforms of laws and regulations that occurred over the last year and presents analysis of new and recurring trends.

Chapter 1 reviews the relevant literature, presents associations with outcomes to make the case for equality of opportunity, and describes data insights by region and indicator.

Chapter 2 summarizes data collected on how governments and societies have addressed challenges faced by women during the COVID-19 pandemic. This information does not affect the *Women, Business and the Law* index, but instead details measures and services implemented worldwide in response to COVID-19.

Chapter 3 highlights two topics that *Women, Business and the Law* would like to further explore for possible addition to the index in future editions. It first examines access to affordable and quality childcare, which is fundamental to ensuring women's ability to enter and remain in the labor force. The chapter also presents background research on the importance of implementing laws through justice sector institutions. Each area has proved critical in efforts to close persistent gender gaps in women's economic inclusion. *Women, Business and the Law* aims to develop research and collect data that will highlight good practices in these two areas.

Yet despite progress, discriminatory laws across the world continue to threaten not only women's fundamental human rights, but also their economic security. Barriers to employment and entrepreneurship at every stage of life limit equality of opportunity, failing to adequately support working women. Women face these challenges in even the most developed economies. Worldwide they have, on average, just three-quarters of the rights of men. Governments must take urgent action to close this gap or risk worsening the effects of the pandemic.

By presenting a data set and an index highlighting opportunities for reform, *Women, Business and the Law 2021* is an important tool in the pursuit of women's economic empowerment. The seventh in a series, this study examines progress toward gender equality by measuring the laws and regulations that restrict women's economic inclusion in 190 economies. It also presents compelling findings on gender-sensitive government responses to COVID-19, as well as pilot research on both childcare and enhancing women's access to justice (box 1.1).

Women, Business and the Law provides policy makers with actionable benchmarks on women's economic opportunity and further evidence of the relationship between legal gender equality and economic outcomes. In particular, this edition finds that in economies where women face less discrimination under the law, greater progress has been made in closing the gender gap in such outcomes. Authorities in several economies have taken note of this finding: despite a global pandemic, 27 economies in all regions and income groups enacted reforms across indicators and increased good practice legislation in 45 instances.²

Measuring laws and regulations that affect women's economic empowerment

Since its inception in 2009, *Women, Business and the Law* has collected data on the laws and regulations that restrict women's economic opportunities. In 2020, the project

began examining these data by means of an index structured around a woman's working life. This perspective aligns different areas of the law with the decisions women make as they begin, progress through, and end their careers.


The eight *Women, Business and the Law* indicators coincide with the various milestones a typical woman might experience or achieve in her lifetime (figure 1.1). The indicators were chosen based on statistically significant associations with outcomes related to women's economic empowerment, such as women's labor force participation rates. They measure explicit gender-based differences in the law, as well as the presence of certain nondiscrimination and other good practice legislation that incentivizes women's employment and entrepreneurship.

The Pay indicator, for example, measures legislation on remuneration and job restrictions—factors likely to influence a woman's decision to enter the workforce and her choice of career. Each indicator looks at a specific set of regulations and the ways in which they affect women's economic participation as entrepreneurs and employees. Policy makers interested in improving equality of economic opportunity can look at their economy's scores on each indicator as a starting point for legal reform.

The *Women, Business and the Law* index relies on a series of assumptions to ensure comparability across economies. For example, the woman in question is assumed to reside in the main business city of her economy and to be employed in the formal sector. To remain actionable, the data set is also constructed using only laws and regulations in force. Unless they are codified, religious and customary laws are not considered. Finally, although it is critical to ensuring women's economic inclusion, implementation of laws is also not currently measured. Instead, *Women, Business and the Law* identifies legal differences between men and women as one step toward a better understanding of where women's economic rights may be restricted in practice. Future *Women, Business and the Law* research will consider how to include de facto indicators to complement the set of de jure indicators developed and presented in this report. (For the complete methodology of *Women, Business and the Law* indicators, see the data notes in appendix A.)

In this study, 35 questions are scored across the eight indicators. Overall scores are then calculated by taking the average of each indicator, with 100 representing the highest possible score (see table 1.1 for an example using Ecuador). The final *Women,*

FIGURE 1.1 | LAWS AFFECT WOMEN THROUGHOUT THEIR WORKING LIVES



Source: *Women, Business and the Law* team.

TABLE 1.1		EXAMPLE OF HOW THE WOMEN, BUSINESS AND THE LAW INDEX IS CONSTRUCTED, ECUADOR		
Indicator	Question	Answer	Indicator score	WBL economy score
 Mobility	1. Can a woman choose where to live in the same way as a man?	Yes = 1	100	89.4
	2. Can a woman travel outside her home in the same way as a man?	Yes = 1		
	3. Can a woman apply for a passport in the same way as a man?	Yes = 1		
	4. Can a woman travel outside the country in the same way as a man?	Yes = 1		
 Workplace	1. Can a woman get a job in the same way as a man?	Yes = 1	100	
	2. Does the law prohibit discrimination in employment based on gender?	Yes = 1		
	3. Is there legislation on sexual harassment in employment?	Yes = 1		
	4. Are there criminal penalties or civil remedies for sexual harassment in employment?	Yes = 1		
 Pay	1. Does the law mandate equal remuneration for work of equal value?	Yes = 1	100	
	2. Can a woman work at night in the same way as a man?	Yes = 1		
	3. Can a woman work in a job deemed dangerous in the same way as a man?	Yes = 1		
	4. Can a woman work in an industrial job in the same way as a man?	Yes = 1		
 Marriage	1. Is there no legal provision that requires a married woman to obey her husband?	Yes = 1	100	
	2. Can a woman be head of household in the same way as a man?	Yes = 1		
	3. Is there legislation specifically addressing domestic violence?	Yes = 1		
	4. Can a woman obtain a judgment of divorce in the same way as a man?	Yes = 1		
	5. Does a woman have the same rights to remarry as a man?	Yes = 1		
 Parenthood	1. Is paid leave of at least 14 weeks available to mothers?	No = 0	40	
	2. Does the government administer 100% of maternity leave benefits?	No = 0		
	3. Is paid leave available to fathers?	Yes = 1		
	4. Is there paid parental leave?	No = 0		
	5. Is dismissal of pregnant workers prohibited?	Yes = 1		
 Entrepreneurship	1. Does the law prohibit discrimination in access to credit based on gender?	No = 0	75	
	2. Can a woman sign a contract in the same way as a man?	Yes = 1		
	3. Can a woman register a business in the same way as a man?	Yes = 1		
	4. Can a woman open a bank account in the same way as a man?	Yes = 1		
 Assets	1. Do men and women have equal ownership rights to immovable property?	Yes = 1	100	
	2. Do sons and daughters have equal rights to inherit assets from their parents?	Yes = 1		
	3. Do male and female surviving spouses have equal rights to inherit assets?	Yes = 1		
	4. Does the law grant spouses equal administrative authority over assets during marriage?	Yes = 1		
	5. Does the law provide for the valuation of nonmonetary contributions?	Yes = 1		
 Pension	1. Is the age at which men and women can retire with full pension benefits the same?	Yes = 1	100	
	2. Is the age at which men and women can retire with partial pension benefits the same?	Yes = 1		
	3. Is the mandatory retirement age for men and women the same?	Yes = 1		
	4. Are periods of absence due to childcare accounted for in pension benefits?	Yes = 1		

Source: *Women, Business and the Law* team.

Business and the Law index score presents the share of good laws in each economy weighted by the indicators. Thus, the index serves as an easily replicable way to gauge the regulatory environment for women as entrepreneurs and employees.

By measuring progress toward gender equality over time, *Women, Business and the Law* offers policy makers a benchmarking tool that exposes potential challenges, identifies good practices, and presents lessons learned. The narrow focus of the indicators provides a basis for equality of opportunity in the areas measured that can be complemented with additional data to fit the needs of a particular economy. By informing research and policy discussions about the state of women's economic opportunities, *Women, Business and the Law* emphasizes the work still to be done to ensure equal economic opportunities for all.

Does equality under the law matter for closing gender gaps?

A significant body of research links legal reforms aimed at achieving gender equality to women's economic outcomes. Women's economic empowerment benefits the wider economy by reducing income inequality and increasing diversity and economic resilience.³ Furthermore, gender gaps in entrepreneurship and employment are associated with lower levels of income and productivity.⁴ Economies at higher levels of economic development have, in general, greater levels of gender equality. In fact, in the relationship between economic development and legal gender equality, the causality likely runs in both directions.⁵

As is common in emerging fields of analysis, however, not all studies have found positive effects. For example, extended periods of family leave for female employees after childbirth have been associated with a drop in female employment and earnings in the short run.⁶ In India, a legislative reform that granted unmarried daughters equal inheritance rights has been associated with a range of positive outcomes, including greater investment in girls' education, delayed age of marriage, increased financial inclusion, and more investment in sanitation.⁷ Nonetheless, other studies have uncovered some unintended consequences of this reform. These include parents circumventing the law so that daughters will not receive an inheritance, higher female infant mortality and feticide, and a higher suicide rate driven by a rise in marital conflicts.⁸ Unintended consequences can arise in contexts where multiple constraints exist and perpetuate gender inequality, thereby pointing to the need for a more holistic approach to addressing such a deep-rooted issue.⁹

Another concern that often emerges is that where gendered social norms are deeply entrenched or where legal reform goes against customary law, changes to the law will not improve women's circumstances. Indeed, reforms of discriminatory laws have not always been well implemented, leaving women to suffer the consequences.¹⁰ Moreover, even when legal reforms are implemented, cultural and economic factors may pose challenges to women exerting their rights.¹¹

Ideally, laws reflect the values of a society, but both laws and social norms need to change over time to adapt to their circumstances and contexts. That said, the relationship between laws and culture is complex. In some cases, laws precede social norms, and in others social behavior changes first, while laws are slower to adapt. Studies have shown that when laws conflict strongly with social norms, they do not

achieve their intended effect and are more difficult to enforce.¹² Some argue that the importance of informal rules has often been underestimated. The same is true of the monitoring and transactions costs associated with the codification of formal laws.¹³

Thus it is not necessarily true that just one or the other matters. Changing formal and customary laws may be a mutually reinforcing process.¹⁴ Research suggests that legal reform can have a magnetic effect, drawing norms in the same positive direction.¹⁵ Analyses of specific legislative changes that granted women more economic rights, such as India's 2005 Hindu Succession Amendment Act and Rwanda's 1999 Succession Law, have found evidence that legal reform is important.


Indeed, growing research shows that reforms in the areas captured by the *Women, Business and the Law* index are associated with a range of better outcomes for women, including more entrepreneurship, better access to finance, a more abundant female labor supply, and a reduction in the gender wage gap.¹⁶ Removing barriers that restrict the ability of women to move freely, sign contracts, and work outside the home has also been correlated with a larger female labor supply.¹⁷ Fewer legal barriers are associated with access to better jobs for women, such as those requiring higher skill levels, offering higher wages, or presenting an opportunity to manage others.¹⁸ It is also well documented that granting women the right to control assets, most notably land, may result in more bargaining power for them within their households. The implications of this include greater agency in household and marital matters¹⁹ and investment in better agricultural practices.²⁰ Research has found that women's ability to own and manage land is positively associated with their access to finance.²¹ More broadly, land rights may play an important role in establishing more equal gender relations within households and boosting women's status in society.²²

New research based on the *Women, Business and the Law* data provides further evidence of a positive relationship between women's economic rights and their economic inclusion and opportunities.²³ This analysis looks at the relationship between legal gender discrimination and a range of metrics of women's economic inclusion and empowerment. The general pattern of results reveals that where women face fewer legal barriers, they are more empowered.

Whereas the *Women, Business and the Law* index tracks progress toward closing gender-based gaps in legislation, the World Economic Forum's Global Gender Gap Index tracks progress made by economies in closing gaps between women and men in opportunities and outcomes.²⁴ It measures gender-based gaps in economic participation and opportunity, health outcomes, educational attainment, and political empowerment. The aggregate index score captures the percentage of gender gaps in opportunities and outcomes closed to date. According to the most recent results, that percentage is 68.6% globally.²⁵

Women, Business and the Law data reveal that greater progress has been made in closing gender gaps in legislation, suggesting that, on average, legal reforms may precede improvements in women's opportunities and outcomes. However, the range of economy scores shows greater variation between achievement of legal gender parity: the lowest *Women, Business and the Law* index score is 26.3 and the highest, 100. The Global Gender Gap Index 2020 ranges between 49.4 and 87.7. Correlating the two measures shows that where women face less discrimination under the law, greater progress has been made in closing gender gaps in opportunities and outcomes (figure 1.2).

FIGURE 1.2 | A COMPARISON OF TWO INDEXES BY REGION: LEGAL GENDER EQUALITY (WBL INDEX) VERSUS EQUALITY IN OPPORTUNITIES AND OUTCOMES (WEF INDEX)



Sources: *Women, Business and the Law* (WBL) database; Global Gender Gap Index (WEF 2019).

Note: The figure presents the correlation between the WBL index score and the Global Gender Gap Index of the World Economic Forum (WEF) based on data for 2019. The Global Gender Gap Index score represents the progress made in closing gender-based gaps in opportunities and outcomes. Each point represents a single economy. A fitted regression line is also included. Although the graph presents a simple correlation, the relationship remains positive and statistically significant after controlling for income, measured as the gross domestic product (GDP) per capita provided in the World Bank's World Development Indicators (WDI) database (<https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators>), and economy-level and time fixed effects. This statistical relationship should not be interpreted as causal. The regression of the Global Gender Gap Index on the WBL index includes 151 economies for the period 2006–19, as determined by data availability. OECD = Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development; WEF = World Economic Forum.

Analysis also shows that greater equality under the law is associated with more women participating in the labor force (figure 1.3). In the relationship between the *Women, Business and the Law* index and specific metrics of women's economic inclusion and empowerment, one of the most fundamental outcomes is the female labor force participation rate.

A deeper dive into the relationship between the *Women, Business and the Law* index and women's economic inclusion shows that legal equality is associated not only with more female employment in the aggregate, but also with fewer female workers in vulnerable employment.²⁶ Vulnerable employment refers to those workers who either are self-employed without any employees or are unpaid family workers. This is a noteworthy association: vulnerable employees are those who are least likely to have access to social protection and safety nets or to be able to save, leaving them exposed to economic shocks and at the greatest risk of poverty. On average, women are more likely than men to be engaged in vulnerable employment, and women's relative risk of vulnerable employment is higher in low- and lower-middle-income economies. The relationship between legal equality and women in vulnerable employment varies notably by region and warrants further study.

Women's political representation may also have important outcomes for women's rights. Research has revealed that economies with greater female representation in the

national legislature are more likely to pass laws on sexual harassment, rape, divorce, and domestic violence.²⁷ According to data from the Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU), on average 25% of seats in national lawmaking bodies are held by women. In only four economies are at least half of legislative seats held by women: Rwanda (61%), Cuba (53%), Bolivia (53%), and the United Arab Emirates (50%).²⁸

As women's economic rights increase, so, too, does their representation in national lawmaking bodies (figure 1.4). However, even in the economies that receive the highest scores in the *Women, Business and the Law* index, the average proportion of legislative seats held by women is only about one-third. The relationship between legal equality and women's legislative representation could go in either direction—as noted earlier, previous research has shown that having more female legislators is associated with an improved legal environment for women.

In contexts in which multiple constraints perpetuate gender inequality, the need for a more holistic approach to addressing deep-rooted issues will, of course, be necessary. More evidence is needed of the role and limitations of the law in affecting women's economic outcomes. However, the results presented here highlight some of the ways in which greater legal equality is correlated with greater empowerment for women. More research is under way to uncover the pathways through which legal reforms can help women reach their full potential, as well as to better understand how more progress can be made in removing legal barriers.


FIGURE 1.3 | LEGAL GENDER EQUALITY AND FEMALE LABOR FORCE PARTICIPATION



Sources: *Women, Business and the Law* (WBL) database; World Development Indicators (WDI) database, World Bank, <https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators>; International Labour Organization (ILO), <https://ilostat.ilo.org/>.

Note: The figure presents the correlation between the WBL index score and the female labor force participation rate for women aged 15–64 in 2019, according to modeled estimates from the International Labour Organization (ILO). Each point represents a single economy. A fitted regression line is also included. Although the graph presents a simple correlation, the relationship remains positive and statistically significant after controlling for income, measured as gross domestic product (GDP) per capita provided in the World Bank's World Development Indicators (WDI) database (<https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators>), and economy-level and time fixed effects. This statistical relationship should not be interpreted as causal. The regression of the female labor force participation rate on the WBL index includes 176 economies for the period 1991–2019, as determined by data availability. OECD = Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.

FIGURE 1.4 | LEGAL GENDER EQUALITY AND THE PROPORTION OF SEATS IN PARLIAMENT HELD BY WOMEN



Sources: *Women, Business and the Law* (WBL) database; Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU).

Note: The figure presents the correlation between the WBL index score and the percentage of parliamentary seats held by women based on data for 2019. Each point represents a single economy. A fitted regression line is also included. The relationship between the WBL index and the proportion of seats held by women in parliament remains positive and statistically significant after controlling for income, measured as gross domestic product (GDP) per capita provided in the World Bank's World Development Indicators (WDI) database (<https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators>), and economy-level and time fixed effects. This statistical relationship should not be interpreted as causal. The regression of the proportion of seats held by women in parliament on the WBL index includes 183 economies for the period 1997–2019, as determined by data availability. OECD = Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.

Data insights

Women, Business and the Law 2021 updates the index presented in its previous edition by capturing reforms that occurred between September 2, 2019, and October 1, 2020. In 2020, the average global score is 76.1 out of 100 (above the average 75.5 in 2019), indicating that the world has achieved about three-quarters of good practice legislation as measured by the indicators. Up from eight in 2019, ten economies—Belgium, Canada, Denmark, France, Iceland, Ireland, Latvia, Luxembourg, Portugal, and Sweden—score 100, meaning that women are on an equal legal standing with men across all areas measured (table 1.2). (For the complete *Women, Business and the Law* data set, please see the economy data in appendix B.)

Of the 39 economies with scores higher than 90, 28 are OECD high-income economies, and seven are in Europe and Central Asia. The remaining four are in Latin America and the Caribbean, East Asia and the Pacific, and Sub-Saharan Africa. No economy in the Middle East and North Africa or South Asia scores 90 or higher.

When economies are classified by income level, high-income ones score the highest, with an average score of 85.9 out of 100. Upper-middle-income economies

TABLE 1.2 WOMEN, BUSINESS AND THE LAW 2021 INDEX									
Economy	Score	Economy	Score	Economy	Score	Economy	Score	Economy	Score
Belgium	100.0	Romania	90.6	Singapore	82.5	Belarus	75.6	Nigeria	63.1
Canada	100.0	Ecuador	89.4	Turkey	82.5	China	75.6	Dominica	62.5
Denmark	100.0	Hong Kong SAR, China	89.4	United Arab Emirates ✓	82.5	Morocco	75.6	Mali	60.6
France	100.0	Bolivia	88.8	Colombia	81.9	Cambodia	75.0	Cameroon	60.0
Iceland	100.0	El Salvador	88.8	Japan	81.9	Ghana	75.0	Papua New Guinea	60.0
Ireland ✓	100.0	Malta	88.8	Vietnam ✓	81.9	Honduras	75.0	Niger	59.4
Latvia	100.0	Mexico	88.8	Bahamas, The	81.3	Trinidad and Tobago	75.0	Myanmar	58.8
Luxembourg	100.0	Uruguay	88.8	Tanzania	81.3	Gambia, The	74.4	Palau	58.8
Portugal ✓	100.0	Lao PDR	88.1	Zambia	81.3	India	74.4	Tonga	58.8
Sweden	100.0	Montenegro ✓	88.1	Grenada	80.6	Madagascar ✓	74.4	Vanuatu	58.1
Estonia	97.5	South Africa	88.1	Israel	80.6	Maldives	73.8	Algeria	57.5
Finland	97.5	Guyana	86.9	Kenya	80.6	Suriname ✓	73.8	Gabon	57.5
Germany	97.5	Zimbabwe	86.9	Nepal	80.6	Angola	73.1	Solomon Islands	56.9
Greece	97.5	Cabo Verde	86.3	Rwanda ✓	80.6	Burundi	73.1	Bahrain ✓	55.6
Italy	97.5	Dominican Republic	86.3	Chile ✓	80.0	Russian Federation	73.1	Pakistan ✓	55.6
Netherlands	97.5	Namibia	86.3	Samoa	80.0	Uganda	73.1	Brunei Darussalam	53.1
New Zealand ✓	97.5	Nicaragua	86.3	San Marino	80.0	Bhutan	71.9	Lebanon	52.5
Spain	97.5	São Tomé and Príncipe	86.3	Saudi Arabia ✓	80.0	St. Kitts and Nevis	71.3	Equatorial Guinea	51.9
United Kingdom	97.5	Georgia	85.6	Belize	79.4	Guatemala	70.6	Libya	50.0
Australia	96.9	Switzerland	85.6	Burkina Faso	79.4	Uzbekistan ✓	70.6	Malaysia	50.0
Austria ✓	96.9	Bosnia and Herzegovina	85.0	Panama	79.4	South Sudan	70.0	Bangladesh	49.4
Hungary	96.9	Brazil ✓	85.0	Ukraine	79.4	Eritrea	69.4	Congo, Rep.	49.4
Norway	96.9	Korea, Rep.	85.0	Azerbaijan	78.8	Kazakhstan	69.4	Mauritania	48.1
Slovenia ✓	96.9	North Macedonia	85.0	Congo, Dem. Rep.	78.8	Sierra Leone ✓	69.4	Jordan ✓	46.9
Peru	95.0	Slovak Republic ✗	85.0	Kiribati	78.8	Djibouti	68.1	Somalia	46.9
Paraguay	94.4	Venezuela, RB	85.0	Philippines	78.8	Jamaica	68.1	Eswatini	46.3
Croatia	93.8	Moldova	84.4	Tajikistan	78.8	Marshall Islands ✓	68.1	Egypt, Arab Rep.	45.0
Czech Republic	93.8	Togo	84.4	Lesotho	78.1	St. Vincent and the Grenadines	68.1	Iraq	45.0
Lithuania	93.8	Liberia	83.8	Thailand	78.1	Tunisia	67.5	Guinea-Bissau	42.5
Poland	93.8	Puerto Rico (US) ✓	83.8	Benin ✓	77.5	Senegal ✓	66.9	Afghanistan	38.1
Serbia	93.8	St. Lucia	83.8	Malawi	77.5	Antigua and Barbuda	66.3	Syrian Arab Republic	36.9
Kosovo	91.9	Costa Rica ✓	83.1	Barbados	76.9	Chad	66.3	Oman	35.6
Mauritius	91.9	Côte d'Ivoire	83.1	Central African Republic	76.9	Sri Lanka	65.6	Iran, Islamic Rep.	31.3
Albania	91.3	Timor-Leste	83.1	Ethiopia ✓	76.9	Comoros	65.0	Qatar	29.4
Cyprus	91.3	Armenia	82.5	Kyrgyz Republic	76.9	Indonesia	64.4	Sudan	29.4
Taiwan, China	91.3	Fiji ✓	82.5	Argentina	76.3	Botswana	63.8	Kuwait ✓	28.8
United States	91.3	Mongolia	82.5	Guinea	76.3	Haiti	63.8	Yemen, Rep.	26.9
Bulgaria	90.6	Mozambique	82.5	Seychelles	76.3	Micronesia, Fed. Sts.	63.8	West Bank and Gaza	26.3

Source: Women, Business and the Law database.


Note: Economies with a green check mark (✓) saw an improvement in score due to reforms in one or more areas. The economy with a red X (✗) implemented at least one change reducing its score.

are 10.6 points behind, with an average score of 75.3. Lower-middle- and low-income economies have average scores of 70.8 and 66.1, respectively. These scores demonstrate that the average low-income economy has about two-thirds of good practice legislation identified by the indicators. The gap in the average score between high-income economies and the rest of the world is 14.3 points.

By region, OECD high-income economies score the highest, followed by Europe and Central Asia, Latin America and the Caribbean, and East Asia and the Pacific (figure 1.5). Economies in Sub-Saharan Africa have an average regional score of 71, while economies in South Asia score 63.7. Economies in the Middle East and North Africa have the lowest average score, 51.5. The performance of economies within each region varies. Middle East and North Africa and Sub-Saharan Africa economies have the largest variation in scores—more than 60 points difference between the best- and worst-scoring economies.


More than half of the economies in Sub-Saharan Africa score higher than the regional average score of 71 (figure 1.6). Mauritius has a score of 91.9, the highest in the Sub-Saharan Africa region. As of 2020, Mauritius scores 100 in six of the eight *Women, Business and the Law* indicators: Mobility, Workplace, Pay, Marriage, Entrepreneurship, and Assets. The remaining gaps to close are in the Parenthood and Pension indicators. Under Parenthood, Mauritius is still lacking paid parental leave, and maternity leave benefits are not 100% administered by the government. Under Pension, Mauritius has not accounted for periods of absence due to childcare in pension benefits. Every region has examples of economies whose governments are implementing good practice laws and those that still have room to improve. These examples can inspire other governments in the region looking to reform.

FIGURE 1.5 | AMONG REGIONS, OECD HIGH-INCOME ECONOMIES SCORE THE HIGHEST ON THE WBL INDEX



Source: *Women, Business and the Law* (WBL) database.

Note: OECD = Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.

FIGURE 1.6 | ECONOMIES IN SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA HAVE LARGE VARIATION IN WBL INDEX SCORES

Source: Women, Business and the Law (WBL) database.

Where do economies stand on each indicator?

The Mobility, Workplace, Marriage, Entrepreneurship, and Assets indicators have an average global score above 75, meaning economies have implemented many of the good practices measured by these indicators (figure 1.7). By contrast, average scores are lower for the Pay, Parenthood, and Pension indicators. Regional performance on each of these also varies, with OECD high-income economies performing above average on all indicators. All other regions have more diverse performances and room to improve, especially economies in South Asia and the Middle East and North Africa.

Parenthood, with an average score of 54.8, remains the indicator with the most room to improve. It measures whether paid maternity leave of at least 14 weeks is available to mothers, whether maternity benefits are 100% administered by the government, whether any paid leave is available to fathers, whether there is paid parental leave, and whether dismissal of pregnant women is prohibited.

Although more than half of the economies measured mandate paid leave specifically for fathers, the global median duration of that leave is just seven calendar days in the economies that have it. Fathers in East Asia and the Pacific, Europe and Central Asia, and OECD high-income economies receive the longest paid leave. Only 44 economies have paid parental leave. In 2019, the European Union (EU) passed a new directive aimed at increasing the amount of leave available to both parents and at redistributing childcare responsibilities between men and women. EU states now have three years to adopt legislation complying with the directive. Because of this advance, *Women, Business and the Law* expects more reforms in this area among the top-scoring economies.

The second-lowest performance is on the Pay indicator, with an average score of 67.5. Should a woman successfully enter and remain in the labor force, it is imperative that she not face job restrictions or receive lower pay than a man holding

FIGURE 1.7 | ECONOMIES HAVE THE LOWEST SCORES ON THE PARENTHOOD INDICATOR



Source: *Women, Business and the Law* (WBL) database.


Note: OECD = Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.

the same position. Under the Pay indicator, the data reveal that more than half of the good practice legislation achieved is related to laws that affect women's pay. Yet 88 economies still restrict the jobs women can hold.

Removing laws that prohibit women from working in certain jobs is not the only legislative approach to tackling the gender pay gap: legally mandating employers to provide men and women who perform work of equal value with the same remuneration is also critical. Today, fewer than half of economies worldwide (90) have mandated equal remuneration for work of equal value. Most of them are OECD high-income economies, with the fewest mandating equal remuneration for work of equal value in East Asia and the Pacific, South Asia, and Middle East and North Africa (map 1.1).


Leaving the workforce to take care of family members is one reason women are left with smaller pensions at the end of their working life. Another reason is laws that mandate different retirement ages for men and women. Retirement ages remain unequal in close to one-third of the economies covered, with a difference of five or more years between women and men in more than half of the economies in the Middle East and North Africa, including Algeria, Djibouti, the Islamic Republic of Iran, Libya, Oman, and the Republic of Yemen (figure 1.8). Despite a significant effort by governments to gradually equalize retirement ages between men and women across Europe and Central Asia, a difference of five years remains across 26% of economies in the region, including Belarus, Georgia, the Kyrgyz Republic, the Russian Federation, Tajikistan, and Uzbekistan. By contrast, of the 44 economies covered with mandatory pension systems in Sub-Saharan Africa, women's statutory retirement age is five years earlier than men's only in Cabo Verde and Mozambique.

MAP 1.1 | NINETY ECONOMIES MANDATE EQUAL REMUNERATION FOR WORK OF EQUAL VALUE



IBRD 45488 | JANUARY 2021

Source: Women, Business and the Law database.

FIGURE 1.8 | DIFFERENCES IN THE RETIREMENT AGE OF MEN AND WOMEN STILL EXIST IN ALL REGIONS

Source: *Women, Business and the Law* database.


Note: The gap in retirement age is calculated as the deduction of a woman's retirement age from a man's retirement age. The total number of economies in the sample is 178: East Asia and Pacific, 23; Europe and Central Asia, 23; Latin America and Caribbean, 32; Middle East and North Africa, 17; OECD high income, 34; South Asia, 5; Sub-Saharan Africa, 44. Twelve economies have no mandated pension systems. OECD = Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.

The urgent need for reform is even more glaring today because the COVID-19 pandemic has widened the long-existing gender pay gap. Women are more likely than men to take leave from work or resign their positions to care for children in the event of illness or the closure of schools or daycare centers. Even if they manage to hold on to their positions, women are still at higher risk of having to submit to greater earnings penalties as a result of the pandemic.²⁹ Reforming laws to achieve greater gender equality should remain a priority as governments enact measures to recover from the shocks imposed by this crisis.

Under what indicators did economies reform in 2019/20?

A surprising number of reforms were recorded even as the pandemic raged throughout much of the period measured. Since 2019, 27 economies have implemented reforms aimed at equality of opportunity across seven of the eight indicators measured, leading to 45 positive data changes. Of these changes, 17 resulted from legal changes enacted by economies in the Middle East and North Africa region. Although it has the lowest scores, the region has advanced the most as a result of its reform efforts, with 25% of the region's economies implementing at least one reform (figure 1.9). Despite having the highest scores, OECD high-income economies continued to reform, with nearly 20% of economies changing at least one law toward gender equality. Progress in the rest of the world was slower during 2020, with other regions recording fewer reforms than in previous years.

FIGURE 1.9 | THE MIDDLE EAST AND NORTH AFRICA AND OECD HIGH-INCOME REGIONS REFORMED THE MOST IN 2019/20



Source: *Women, Business and the Law* database.

Note: Figure shows percentage of economies in each region that implemented at least one reform in 2019/20. OECD = Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.

Most reforms were implemented in laws affecting women's pay

The Pay indicator recorded the most improvement in scores, with changes to 11 data points indicating reductions in wage inequality as a result of reforms by eight economies (table 1.3). Bahrain, Montenegro, Saudi Arabia, and Vietnam eliminated restrictions on women's employment in jobs previously deemed dangerous for women. Montenegro and Saudi Arabia also eliminated all restrictions on women's employment in industrial jobs such as mining, construction, manufacturing, and the water sector, setting men and women on equal terms in choice of employment opportunities. Costa Rica and Saudi Arabia lifted bans on women's night work.

The Marshall Islands, New Zealand, and the United Arab Emirates reformed their laws to introduce legislation mandating equal remuneration for men and women who perform work of equal value. The Equal Pay Amendment Act in New Zealand addresses historic inequalities in pay for women and provides a mechanism for equal pay for jobs of equal value, including for different jobs of the same value. The act enables workers or unions to raise a pay equity claim directly with their employer and makes court action a last resort.

Reforms related to parental leave and marriage remain high on economies' agendas

Laws affecting women's work after having children, as measured by the Parenthood indicator, remained high on the reform agenda. Five economies made reforms in this area, leading to improvements in eight data points. Ethiopia increased paid maternity

TABLE 1.3 ECONOMIES ACROSS ALL REGIONS UNDERTOOK REFORMS TOWARD GENDER EQUALITY IN 2019/20			
Indicator	No. of data points reformed	Economies	Example of reform
Mobility	6	Benin, Fiji, Jordan, United Arab Emirates	Fiji allowed a woman to apply for a passport in the same way as a man.
Workplace	5	Marshall Islands, Senegal, Sierra Leone, United Arab Emirates	Sierra Leone adopted legislation on sexual harassment in employment.
Pay	11	Bahrain, Costa Rica, Marshall Islands, Montenegro, New Zealand, Saudi Arabia, United Arab Emirates, Vietnam	Costa Rica lifted a ban on women's night work.
Marriage	7	Chile, Kuwait, Madagascar, Portugal, Puerto Rico (US), Rwanda, United Arab Emirates	The United Arab Emirates no longer requires a married woman to obey her husband.
Parenthood	8	Austria, Ethiopia, Ireland, Suriname, United Arab Emirates	Ireland introduced two weeks of paid parental leave as an individual entitlement for each parent.
Entrepreneurship	5	Bahrain, Jordan, Marshall Islands, Pakistan, Uzbekistan	Pakistan allowed women to register a business in the same way as men.
Pension	3	Bahrain, Brazil, Slovenia	Slovenia equalized the age at which men and women can retire with full pension benefits.

Source: Women, Business and the Law database.

Note: The Assets indicator is not included in this table because no reforms were recorded in the period measured.

leave from 90 to 120 days and guaranteed the right to three days of paid paternity leave for the first time. Suriname, which previously was one of only six economies worldwide without any form of paid leave related to the birth of a child, introduced 16 weeks of paid maternity leave and eight days of paid paternity leave. Austria also introduced paid paternity leave, while Ireland and the United Arab Emirates introduced paid parental leave as an individual entitlement, giving each employee an equal right to paid leave for the birth of a child. The United Arab Emirates is now the first and only economy in the Middle East and North Africa to have paid parental leave.

Paternity leave is one of the most prevalent reforms under the Parenthood indicator because of growing recognition that gender equality in the home is needed to achieve gender equality in the workplace.³⁰ However, research finds that fathers tend to take paternity leave only when it is highly compensated and specifically allocated to them.³¹ For that reason, some economies recently changed their leave policies to promote fathers' uptake of leave. Estonia, which began the process of gradually reconfiguring its leave policies in 2017, abandoned its two-week paternity leave benefits policy and instead introduced one month of paid parental leave for fathers (the "daddy month"). In Iceland, mothers and fathers are now individually entitled to one more month of paid leave each, while the amount of shared parental leave has been reduced by a month. Meanwhile, Spain began gradually increasing paternity leave in 2019 to equalize it with maternity leave by 2021 at 16 weeks each.

With seven positive changes, the third most frequent area of reform is related to laws measured by the Marriage indicator, particularly in the area of domestic violence legislation. Recent studies have emphasized the staggering economic costs of domestic violence.³² Kuwait and Madagascar introduced specific and comprehensive legislation on domestic violence for the first time. Kuwait's new law not only prohibits any form

of physical, psychological, sexual, or financial domestic abuse, but also provides for protection orders and services for survivors of violence. Specifically, the law calls for shelters, a hotline, counseling services, and legal assistance for survivors of domestic violence. Madagascar's new law establishes criminal penalties for different forms of gender-based violence, such as physical, psychological, emotional, or economic abuse in the private and public spheres, as well as a process to obtain protection orders. Furthermore, the new law stipulates that the state must support survivors through health care, psychological and legal aid services, and allocation of necessary resources.

Also under the Marriage indicator, Chile, Portugal, Puerto Rico (US), and Rwanda abolished the specified time that a woman had to wait before remarrying after finalization of a divorce. New civil codes in Chile and Puerto Rico introduced gender-neutral language allowing both spouses to remarry as soon as a divorce is finalized. Portugal's previous civil code provided for internuptial periods for both men and women. However, the woman's waiting time was 300 days, whereas the man's was 180 days. Rwanda equalized a provision in the family law that required widows—but not widowers—to wait 300 days before remarrying after the death of their spouse. The new law revokes the internuptial period for both men and women. The previous restriction on a woman's ability to remarry was intended to avoid a potential conflict of paternity between her previous husband and future husband.

Economies also reformed under the Mobility, Workplace, and Entrepreneurship indicators

Four economies improved on six data points under the Mobility indicator. It tracks the differences between the rules that govern the rights of men and women to obtain a passport and travel abroad, choose where to live, and leave their home at will. Mobility affects every step in a working woman's life cycle. Limitations in this area reduce opportunities for women to build networks with other entrepreneurs and exchange vital information on market opportunities, ultimately preventing them from developing their full potential as successful entrepreneurs.³³ Increasing women's mobility, including the opportunity to freely commute to and from a workplace outside of the home, can give women access to better employment opportunities.

Benin, Fiji, and Jordan removed procedural requirements that made it more burdensome for women than men to apply for a passport. In Benin, a 2019 circular issued by the Ministry of the Interior and Public Security instructed all concerned departments that in the interest of establishing equal treatment between men and women, married women were no longer required to provide a copy of their marriage certificate when applying for an ordinary passport. The United Arab Emirates abolished provisions imposing legal consequences when a woman abstained from traveling with her husband or left the marital home without a lawful excuse. It also removed stipulations that a woman must live with her husband in the home chosen by him.

The Workplace indicator examines legal protections in the workplace such as the right to nondiscrimination and freedom from sexual harassment. The United Arab Emirates removed restrictions on a woman's right to get a job. Both the Marshall Islands and Senegal enacted new legislation directly prohibiting gender-based discrimination in employment. And Sierra Leone adopted legislation on sexual harassment in employment.

The new law introduces comprehensive definitions and penalties for sexual harassment offenses in the area of employment and other settings, whereas the previous law contained no specific references to employment. Addressing sexual harassment in the workplace is key to achieving fundamental labor market goals, including closing the gender pay gap.³⁴

Under the Entrepreneurship indicator, five economies have enacted reforms since 2019. Pakistan allowed women to register a business in the same way as men. Through their central bank's regulatory powers, Bahrain and Jordan introduced the right to nondiscrimination based on gender in access to finance. To comply with Bahrain's Central Bank directive, banks and financial institutions must ensure that all regulated financial services are provided without discrimination based on sex. Because Central Bank directives are not always publicly accessible or widely disseminated, after adoption of the directive the United Arab Emirates, where a similar provision was introduced in 2019, launched a dissemination campaign to raise awareness among citizens. The authorities also issued a message announcing adoption of the provision, in English and Arabic, on all ATM screens in the country.

The Marshall Islands and Uzbekistan introduced rights to nondiscrimination in access to finance through broader legislation that also guaranteed gender equality. The Marshall Islands' Gender Equality Act of 2019 provides that women are entitled to access loans, grants, mortgages, credit, or any other financial service on an equal basis with men. Similarly, Uzbekistan's Law on the Guarantees of Equal Rights and Opportunities for Men and Women of 2019 prescribes nondiscrimination in the provision of movable and immovable property, land, financial assets, and loans. Despite these reforms, 108 economies still lack legal provisions that expressly prohibit gender-based discrimination in access to finance. For women who become entrepreneurs, access to finance is likely necessary. Meanwhile, women worldwide still earn, own, and learn less than their husbands and brothers.³⁵

Fewer economies undertook reforms related to Pension and Assets

Only three economies announced changes increasing gender equality as measured by the Pension indicator: Bahrain, Brazil, and Slovenia. Differences in women's working lives relative to those of men can result in unequal economic outcomes in retirement. To address this issue, Slovenia equalized the age (65 years) at which men and women can retire and receive full benefits, following a gradual-increase schedule introduced by the Pension and Disability Act in 2013. Bahrain also made strides under this indicator by accounting for periods of childcare in pension benefits for the first time. Brazil eliminated retirement with partial pension benefits for both men and women. At the same time, the Slovak Republic implemented a change that widened the legal gender gap. A new law established different ages for men and women to retire with full and partial pension benefits and made the mandatory retirement age for men and women unequal.

Finally, no reforms have been recorded under the Assets indicator since 2019. Strong property rights can allow women to leverage assets for economic gain, increasing their financial security and providing them with the collateral needed to start a business. Governments in the 75 economies in which men and women still do not have equal rights to manage and inherit property should consider adopting good practice legislation in this area.


Fifty years of legal change

Regional patterns have remained similar over the last 50 years, with the OECD high-income, Europe and Central Asia, and Latin America and the Caribbean economies leading the way toward legal gender equality. In addition, the OECD high-income, Latin America and the Caribbean, and Sub-Saharan Africa regions have each recorded a gain in their average score of more than 30 points since 1970—the largest of all regions (figure 1.10). Over the last 10 years, the pace of reform has picked up in the Middle East and North Africa and South Asia, with a gain in the average score of more than 10 points in each region. The East Asia and the Pacific and Sub-Saharan Africa regions have each gained more than seven points.

The distribution of economies and their scores on the *Women, Business and the Law* index has changed significantly over time (figure 1.11). Whereas 1970 saw 12 economies scoring 25 or below on the index, by 1995 there were only five economies in this category. By 2008, there were none. At the same time, in 1970 no economies scored above 75, and the highest score, 71.3, was achieved by only Denmark and Sweden.


In 1975 the United Nations launched a Decade for Women, and in 1979 the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW), known as the international bill of rights for women, was adopted by the United Nations General Assembly. This decade of action led to many reforms increasing gender equality, with economies in better standing being among the first to ratify the convention. By 1986 nine economies were in the highest quartile, and only seven were in the lowest. By 1995 the number of top-scoring economies had grown to 21. Belgium and Luxembourg were the first economies to reach a score of 100 in 2011. They were soon followed by Denmark in 2012, Sweden in 2013, Latvia in 2014, France in 2015, Iceland in 2016, Canada in 2019, and Ireland and Portugal in 2020.

FIGURE 1.10 | ECONOMIES ACROSS ALL REGIONS MADE PROGRESS TOWARD GENDER EQUALITY OVER THE LAST 50 YEARS, BUT AT DIFFERENT RATES



Source: *Women, Business and the Law* (WBL) database.

Note: Figure shows change in the average WBL index score across seven regions between 1970 and 2020. OECD = Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.

FIGURE 1.11 | ECONOMIES ARE REFORMING LAWS IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION


Source: *Women, Business and the Law* database.

Note: Figure shows percentages of economies in four scoring categories of the WBL index. The Maputo Protocol is the Protocol to the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights on the Rights of Women, adopted by the African Union in 1975. CEDAW = Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (United Nations); UN = United Nations.

By 2010, when the United Nations established UN Women to define and promote global standards for achieving gender equality and the empowerment of women, the number of economies scoring above 75 surpassed those of the other categories. However, based on the 35 questions measured by *Women, Business and the Law*, laws in only 10 economies treat men and women equally today.

Although all economies have improved over the 50-year period, the pace of reform has been slow. In 20 economies in 2020, women continued to have half or fewer of the legal rights of men. These economies are mostly in the Middle East and North Africa, South Asia, and Sub-Saharan Africa regions, where, according to the data, reform momentum is growing. If the pace of change stays the same, however, at least another 30 years will be needed to achieve legal gender parity globally. Based on annual year-to-year increases in the average *Women, Business and the Law* index by region, predictions call for OECD high-income economies to be the first region to reach an average score of 100 by 2025, followed by Latin America and the Caribbean in 2043 and Europe and Central Asia in 2044.

The pace of reform has varied between indicators as well (figure 1.12). Even though the Workplace and Parenthood indicators were at their lowest in 1970, with 17.8% (135 of 760) and 16.4% (156 of 950) of positive data points, respectively, these indicators have demonstrated the strongest performance of all indicators covered by *Women, Business and the Law* over the last 50 years. Between 1970 and 2020, 61.8% (470 of 760)

FIGURE 1.12 | SINCE 1970, SCORES ON THE WORKPLACE AND PARENTHOOD INDICATORS HAVE SHOWN THE GREATEST IMPROVEMENT


Source: *Women, Business and the Law* database.

Note: Scores across the eight indicators are calculated as the simple average of individual data points. Mobility, Entrepreneurship, Pension, Pay, and Workplace reflect the simple average of four individual data points, while Assets, Marriage, and Parenthood reflect a simple average of five individual data points. The maximum possible number of positive data points for 190 economies for Mobility, Workplace, Pay, Entrepreneurship, and Pension is 760; for Marriage, Parenthood, and Assets, 950.

of data points were reformed under the Workplace indicator and 38.4% (365 of 950) under the Parenthood indicator. Despite this progress, however, Parenthood remains the indicator with the lowest scores. Nearly half of the data points under Parenthood (429 of 950) need reform, the largest gap of all indicators.

Because of the historically slow pace of progress, the regional distribution of scores under the Assets indicator has not changed significantly over time (figure 1.13). The Europe and Central Asia, OECD high-income, and Latin America and the Caribbean economies have the highest scores, with almost all economies implementing good practices related to women's property ownership and inheritance. Sub-Saharan Africa has reformed steadily over the last five decades, and today more than 50% of economies score 80 or above, meaning they have most of the good legislation measured.

Other regions, such as the Middle East and North Africa and South Asia, have seen little reform in this area. Besides the fact that relatively fewer laws under the Assets indicator are subject to reform, the slow pace of change may stem from the difficulty in reforming laws governing property ownership and inheritance, especially when engrained social norms dictate inheritance rules. Even when new legislation is passed, implementation is often hindered by social norms and women's lack of awareness of their rights. These social perceptions play an important role in influencing whether women can claim their rights to land and property. Recent research confirms that, although there is substantial variation, in almost all economies men are more likely than women to own property. This research also finds that legal frameworks related to women's rights to inheritance, immovable property, and marital regimes, as measured by *Women, Business and the Law*, are a reliable predictor of women's actual property shares. Economies with higher scores on the Assets indicator generally have higher levels of women's property ownership.³⁶

FIGURE 1.13 | ECONOMIES HAVE ENACTED FEW REFORMS AFFECTING THE ASSETS INDICATOR SINCE 1970

Source: *Women, Business and the Law* (WBL) database.

Note: OECD = Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.

What's next?

Women, Business and the Law is committed to informing policy discussions on how to improve women's economic opportunities and outcomes in all contexts. Policy makers, international organizations, civil society organizations, gender equality advocates, investors, and researchers can use its findings in many ways. For example, the data can help identify good practices and compare how favorable the regulatory environments of economies are for women business owners and workers. Data can also assist with assessing the economic impacts of laws and regulations on women's prospects as employees and entrepreneurs, thereby bolstering traditional human rights arguments with economic research. The findings can be used to inform legislative change, make evidence-based decisions on policy reform and private investment, and support research on institutions and regulation (box 1.2).

Future editions of *Women, Business and the Law* will strive to maintain these functionalities, both by refining current methodology to better reflect the legal environment for women worldwide and pursuing new research that complements the index or provides opportunities to expand it.

Considering this, *Women, Business and the Law* is proposing a small number of methodological changes to improve the quality and accuracy of the data presented in subsequent studies. One change is to measure laws based on their date of enforcement, rather than enactment, for all questions. Other methodology refinements will affect the Marriage, Parenthood, and Entrepreneurship indicators. These proposed changes are detailed in the data notes in appendix A.

Women, Business and the Law will also pursue a substantial research agenda. Although this study details new areas of focus, including childcare and women's access to justice, other topics such as girls' education, elder care, women with disabilities, and legal identity are being considered. Such research may result in additions to the index, but

BOX 1.2 HOW HAVE *WOMEN, BUSINESS AND THE LAW* DATA AND FINDINGS BEEN USED TO PROMOTE POLICY REFORM?

Women, Business and the Law data can provide important evidence to support the design of policy interventions that promote women's economic empowerment. Increasingly, World Bank Group operations are using *Women, Business and the Law* data and evidence to inform project design and target discriminatory legal frameworks. The World Bank recently supported the government of Madagascar with an ambitious agenda to improve human capital. One of the three main pillars of the development policy operation aimed to strengthen legal frameworks for the protection of women and girls. *Women, Business and the Law* data also helped to identify important legislative gaps, such as lack of legal protection against domestic violence. In Azerbaijan and Vietnam, the World Bank supported the reform of labor legislation to remove job restrictions for women as identified by *Women, Business and the Law* data. And government demand is increasing for advisory services related to *Women, Business and the Law* indicators, with new World Bank and International Finance Corporation operations supporting legal reforms to improve women's access to employment in Chad and Sierra Leone.

Disseminating findings through in-country events and methodology workshops is also instrumental to raising awareness about the importance of legal reform to address gender disparities. The *Women, Business and the Law* team has organized workshops with civil society organizations (CSOs) in 17 Sub-Saharan African economies since 2016. The workshops brought together CSOs, policy makers, and development actors to discuss barriers to women's economic opportunities and how to use data and evidence to amplify core messages about the economic consequences of gender equality reforms. In early 2020, the World Bank organized a peer-to-peer learning event in Libreville, Gabon, to discuss the impact of discriminatory laws and practices on women's empowerment and good practice laws that enhance women's economic opportunities. The event brought together ministers of women's affairs, senior leaders, and policy makers from 14 West and Central African economies, including the First Ladies of Burkina Faso, the Democratic Republic of Congo, and Gabon.

Women, Business and the Law data are also used by other institutions to influence policy change. For example, together with UN Women and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, *Women, Business and the Law* contributes its data and expertise to inform Sustainable Development Goal 5.1.1 (<https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/metadata/>), "Whether or not legal frameworks are in place to promote, enforce and monitor equality and non-discrimination on the basis of sex." The Millennium Challenge Corporation (<https://www.mcc.gov/who-we-select/indicator/gender-in-the-economy-indicator>) uses *Women, Business and the Law* data in its Gender in the Economy Indicator, which is used to assess economies' commitments to promoting gender equality. And several other institutions use *Women, Business and the Law* data to construct their own indicators, such as the Georgetown Institute for Women, Peace and Security's index (<https://giwps.georgetown.edu/the-index/>), the International Trade Center's SheTrades Outlook (<https://www.shetrades.com/en/projects/shetrades-outlook>), the Equal Measures 2030's SDG Gender Index (<https://data.em2030.org/2019-sdg-gender-index/indicators-and-data-sources/>), the Global Governance Forum's Gender Equality and Governance Index (GEGI) (<https://globalgovernanceforum.org/global-issues/gender-equality/>), and others. Arizona State University's Global SDG 5 Notification Tool (<https://globalfutures.asu.edu/sdg5-training/>) also provides users with insight into country-level progress on legal gender equality and aims to inform parliamentarians about

(continued on next page)

BOX 1.2 HOW HAVE *WOMEN, BUSINESS AND THE LAW* DATA AND FINDINGS BEEN USED TO PROMOTE POLICY REFORM? (CONTINUED)

the status of their laws ahead of the UN Human Rights Council Universal Periodic Review for their country.

These are just a few examples of how *Women, Business and the Law* data have been used by different stakeholders working toward the same goal—removing discriminatory laws that hinder women’s economic inclusion and promoting nondiscrimination and other good practice legislation that incentivize women’s economic participation.

also could lead to the production of case studies or policy briefs that measure outcomes or present a picture of the implementation of legislation in selected economies.

Refining and building on this work will develop new insight into how women’s employment and entrepreneurship are affected by discrimination and, in turn, how discrimination affects economic outcomes such as women’s participation in the labor market. By staying both relevant and valuable to the field of gender equality, the data can serve as an important tool in the pursuit of more resilient and equitable economies.

Notes

1. Hyland, Djankov, and Goldberg 2021.
2. Forty-five data points changed from “No” to “Yes” as a result of reform efforts. Three data points changed from “Yes” to “No” because of negative changes in the law.
3. IMF 2018.
4. Cuberes and Teignier 2016.
5. Doepke, Tertilt, and Voena 2012.
6. Lalive and Zweimüller 2009; Schönberg and Ludsteck 2014.
7. Deininger, Goyal, and Nagarajan 2010, 2013; Deininger, Jin, and Nagarajan 2009; Deininger et al. 2019.
8. Anderson and Genicot 2015; Bhalotra, Brulé, and Roy 2020; Rosenblum 2015; Roy 2015.
9. Roy 2015.
10. For example, there is evidence from Ghana that reforms to inheritance laws led to few positive changes in terms of women’s inheritance (Gedzi 2012). Two studies of legal reform in Pakistan found that a positive legal change has not allowed women to claim their entitled inheritances because of factors such as lack of education, patriarchal behaviors, and forced marriages (Ahmad, Batool, and Dziegielewski 2016; Holden and Chaudhary 2013).
11. A thorough overview of the literature on the effects of reforming gendered land ownership laws in Kenya, Rwanda, and Uganda is presented by Djurfeldt (2020).
12. Acemoglu and Jackson 2016.
13. Williamson and Kerekes 2011. The authors’ analysis pertains specifically to the importance of formal and informal institutions as they relate to property rights.
14. Williamson and Kerekes 2011.
15. Aldashev et al. 2012.

16. Hyland, Djankov, and Goldberg 2020; Islam, Muzi, and Amin 2019.
17. Amin and Islam 2015; Htun, Jensenius, and Nelson-Núñez 2019; Zabalza and Tzannatos 1985. Although many studies are based on correlations, Field et al. (2016) provide experimental evidence that depositing wages in a woman's own bank account (as opposed to that of the male head of household) increases her labor supply, thereby illustrating that financial autonomy can exert a causal impact on female labor force participation rates.
18. Islam, Muzi, and Amin 2019; Zabalza and Tzannatos 1985.
19. Agarwal 2003; Daley, Dore-Weeks, and Umuhoza 2010.
20. Ali, Deininger, and Goldstein 2014.
21. Persha, Greif, and Huntington 2017; Santos et al. 2014.
22. Agarwal 1994.
23. Hyland, Djankov, and Goldberg 2021.
24. Although several data sets provide insight into gender equality and women's economic opportunities across economies, the World Economic Forum's Global Gender Gap Index was chosen for correlation with the *Women, Business and the Law* index because of the range of topics it covers, as well as its expansive coverage across economies and over time.
25. WEF 2019.
26. The relationship between the *Women, Business and the Law* index and the proportion of female employees in vulnerable employment was confirmed using panel data regressions on data for 179 economies covering the period 1991–2019. The relationship is statistically significant after controlling for income (measured as GDP per capita) and economy-level and time fixed effects.
27. Asiedu et al. 2018.
28. IPU Parline—Global Data on National Parliaments, 2021, Geneva, <https://data.ipu.org/women-ranking?month=10&year=2020>.
29. Miller 2020.
30. Haas and Hwang 2019a, 2019b; Unterhofer and Wrohlich 2017.
31. Brandth and Kvande 2019; Marynissen et al. 2019; Patnaik 2014.
32. Aizer 2018; Raghavendra et al. 2019; Senior, Fazel, and Tsiachristas 2020.
33. Jabeen et al. 2020.
34. Deloitte 2019; Lancaster and van der Meulen Rodgers 2020; McLaughlin, Uggen, and Blackstone 2017.
35. Hendriks 2019.
36. Gaddis, Lahoti, and Swaminathan 2020.

References

-
- Acemoglu, Daron, and Matthew O. Jackson. 2016. "Social Norms and the Enforcement of Laws." Working Paper No. 466, John Olin Program in Economics, Stanford Law School, Stanford, CA.
- Agarwal, Bina. 1994. *A Field of One's Own: Gender and Land Rights in South Asia*. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Agarwal, Bina. 2003. "Gender and Land Rights Revisited: Exploring New Prospects via the State, Family and Market." *Journal of Agrarian Change* 3 (1-2): 184–224.
- Ahmad, Mahtab, Moazma Batool, and Sophia F. Dziegielewska. 2016. "State of Inheritance Rights: Women in a Rural District in Pakistan." *Journal of Social Service Research* 42 (5): 622–29.
- Aizer, Anna. 2018. "Intimate-partner Domestic Violence in the US: Costs, Consequences, Causes and Possible Solutions." National Science Foundation, October 25, 2018. https://www.nsf.gov/discoveries/disc_summ.jsp?cntn_id=297021&WT.mc_id=USNSF_1.
- Aldashev, Gani, Imane Chaara, Jean-Philippe Platteau, and Zaki Wahhaj. 2012. "Using the Law to Change the Custom." *Journal of Development Economics* 97 (2): 182–200.
- Ali, Daniel Ayalew, Klaus Deininger, and Markus Goldstein. 2014. "Environmental and Gender Impacts of Land Tenure Regularization in Africa: Pilot Evidence from Rwanda." *Journal of Development Economics* 110: 262–75.
- Amin, Mohammad, and Asif Islam. 2015. "Does Mandating Nondiscrimination in Hiring Practices Affect Female Employment? Evidence Using Firm-Level Data." *Feminist Economics* 79 (1): 125–35.
- Anderson, Siwan, and Garance Genicot. 2015. "Suicides and Property Rights in India." *Journal of Development Economics* 114 (C): 64–78.
- Asiedu, Elizabeth, Claire Branstetter, Neepta Gaekwad-Babulal, and Nanivazo Malokele. 2018. "The Effect of Women's Representation in Parliament and the Passing of Gender Sensitive Policies." Unpublished conference paper. <https://www.aeaweb.org/conference/2018/preliminary/paper/an5yEb5h>.

- Bhalotra, Sonia R., Rachel Brulé, and Sanchari Roy. 2020. "Women's Inheritance Rights Reform and the Preference for Sons in India." *Journal of Development Economics* 146(C).
- Brandth, Berit, and Elin Kvande. 2019. "Fathers' Sense of Entitlement to Ear-Marked and Shared Parental Leave." *Sociological Review* 67 (5).
- Cuberes, David, and Marc Teignier. 2016. "Aggregate Effects of Gender Gaps in the Labor Market: A Quantitative Estimate." *Journal of Human Capital* 10 (1): 1–32.
- Daley, Elizabeth, Rachel Dore-Weeks, and Claudine Umuhoza. 2010. "Ahead of the Game: Land Tenure Reform in Rwanda and the Process of Securing Women's Land Rights." *Journal of Eastern African Studies* 4 (1): 131–52.
- Deininger, Klaus, Aparajita Goyal, and Hari K. Nagarajan. 2010. "Inheritance Law Reform and Women's Access to Capital: Evidence from India's Hindu Succession Act." Policy Research Working Paper 5338, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Deininger, Klaus, Aparajita Goyal, and Hari K. Nagarajan. 2013. "Women's Inheritance Rights and Intergenerational Transmission of Resources in India." *Journal of Human Resources* 48 (1): 114–41.
- Deininger, Klaus, Songqing Jin, and Hari K. Nagarajan. 2009. "Land Reforms, Poverty Reduction, and Economic Growth: Evidence from India." *Journal of Development Studies* 45 (4): 496–521.
- Deininger, Klaus, Songqing Jin, Hari K. Nagarajan, and Fang Xia. 2019. "Inheritance Law Reform, Empowerment, and Human Capital Accumulation: Second-Generation Effects from India." *Journal of Development Studies* 55 (12): 2549–71.
- Deloitte. 2019. *The Economic Costs of Sexual Harassment in the Workplace*. Canberra, Australia: Deloitte Access Economics.
- Djurfeldt, Agnes Andersson. 2020. "Gendered Land Rights, Legal Reform and Social Norms in the Context of Land Fragmentation—A Review of the Literature for Kenya, Rwanda and Uganda." *Land Use Policy* 90: 104305.
- Doepke, Matthias, Michele Tertilt, and Alessandra Voena. 2012. "The Economics and Politics of Women's Rights." *Annual Review of Economics* 4 (1): 339–72.
- Field, Erica, Rohini Pande, Natalia Rigol, Simone Schaner, and Charity Troyer Moore. 2016. "On Her Account: Can Strengthening Women's Financial Control Boost Female Labor Supply?" Working paper, Harvard Business School, Cambridge, MA. <https://www.hbs.edu/faculty/Pages/item.aspx?num=56847>.
- Gaddis, Isis, Rahul Lahoti, and Hema Swaminathan. 2020. "Women's Legal Rights and Gender Gaps in Property Ownership in Developing Countries." Policy Research Working Paper 9444, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Gezdi, Victor Selorme. 2012. "Women's Property Relations after Intestate Succession PNDC Law 111 in Ghana." *Research on Humanities and Social Sciences* 2 (9): 211–19.
- Haas, Linda, and C. Philip Hwang. 2019a. "Policy Is Not Enough—The Influence of the Gendered Workplace on Fathers' Use of Parental Leave in Sweden." *Community, Work and Family* 22 (1): 58–76.
- Haas, Linda, and C. Philip Hwang. 2019b. "Workplace Support and European Fathers' Use of State Policies Promoting Shared Childcare." *Community, Work and Family* (22) 1: 1–22.
- Hendriks, Sarah. 2019. "The Role of Financial Inclusion in Driving Women's Economic Empowerment." *Development in Practice* 29: 1021–38.
- Holden, Livia, and Azam Chaudhary. 2013. "Daughters' Inheritance, Legal Pluralism, and Governance in Pakistan." *Journal of Legal Pluralism and Unofficial Law* 45 (1): 104–23.
- Htun, Malal, Francesca R. Jensenius, and Jami Nelson-Núñez. 2019. "Gender-Discriminatory Laws and Women's Economic Agency." *Social Politics: International Studies in Gender, State and Society* 26 (2): 193–222. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sp/jxy042>.
- Hyland, Marie, Simeon Djankov, and Pinelopi Koujianou Goldberg. 2020. "Gendered Laws and Women in the Workforce." *American Economic Review: Insights* 2 (4): 527–42.
- Hyland, Marie, Simeon Djankov, and Pinelopi Koujianou Goldberg. 2021. "Do Gendered Laws Matter?" Financial Markets Groups Discussion Paper No. 824, London School of Economics.
- IMF (International Monetary Fund). 2018. *Pursuing Women's Economic Empowerment*. Washington, DC: IMF.
- Islam, Asif, Silvia Muzi, and Mohammad Amin. 2019. "Unequal Laws and the Disempowerment of Women in the Labour Market: Evidence from Firm-Level Data." *Journal of Development Studies* 55 (5): 822–44.
- Jabeen, Salma, Sanam Haq, Arif Jameel, Abid Hussain, Muhammad Asif, Jinsoo Hwang, and Abida Jabeen. 2020. "Impacts of Rural Women's Traditional Economic Activities on Household Economy: Changing Economic Contributions through Empowered Women in Rural Pakistan." *Sustainability* 12 (7): 2731.
- Lalive, Rafael, and Josef Zweimüller. 2009. "How Does Parental Leave Affect Fertility and Return to Work? Evidence from Two Natural Experiments." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 124 (3): 1363–402.
- Lancaster, Debra, and Yana van der Meulen Rodgers. 2020. "Economic Impacts of Sexual Harassment: Combating Sexual Harassment Can Further Gender Equality." CWW Issue Brief, Center for Women and Work, Piscataway, NJ.

- Marynissen, Leen, Eleonora Mussino, Jonas Wood, and Ann-Zofie Duvander. 2019. "Fathers' Parental Leave Uptake in Belgium and Sweden: Self-Evident or Subject to Employment Characteristics?" *Social Sciences* 8 (11): 312.
- McLaughlin Heather, Christopher Uggen, and Amy Blackstone. 2017. "The Economic and Career Effects of Sexual Harassment on Working Women." *Gender and Society* 31 (3): 333–58.
- Miller, Stephen. 2020. "Pandemic Could Worsen the Gender Pay Gap," *SHRM*, August 10. <https://www.shrm.org/resourcesandtools/hr-topics/compensation/pages/pandemic-could-worsen-gender-pay-gap.aspx>.
- Patnaik, Ankita. 2014. "Making Leave Easier: Better Compensation and Daddy-Only Entitlements." Working paper, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY.
- Persha, Lauren, Adi Greif, and Heather Huntington. 2017. "Assessing the Impact of Second-level Land Certification in Ethiopia." Paper prepared for 2017 World Bank Conference on Land and Poverty, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Raghavendra, Srinivas, Kijong Kim, Sinead Ashe, Mrinal Chadha, Felix Asante, Petri T. Piironen, and Nata Duvvury. 2019. "The Macroeconomic Loss Due to Violence against Women and Girls: The Case of Ghana." Working Paper No. 939, Levy Economics Institute of Bard College, Annandale-on-Hudson, NY.
- Rosenblum, Daniel. 2015. "Unintended Consequences of Women's Inheritance Rights on Female Mortality in India." *Economic Development and Cultural Change* 63 (2): 223–48.
- Roy, Sanchari. 2015. "Empowering Women? Inheritance Rights, Female Education and Dowry Payments in India," *Journal of Development Economics* 114: 233–51.
- Santos, Florence, Diana Fletschner, Vivien Savath, and Amber Peterman. 2014. "Can Government-Allocated Land Contribute to Food Security? Intrahousehold Analysis of West Bengal's Microplot Allocation Program." *World Development* 64 (C): 860–72.
- Schönberg, Uta, and Johannes Ludsteck. 2014. "Expansions in Maternity Leave Coverage and Mothers' Labor Market Outcomes after Childbirth," *Journal of Labor Economics* 32 (3): 469–505.
- Senior, Morwenna, Seena Fazel, and Apostolos Tsiachristas. 2020. "The Economic Impact of Violence Perpetration in Severe Mental Illness: A Retrospective, Prevalence-Based Analysis in England and Wales." *Lancet Public Health* (5) 2: 99–106.
- Unterhofer, Ulrike, and Katharina Wrohlich. 2017. "Fathers, Parental Leave and Gender Norms." IZA Discussion Paper 10712, Institute of Labor Economics, Bonn, Germany.
- WEF (World Economic Forum). 2019. *Global Gender Gap Report 2020*. Geneva: WEF.
- Williamson, Claudia R., and Carrie B. Kerekes. 2011. "Securing Private Property: Formal versus Informal Institutions." *Journal of Law and Economics* 54 (3): 537–72.
- Zabalza, Antoni, and Zafiris Tzannatos. 1985. "The Effect of Britain's Anti-discriminatory Legislation on Relative Pay and Employment." *Economic Journal* 95 (379): 679–99.



ANNEX

Summaries of Reforms

In the period from September 2, 2019, to October 1, 2020, *Women, Business and the Law* recorded reforms resulting in 36 changes to indicator scores that improved gender equality in employment and entrepreneurial activity in 27 economies. Over the same period, one economy implemented a change widening the legal gender gap.

✓ Reform increasing gender parity	✗ Change decreasing gender parity
-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Austria

✓ Parenthood

Austria introduced 30 days of paid paternity leave.

Bahrain

✓ Pay

Bahrain made job opportunities more equal for men and women by eliminating discriminatory restrictions on women's employment in arduous jobs.

✓ Entrepreneurship

Bahrain made access to credit easier for women by prohibiting gender-based discrimination in financial services.

✓ Pension

Bahrain explicitly accounted for periods of absence due to childcare in pension benefits.

Benin

✓ Mobility

Benin allowed women to apply for a passport in the same way as men.

Brazil

✓ Pension

Brazil eliminated the possibility of retiring with partial pension benefits. Previously, the ages at which men and women could retire with partial pension benefits were unequal.

Chile

✓ Marriage

Chile gave women the same rights to remarry as men.

Costa Rica

✓ Pay

Costa Rica lifted a ban on women's night work.

Ethiopia

✓ Parenthood

Ethiopia increased paid maternity leave from 90 to 120 days and introduced three days of paid paternity leave.

Fiji

✓ Mobility

Fiji allowed women to apply for a passport in the same way as men.

Ireland

✓ Parenthood

Ireland introduced two weeks of paid parental leave as an individual entitlement for each parent.

Jordan

✓ Mobility

Jordan allowed women to apply for a passport in the same way as men.

✓ Entrepreneurship

Jordan made access to credit easier for women by prohibiting gender-based discrimination in financial services.

Kuwait

✓ Marriage

Kuwait enacted legislation protecting women from domestic violence.

Madagascar

✓ Marriage

Madagascar enacted legislation protecting women from domestic violence.

Marshall Islands

✓ Workplace

The Marshall Islands prohibited gender-based discrimination in employment.

✓ **Pay**

The Marshall Islands mandated equal remuneration for work of equal value.

✓ **Entrepreneurship**

The Marshall Islands made access to credit easier for women by prohibiting gender-based discrimination in financial services.

Montenegro

✓ **Pay**

Montenegro made job opportunities more equal for men and women by eliminating discriminatory restrictions on women's employment in industrial jobs such as those in the mining, construction, manufacturing, and water sectors. It also removed restrictions on women's employment in jobs deemed dangerous.

New Zealand

✓ **Pay**

New Zealand mandated equal remuneration for work of equal value.

Pakistan

✓ **Entrepreneurship**

Pakistan allowed women to register a business in the same way as men.

Portugal

✓ **Marriage**

Portugal gave women the same rights to remarry as men.

Puerto Rico (US)

✓ **Marriage**

Puerto Rico gave women the same rights to remarry as men.

Rwanda

✓ **Marriage**

Rwanda gave women the same rights to remarry as men.

Saudi Arabia

✓ **Pay**

Saudi Arabia eliminated all restrictions on women's employment.

Senegal

✓ Workplace

Senegal prohibited gender-based discrimination in employment.

Sierra Leone

✓ Workplace

Sierra Leone adopted legislation on sexual harassment in employment.

Slovak Republic

✗ Pension

The Slovak Republic established different ages for men and women to retire with full and partial pension benefits. The mandatory retirement age for men and women is now unequal.

Slovenia

✓ Pension

Slovenia equalized the age (65 years) at which men and women can retire with full pension benefits.

Suriname

✓ Parenthood

Suriname introduced 16 weeks of paid maternity leave and eight days of paid paternity leave.

United Arab Emirates

✓ Mobility

The United Arab Emirates allowed women to choose where to live and to travel abroad in the same way as men. The United Arab Emirates also allowed a married woman to leave the home without her husband's permission.

✓ Workplace

The United Arab Emirates allowed a woman to get a job without permission from her husband.

✓ Pay

The United Arab Emirates mandated equal remuneration for work of equal value.

✓ Marriage

The United Arab Emirates no longer requires a married woman to obey her husband.

✓ Parenthood

The United Arab Emirates introduced five days of paid parental leave as an individual entitlement for each parent. Fathers now have paid leave to care for their newborn children.

Uzbekistan

✓ Entrepreneurship

Uzbekistan made access to credit easier for women by prohibiting gender-based discrimination in financial services.

Vietnam

✓ Pay

Vietnam made job opportunities more equal for men and women by eliminating discriminatory restrictions on women's employment in jobs deemed dangerous.



CHAPTER 2


Women and COVID-19

- COVID-19 has largely affected sectors in which the share of female employment is high.
- Since onset of the pandemic, close to 40 economies have introduced leave or benefit policies to help employed parents reconcile their jobs with their newly expanded childcare obligations.
- For women navigating family law issues, justice systems have implemented novel methods of serving their constituents while maintaining safety as a priority, including remote hearings where digital infrastructure allows. At least 72 economies have introduced measures to declare family cases urgent or essential during lockdown, and 88 economies have allowed remote court access.
- The pandemic has had disproportionate impacts on women's health and safety. Many governments have acted to mitigate such effects, including by introducing services dedicated to protecting women from violence.

By early 2020, it had become clear that COVID-19 was not just a public health emergency; it would also have lasting social and economic impacts. Reports suggested that it would affect men and women differently, noting that data collection and analysis could provide valuable insights into the gender dimensions of disease outbreak and response. In particular, strong legal frameworks and justice systems would be critical for maintaining stability, safeguarding citizens' rights, and providing emergency relief during times of crisis. Information about an economy's preparedness in the event of a pandemic and any actions taken after its outbreak could aid in creating effective and equitable policies and interventions in the future.

Indeed, it appears women will bear the brunt of the pandemic's effects on the global economy. Evidence has already begun to emerge on its impacts on their economic opportunity. Although recessions are often associated with a disproportionate impact on male employment, COVID-19 has largely affected sectors in which the share of female employment is high.¹ Data from the World Bank's Enterprise Analysis Unit reveal a larger drop in the proportion of female full-time employees relative to male full-time employees (figure 2.1).² Collection efforts are still ongoing, but the data reveal that more women than men have been forced to take leave from or quit their jobs due to illness, childcare

FIGURE 2.1 | COVID-19 HAS LED TO A LARGER DROP IN FEMALE EMPLOYMENT, SELECTED ECONOMIES



Source: Enterprise Surveys (database), "COVID-19: Impact on Firms," World Bank, Washington, DC, <https://www.enterprisesurveys.org/en/covid-19>.

Note: The figure shows the average change in male and female permanent full-time employees between December 2019 and the end of the month prior to which the survey was conducted. Survey weights, which were rescaled to ensure that each economy is given equal weight, were applied to calculate the averages. The graph presents simple weighted averages and does not account for factors such as the length of time since onset of the pandemic. The following economies are represented: Albania, Belarus, Bulgaria, Chad, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, El Salvador, Georgia, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Honduras, Hungary, Italy, Jordan, Malta, Moldova, Mongolia, Morocco, Nicaragua, Niger, North Macedonia, Poland, Romania, the Russian Federation, Slovenia, Togo, Zambia, and Zimbabwe.

interruptions, or mobility restrictions. They also suggest that the proportion of male and female employees who have been laid off or furloughed is similar.

Figure 2.1 is a mere snapshot of the gendered impacts of the pandemic; continued data collection and evaluation are needed to provide a more complete assessment. To assist in such efforts, *Women, Business and the Law* added research questions related to COVID-19 to its questionnaires (figure 2.2). Questions were not necessarily based on *Women, Business and the Law* indicators; rather, they were chosen in conjunction with the theme of each questionnaire and were based on the ability of local experts in each respondent pool to provide current information. The data, collected between April and October 2020, were verified with secondary sources. The new questions were designed to gather information about measures, both legal and programmatic, provisional and permanent, that governments implemented to address the unprecedented challenges faced by women employees and entrepreneurs during the pandemic.

Three distinct themes emerged during collection and analysis of these data. First, employed parents, and particularly mothers, were facing unprecedented levels of unpaid care work that were incompatible with the demands of most jobs in the labor market. Second, stay-at-home orders and other restrictions may also have been preventing women from safely and effectively accessing justice. And, third, the crisis was disproportionately threatening women's health and safety, making the allocation of resources and services to mitigate any impact vital. Considering measures implemented across themes, the data show that economies with greater gender equality were more likely to address these challenges. The average *Women, Business and the Law* index score for economies that adopted at least one of the

FIGURE 2.2 | SELECT MEASURES INTRODUCED DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

Childcare	Court systems	Health and safety
• Leave and compensation to care for a child • Childcare for essential workers • Childcare assistance	• Access to family courts • Electronic or remote hearings, filing of claims, and protection orders • Automatic extension of protection orders	• Nondiscrimination in health insurance premiums • Services to address violence against women

Source: *Women, Business and the Law* database.

measures tracked is 82.0, compared with 64.5 for economies in which no evidence was found of action taken.

The following sections explore *Women, Business and the Law*'s preliminary findings in each area. The data are intended to provide examples of measures that governments may consider implementing should their specific contexts require them during this time. The evidence presented here encourages further research on the impacts of COVID-19 on gender equality, and should be considered together with other data on the subject as they become available.

Responding to the childcare crisis

As the COVID-19 pandemic unfolded, droves of women were being forced out of the labor force.³ This outcome was in part because women are often concentrated in occupations most vulnerable to an economic downturn, such as hospitality and services, and so are subject to greater job losses than men.⁴ Women may also find their childcare responsibilities increased at the expense of paid work if they are the secondary wage earner in the family.

In large part, however, it was widespread gender inequality in the home and lack of childcare that continued to affect women's work.⁵ With almost every economy temporarily or indefinitely shutting down schools and childcare centers, mothers were bearing the brunt of increased childcare, often having to choose between their jobs and their families.⁶ For frontline workers who cannot work from home—the majority of whom worldwide are women⁷—school closures and childcare shutdowns were particularly challenging. Even when both parents were fortunate enough to be able to work from home, men were still not performing the same amount of childcare and unpaid work as women.⁸ Furthermore, many employers were discriminating against mothers and failed to or could not accommodate their childcare needs.⁹

Although exacerbated, this unequal distribution of care work within households and lack of childcare support for working parents are nothing new. In the prepandemic world, women were spending 4.5 hours a day on unpaid work, whereas men were spending less than half of that time.¹⁰ Although men were doing more care work than before lockdowns began, COVID-19 simply exposed, more than ever, the childcare crisis across the world that is escalating gender inequality.

Women, Business and the Law has explored how policy makers addressed this crisis during the pandemic through social protection measures and changes to labor and employment law. Specifically, it has investigated three categories of new childcare measures: (1) childcare leave policies, (2) childcare measures for essential workers, and (3) other forms of childcare assistance available to parents during the pandemic.

Before the onset of COVID-19, less than a quarter of all economies legally guaranteed employed parents any time off for childcare. These policies are almost exclusively found in Europe and Central Asia and among the high-income member economies of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Although the exact details and even the titles of these leave policies differ considerably from one economy to another, they all provide parents of young and school-age children with time off for childcare purposes.¹¹

With the widespread closure of schools and care facilities, however, close to 40 economies from all regions, except East Asia and the Pacific and South Asia, ushered in leave or benefit policies to help employed parents reconcile their jobs with newly expanded childcare obligations. More than three-fourths of these economies are classified as high-income. Approximately three-fifths introduced temporary policies during school closures, while the remaining economies changed existing ones.

The COVID-19 childcare leave and benefit policies examined here vary widely. For eligibility, the right to leave as well as to any compensation is almost always tied to formal employment. For example, in Switzerland parents applying for childcare benefits must be covered by the Swiss social security insurance program and must be employed or engaged in self-employment. Parents working in the informal sector are not eligible. In lower-income economies where women are largely working informally, governments considering childcare leave or benefit policies should ensure they have access to any programs implemented in response to the pandemic.¹²

In some economies such as Cyprus and Romania an employee must be unable to work from home to receive childcare leave. In others, such as France, anyone affected by lack of regular childcare is eligible. Some governments also limit eligibility based on the age of the child. In Chile and Poland, only parents of children under the age of eight are eligible, whereas in Bulgaria and Portugal parents with children up to age 12 can take childcare leave. In a few economies, including Algeria, only mothers are entitled to childcare leave.

The duration of COVID-era childcare leave and benefit policies can also fluctuate. In economies such as Argentina and North Macedonia, the duration of childcare leave or of the payment of benefits is based on the duration of the state of emergency or school closure. Meanwhile, other economies have established a maximum duration ranging from about three days (Greece) to three months (the United States). In at least one economy, Fiji, leave was shortened to maintain the stability of jobs and businesses. The Employment Relations (Amendment) Act 2020 reduced both paternity and family care leave from five to two business days.

When it comes to wage compensation for income lost because of childcare obligations, benefits are typically based on a percentage of the parent's income. In Italy, between March and July 2020 parents were jointly entitled to 30 days of leave paid at 50% of the parent's salary. In France, workers with children affected by school closures

were entitled to up to 70% of wage compensation until they reopened. Leave can also be unpaid, such as in Canada, or in rare cases, be fully paid, such as in the Seychelles.

In most economies providing such compensation, cash benefits are funded by the government. In Malta, parents unable to work from home but who had to take care of their children under 16 years of age were eligible for a cash benefit during school closures. Portugal offered an allowance to parents who had children under the age of 12 and who had to miss work because of the closure of schools and day cares. In Japan, the government offered to partially compensate employers for the special paid leave they gave employees unable to work because of the closure of their child's care or education facility. In other economies such as West Bank and Gaza employers must pay for all or part of childcare leave.

Many governments also took specific measures to provide childcare for essential workers on the frontline of the COVID-19 pandemic. Some 20 economies introduced such measures once the pandemic spread. New Zealand funded home-based childcare for children of essential workers unable to find alternative care arrangements during the lockdown. Similarly, Singapore required preschools to stay open for parents who provide essential services, with priority given to health care workers unable to work from home and low-income essential workers.

Other forms of childcare assistance have also proved critical. Although leave and benefits are essential, many parents left without childcare during COVID-19-induced lockdowns were not eligible or simply could not afford to take leave without full pay or stopping work. To address these challenges, some economies introduced innovative childcare assistance measures, such as free childcare or compensation specifically designated for childcare (table 2.1). Such measures are heavily concentrated among OECD high-income economies.

Supporting the childcare industry itself was also key to ensuring it did not collapse during and after the pandemic. Some governments provided support to childcare providers, including allocation of funds and tax relief. In the United Kingdom, eligible

TABLE 2.1 **EXAMPLES OF CHILDCARE MEASURES INTRODUCED DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC**

Economy	Type of measure	Description
Argentina	Paid childcare leave	Parents were entitled to paid leave for purposes of childcare while schools were closed.
Australia	Free childcare	Childcare was free for all workers between April 6 and July 12, 2020.
China	Childcare support for essential workers	The government provided childcare support, such as home-based teaching, if the parents or other guardians were unable to fully perform their care duties because of needs stemming from pandemic prevention and antipandemic work.
Estonia	Exemption from paying kindergarten fees	In Tallinn, kindergartens remained open, and the city government suspended payment of fees until the end of April 2020.
Italy	Babysitting vouchers	Employees and self-employed persons received support to pay for babysitters via a childcare voucher of up to €1,200 for families with children under age 12.
United Kingdom	Tax credits	Parents who had to reduce their working hours were still eligible to offset childcare costs through tax credits.

Source: *Women, Business and the Law* database.

childcare centers will not have to pay any business rates, a type of property tax, from 2020 to 2021. Similarly, in Hong Kong SAR, China, qualified childcare centers are eligible for a Child Care Centre Special Grant administered by the Social Welfare Department to help manage financial difficulties arising from suspension of their services due to COVID-19.

Overall, *Women, Business and the Law* found that pandemic-related childcare measures were few and far between. They were also likely insufficient to tackle the motherhood penalty and solve the childcare crisis. Even before the pandemic, fathers' uptake of paid parental leave compared with mothers' was abysmally low in the majority of the 44 economies guaranteeing it.¹³ In Japan, only 7.5% of eligible fathers took paid parental leave in 2019, despite having one of the most generous policies in the world.¹⁴ And in the United Kingdom, it is estimated that only 2–8% of eligible couples made use of shared parental leave benefits in 2016.¹⁵

Long-term strategies to build robust childcare infrastructure, encourage fathers to share equally in unpaid care work, and reconcile the demands of both childcare and the workplace are critical to achieving gender equality. To promote fathers' uptake of leave and help redistribute unpaid care work in the home, some governments are designing parental leave policies that are highly compensated, include specific nontransferable allocations for fathers, or provide sharing bonuses.¹⁶ Other interventions, such as strengthening nondiscrimination laws, are also needed to ensure that a basic legal framework enabling women's economic inclusion is in place. The private sector can also play a role by allowing flexible work arrangements to support parents.¹⁷ Finally, investments in the childcare industry, which also benefit children's cognitive development,¹⁸ are critical to boost gender equality and improve women's labor force participation.

Accessing the court system

Globally, women initiate the majority of family law cases, including formalizing marriage or divorce, seeking custody of children, and requesting protection from violence. Access to court is therefore an important component of women's security, voice, and agency. Family courts worldwide have taken several approaches to enabling women to access the justice system during the pandemic. Even and especially during a state of emergency, it is important to declare certain cases as “urgent” or “essential” ones that must be addressed. Procedures that offer women a safe alternative to in-person hearings must also be introduced or expanded.

Although the pandemic has altered the functioning of many economies' judicial systems, governments responded to these challenges by enabling women to access justice in several innovative ways. At least 72 economies introduced measures to declare family cases urgent or essential during lockdown. Slovenia's judiciary enacted a decree in March 2020 calling on courts to hold hearings only for a limited number of urgent cases, including those related to the upbringing and care of children and maintenance obligations. In South Africa, which has one of the highest rates of gender-based violence in Sub-Saharan Africa,¹⁹ the chief justice authorized all heads of court and magistrates in the superior and lower courts to enable access for urgent matters such as bail applications, maintenance, domestic violence, and children-related cases.²⁰


Together with Ethiopia, South Africa also treated domestic violence cases as urgent, with courts continuing to hear cases.

Procedurally, 88 economies across all regions allowed remote court proceedings for family law matters. Such measures were most common in the OECD high-income region, followed by Europe and Central Asia and Latin America and the Caribbean (figure 2.3). The form and extent of these measures vary, encompassing fully digital court databases and electronic filing procedures, as well as virtual hearings conducted via videoconference, phone, or a social media platform. Sometimes, small adjustments to existing procedures can go a long way toward easing women's access to justice. Norway dedicated a phone line to urgent requests for mediation in divorce proceedings while family welfare offices were closed. In addition, 12 economies—Argentina, Brazil, France, Greece, India, Ireland, Kenya, Portugal, Puerto Rico (US), Trinidad and Tobago, the United States, and Uruguay—automatically extended protection orders as a direct response to the health crisis.

At times, it became essential to create new online portals to meet demands. As part of their COVID-19 emergency plan, Puerto Rico's judicial branch launched an online platform for the submission of protection order applications so the applicant would not have to go in person to the court or police headquarters. The Barbados judiciary introduced virtual courts and began conducting hearings by videoconference for urgent cases, which included violence against women and girls.²¹ China also launched online platforms to facilitate the application process for protection orders. In the Middle East and North Africa, Lebanon was the only country to introduce hearings to obtain protection orders via video call. The attorney general of the Court of Cassation also issued a circular that included an updated procedure for public prosecution of domestic violence cases.²²

Although some economies were already equipped to conduct remote proceedings, others enacted new laws to respond to the crisis. At least 79 economies introduced

FIGURE 2.3 | ECONOMIES ACROSS ALL REGIONS ALLOWED REMOTE ACCESS TO FAMILY COURTS DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC



Source: *Women, Business and the Law* database.

Note: OECD = Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.

laws or policies on remote access to courts because of COVID-19 and after lockdowns were launched worldwide (table 2.2). In Mauritania, the public prosecution office created a digital platform via WhatsApp where citizens could file complaints and submit pictures and documents related to cases. In India, the Delhi High Court allowed family courts to record evidence via videoconferencing during the pandemic. Finally, Ghana accelerated its program on electronic filing and remote case access for judges. However, it is not yet fully functional countrywide because of a lack of internet access and network issues.

Marginalized groups, including women and the poor, have faced higher barriers in accessing the technology and infrastructure they need to receive services during the pandemic. In developed economies, nearly 87% of people have access to the internet, whereas in developing economies only 47% benefit from such access.²³ Worldwide, 58.3% of men and 48.4% of women have internet access—a gender gap that is especially prevalent in low-income economies.²⁴

Flexible approaches can be helpful when addressing multiple gaps such as these. New technologies and mobile services have proved essential to guaranteeing access to justice for women during the COVID-19 crisis. For example, because the internet infrastructure in Guinea-Bissau is not strong enough to support videoconferencing, court procedures related to child custody and alimony can be carried out by phone.

However, a lack of available resources and insufficient infrastructure may make implementation difficult. In 68 economies, the justice system has not responded to the crisis, meaning that remote access to family courts is not possible and family cases were not declared urgent. And in 18 economies where physical access to courts was

TABLE 2.2 **EXAMPLES OF FAMILY COURT SYSTEM RESPONSES TO THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC**

Economy	Type of measure	Description
Canada	Greater use of electronic filing and remote hearings for family law cases	Although both the Ontario Court of Justice and Superior Court allowed electronic filings and hearings by phone and videoconferences before onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, including when filing for divorce, family lawyers noticed an uptake in the use of these means during the crisis.
India	Issuance of ruling on use of technology for child custody arrangements	In April 2020, the Supreme Court of India prescribed guidelines for the functioning of the courts through videoconferencing in matters related to family law (among others), and high courts throughout the country followed suit. The high court in Mumbai also directed a woman to arrange for videoconferencing between her two children and their father during the lockdown, having held that the lockdown should not prevent a father from seeing and talking to his children.
Japan	Declaration of urgency for family matters	Although courts limited their activities to help prevent the spread of COVID-19, in April 2020 the Tokyo Family Court announced that urgent family cases, including urgent child custody cases, would be processed as usual.
Nigeria	Launch of remote hearings and electronic document submission for divorce and child custody proceedings	In May 2020, the Lagos judiciary in Nigeria approved hearings via electronic platforms such as Skype or Zoom for urgent cases related to divorce proceedings and child custody cases. Documents could be submitted via both email and WhatsApp.
United Kingdom	Issuance of protocols on remote hearings of family law matters	In March 2020, the family law division of the courts published detailed protocols on how to conduct hearings remotely via teleconference, Skype, email, and other means of electronic communication.

Source: *Women, Business and the Law* database.

completely restricted, seven did not provide any remote proceedings. Such measures should be introduced and not remain temporary; they should be implemented beyond the pandemic. Policy makers also need to address gaps in digital literacy and access to information technology for marginalized groups. Remote access to family courts will help to alleviate an undue burden on women who are juggling work, mobility constraints, childcare, and other family responsibilities for years to come.

Protecting women's health and safety

As new findings continue to emerge about the effects of the coronavirus, its secondary impacts on the health and safety of women and girls become clearer. Easier access to health care and equalization of health insurance premiums paid by women and men have become especially important as women try, with increasing difficulty, to access vital services in a pandemic.

To combat these disparities, governments and insurance companies could adopt gender-sensitive responses to meet the needs of women during the COVID-19 pandemic, including by taking into account barriers to women's digital and financial inclusion, acknowledging their increased caretaking responsibilities, and providing resources to help them cope with the multifaceted challenges of the crisis.²⁵ For example, insurers often incorporate information on several factors when determining premium rates: health status, age, gender, geographic location, occupation, and family size, among others.²⁶ Such factors can be used to justify higher premium rates, especially for women.

Although these rates need not be motivated by a pandemic, a prohibition of discrimination in this area could protect women when such situations arise. In the United States, data reveal that women paid more than men for the same health insurance coverage until 2014 when the Affordable Care Act (ACA) was enacted and enforced a prohibition on gender rating in the calculation of health insurance premiums at the federal level.²⁷ After its enactment, the percentage of women ages 19–64 who reported delaying or skipping necessary care because of health insurance costs fell to an all-time low (38%) versus nearly half of women (48%) in 2010.²⁸ Such a law could be a helpful tool in preventing gender-based discrimination when accessing health insurance.

Forty-four out of the 190 economies measured by *Women, Business and the Law* legally prohibit discrimination based on gender when calculating health insurance premiums. The Civil Code of the Czech Republic states that an insurer may not use pregnancy or maternity “as an aspect in determining the amount of the insurance premium or in calculating the insurance benefit.” Cabo Verde's Decree-Law No. 35 of 2010 stipulates that “in the signing, execution and termination of the insurance contract, discriminatory practices based on ancestry, sex, race, language, territory of origin, religion, political or ideological beliefs, education, economic situation, social condition or sexual orientation, as well as for people with disabilities or aggravated health risk, are prohibited.” Iceland uses its gender equality law to eliminate discrimination “when deciding the amount of benefits due to insurance contract or under other related financial service.” Such protections against discrimination can ensure that women remain both healthy and financially resilient during this time.

Some economies have also acted to reduce the impact of the pandemic on women's access to family planning and reproductive health services. In June 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommended prioritizing access to sexual and reproductive health services, which can be severely disrupted during virus outbreaks, disempowering women and girls and exposing them to health risks.²⁹ Economies have been acting promptly on these recommendations. Guidelines released by the Indian Ministry of Health recommend the continuation of routine reproductive health services, including walk-ins for family planning services.³⁰ In the United States, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recommended that health care providers offer quality family planning services while minimizing in-person contact between patients and providers, including through digital health services.³¹

For women facing threats to their safety, governments have also allocated resources and services to mitigate the impact of violence. Forced coexistence, job loss, and escalating stress and anxiety about the future can lead to greater tension in the household, turning many partners into abusers or exacerbating existing abuse. Indeed, the pandemic has contributed to a rise in both the severity and frequency of gender-based violence.³² Ensuring the provision or continuity of services, including access to health care, psychological assistance, legal aid, shelters, dedicated police resources, livelihood support, and hotlines, as established by international legal standards,³³ has therefore been of paramount importance for survivors of gender-based violence throughout the pandemic. Without such support, the stretched capacity of response services could contribute to larger losses for women and a heightened perception of impunity among perpetrators.³⁴

Preliminary research finds that since early 2020 economies around the world have introduced about 125 new measures to facilitate women's access to services protecting them from gender-based violence (table 2.3). These measures, which take different forms, may include emergency services provided by justice or women's affairs ministries, emergency decrees or protocols issued by governments, or approval of additional budget for the establishment of new services or enhancement of existing ones, among other things. Such services either complement and support existing programs or introduce new ones.

Of the services measured, hotlines were the most frequent, accounting for about one-fourth of all services introduced. Emerging data indicate a spike in calls to domestic violence hotlines in many economies after outbreak of the pandemic.³⁵ For example, after acknowledging a fivefold increase in the rate of violence against women over the same period last year, the Tunisian Ministry of Women, Family, Children and Seniors launched a toll-free phone line to enable women to report exposure to domestic violence during the period of isolation. This 24/7 hotline service provided psychological, social, and legal counseling services. Similarly, to protect women at risk of gender-based violence, Jamaica's Ministry of Health and Wellness established a hotline staffed by volunteers to help people seeking information and guidance. As part of their orientation, the hotline operators received training in gender-based violence awareness and prevention.³⁶

For some families, heightened health anxieties coupled with economic pressures have exacerbated already combustible domestic relationships, which has increased victims' mental stress. To combat this situation, the Arab Republic of Egypt launched the "Our Mental Health Is a Priority" initiative in May 2020. With the help of psychologists and professional institutions, this initiative has supported the mental health of

TABLE 2.3 EXAMPLES OF SERVICES PROVIDED TO SURVIVORS OF GENDER-BASED VIOLENCE DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC		
Economy	Type of measure	Description
Argentina, Bolivia, China, Portugal	Hotlines	- In Argentina, Bolivia, and Portugal, national hotlines, WhatsApp, and SMS numbers allowed women to report cases of violence and request assistance. - In China, the eight-hour domestic violence hotline is now a 24-hour operation.
Indonesia, New Zealand, Paraguay, Ukraine	Psychological assistance	- Indonesia launched psychiatric health services (Sejiwa) through which the Ministry of Women Empowerment and Child Protection (MoWECP) provided assistance to women and child survivors of domestic violence who were affected by COVID-19. - New Zealand offered counseling services through nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) that receive recognition and funding from the government. - In Paraguay, the government adopted a protocol that includes the provision of emergency numbers for survivors of violence to obtain psychological support. - In Ukraine, six mobile teams provided psychosocial assistance through Skype, Viber, phone, and online support groups.
France, Montenegro	Shelters	- In France, as shelters exceeded capacity, alternative accommodations were found in hotels for domestic violence survivors. - In Montenegro, the Women's Safe House rented private apartments to accommodate victims of violence and enable them to comply with social distancing requirements.
Marshall Islands, Spain	Legal aid	- The Marshall Islands offered free legal aid to female survivors of violence through NGOs that receive recognition and funding from the government. - In Spain, gender-based violence survivors could contact 016, a toll-free service providing legal advice around the clock.
India, Lithuania	Dedicated police resources	- In India, police in the state of Odisha called women who had earlier reported domestic violence to inquire about their condition during the lockdown. - Lithuanian police joined with the Lithuanian Women's Rights Association to provide through the police information line contact details on the specialized assistance centers in victims' places of residence. The association also maintains connections with previous victims of domestic violence living in remote rural areas, giving them information on protective measures.
Malta	Livelihood support	In Malta, domestic violence victims could apply to the Private Rent Housing Benefit Scheme. Applicants received the full benefit if they were also in a zero-income scenario.
Peru	Health care	Peru enacted Legislative Decree No. 1470, which stated that health establishments should guarantee urgent and emergency health care and personal safety for all women and other family members who were victims of violence, especially rape.

Source: *Women, Business and the Law* database.

Egyptian women and has raised the public's awareness of mental health during this challenging time.³⁷

In view of the adoption of social distancing measures and the lower budgets of civil society and women's organizations (the main operators of shelters), it is not surprising that only 17 economies introduced dedicated shelters during the pandemic. Canada's federal government approved a financial aid package to support people experiencing homelessness and women facing gender-based violence. Funds were allotted specifically to women's shelters to address their immediate needs and support indigenous women and children escaping violence.³⁸ Similarly, the Danish government opened 55 new

emergency shelters in five cities that guaranteed abused women and children safety and provisions for their basic needs.³⁹

Although in most economies police and legal aid actors focused on enforcing quarantine and social distancing measures, those in some economies continued to provide support for women in abusive relationships. After witnessing a spike in domestic violence cases, the Singapore Police Force referred survivors to social services such as legal assistance or shelters, even when no explicit request was made.⁴⁰ In India, the National Legal Services Authority began providing online legal assistance services through a panel of women lawyers in each district who aid survivors of domestic violence and child abuse.⁴¹

For many women suffering from physical abuse, fear of contracting the coronavirus was a deterrent from seeking medical care, a situation exacerbated by the burden of financial insecurity and the risk of job loss. Access to dedicated health care and livelihood services therefore became fundamental. In Uzbekistan, women entering the Center for Rehabilitation and Adaptation of Victims of Violence received food, medicine, and hygiene products.⁴² However, as health care systems became overburdened and prioritized COVID-19 cases, specific medical support for survivors of violence became the least offered. Just four economies were providing such health care–related services during the crisis.

Finally, a range of exceptional services were also introduced. In Thailand, Twitter launched a new search prompt with the hashtag #ThereIsHelp to redirect users experiencing gender-based violence to local hotlines.⁴³ In the United Kingdom, the home secretary announced a new national communications campaign with the hashtag #YouAreNotAlone to reach out to those at risk of abuse.⁴⁴ Spain also implemented an effective measure, the AlertCops app, which provides violence survivors with timely assistance. The app sends an alert to the police with the victim’s GPS location.⁴⁵ In Italy, the State Police app YouPol was updated to receive domestic violence complaints, not only from survivors themselves but also from neighbors or other family members, including anonymously. Montenegro, Pakistan, and the Philippines also created online platforms or apps to assist women seeking help. In Argentina, Belgium, Cabo Verde, Chile, France, Italy, Spain, and the United Kingdom, pharmacies provided antiviolence measures and advice to survivors. They also activated emergency police protocols through the use of code words such as “masque-19” and “mascherina 1522” (box 2.1).

Diverse responses to domestic violence such as these are fundamental, but prevention measures, which are equally essential, are largely absent. Governments still have room to enact measures and policies aimed at addressing the roots of this epidemic of violence. National plans targeting education, supporting healthy relationship skills, questioning gender roles and social and cultural norms, and creating protective environments are some of the long-term measures that could mitigate the emergence of domestic violence under any circumstances.

Setting the agenda

The continuing effects of COVID-19 on gender equality will surely contribute to the research agenda in this field going forward. One area of further exploration is economies’ expanded use of digital technology to implement government-to-person

BOX 2.1 USING CODE WORDS TO ESCAPE VIOLENCE AT HOME

The Mask-19 initiative originated in Spain's Canary Islands to respond to the higher number of cases of violence against women expected during the lockdown announced on March 14, 2020. The director of the Canary Institute of Equality (ICI), Kika Fumero, had noted spikes in abuse in earlier periods of confinement, when women were forced to spend more time with their partners during floods or holidays, and came up with a unique way of seeking help.

In a pandemic, there is no privacy for most victims of domestic violence, and so making confidential phone calls to a helpline is almost impossible. Because the many pharmacies were among the few places that remained open during the lockdown, Fumero realized they would be an accessible and effective tool for ensuring rapid intervention in violent situations.

On March 16, 2020, the government of the Canary Islands launched the Mascarilla-19 (Mask-19) campaign, in cooperation with the association of pharmacies of Las Palmas and Santa Cruz de Tenerife. A woman experiencing violence at home could go to the nearest pharmacy and request "mascarilla-19." Hearing this request, pharmacy staff knew they must discreetly call the police. Pharmacists were not meant to replace shelter staff or support workers, but they were able to quickly activate a care protocol for women subjected to violence at home.

Within a week, the initiative reached 200,000 contacts in the ICI network alone, and the code word "mascarilla-19" went viral on social media throughout Spain. The ICI immediately received requests from other administrations in Madrid, Valencia, and Andalusia to use the same campaign material, which was then made available on the ICI website. Following the example of the Canary Islands, the Mask-19 initiative was quickly adopted not only across Spain, but also by Argentina, Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, and Norway.

Sources: BBC; European Youth Ideas; Gobierno de Canarias; United Nations.

(G2P) payments to limit the risks of in-person interactions and rapidly disburse funds.⁴⁶ Evidence indicates that direct government cash transfers to low-income women increase their control over financial decisions, enhance their empowerment and prospects for economic recovery, and improve their resilience in the long run.⁴⁷ The use of mobile money platforms to deliver COVID-19 relief can therefore empower women financially.⁴⁸

Sustained and wide-ranging data collection on these and other topics will be needed to effectively measure the impacts of the pandemic on women's economic empowerment. Areas of additional analysis could include the impact of COVID-19 on women's mobility and access to property, women's decision-making in disease prevention and response, and girls' education during and after the pandemic. Efforts are already under way with the assistance of organizations such as the United Nations Development Programme and UN Women (box 2.2). At the World Bank, the Gender Innovation Lab has published evidence on mechanisms that can help protect the lives and livelihoods of women and girls—at the household level, in firms and farms, and during adolescence—in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. Projects responding to COVID-19 will also consider the pandemic's differing impacts on men and women. To aid in this effort, the World Bank's Gender Group has issued guidance for health response projects during the pandemic.

Confronting the gendered effects of COVID-19 will require a concerted effort from a variety of actors, including governments, international organizations, and civil society. *Women, Business and the Law* recognizes the nuances in the extent of the pandemic and the ideal provision of services by different sources in different economy contexts.

BOX 2.2 UNDP–UN WOMEN GLOBAL COVID-19 GENDER RESPONSE TRACKER

Across the globe, the economic and social fallout of COVID-19 is reinforcing gender inequalities. To understand how governments are addressing these challenges, the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) and UN Women created the Global COVID-19 Gender Response Tracker, which by September 2020 had collected and analyzed over 2,500 government measures across 206 economies and territories. Sources of the tracker's data include databases on COVID-19 responses, such as the World Bank's Real-Time Review of Country Measures of the Social Protection and Jobs Responses to COVID-19; a global survey of UN Women and UNDP regional and country offices on violence against women; self-reporting by member states in their submissions for the UN secretary general's report on violence against women; and desk research.

Gender analysis

Measures in the sample were classified into four policy categories: social protection, labor markets, fiscal and economic policies, and violence against women and girls. Of the 2,500 measures, a subset of 992 measures across 164 economies and territories were identified as gender-sensitive in that these measures seek to directly address the specific risks and challenges that women and girls face from the pandemic. These measures include those dealing with violence against women, which are categorized as gender-sensitive by default; social protection and labor market measures that target women's economic security or address unpaid care; and fiscal and economic measures that support female-dominated sectors of the economy.

Findings on gender-sensitive measures

The first iteration of the tracker data was launched in September 2020. They will be updated on a quarterly basis and will expand into new policy areas in 2021. Findings from the data include the following:

- Over 70% of all gender-sensitive measures (704 in 135 economies) address violence against women and girls, including by strengthening helplines and other reporting mechanisms, shelters, and police and judicial responses.
- By contrast, the global social protection and labor market responses have been largely gender-blind, with only 10% of these measures targeting women's economic security (177 in 85 economies) and 8% providing support for unpaid care (111 in 60 economies).
- Among the most important economic security measures are cash transfers, food assistance, or in-kind benefits that give women priority as the main recipients, as well as support for female entrepreneurs and female informal workers.
- To support unpaid care, 36 economies have expanded family leave provisions, while others have launched "cash-for-care" programs that compensate parents for school and childcare closures. Only 12 economies kept their childcare services open during lockdowns to support essential workers with children.
- Similarly, few actions have been taken to cushion the COVID-19 shocks to businesses and sectors with large shares of women; only one in 10 fiscal and economic measures channel resources to female-dominated sectors of the economy.

By pointing to gaps and challenges, the tracker is an important advocacy and accountability tool when in the hands of gender equality advocates. It also provides an array of positive examples from which policy makers can draw inspiration. The tool is being used in global, regional, and national policy dialogues geared toward driving forward a gender-equitable crisis response and recovery.

Source: This box was drafted by Silke Staab, Esuna Dugarova, and Constanza Tabbush. See <https://data.undp.org/gendertacker/> for tracker and methodological note.

As the world continues to feel the effects of COVID-19, more data will become available on both its impacts on gender equality and best practices to counter any negative consequences.

For women everywhere, the secondary effects of the pandemic on their livelihoods, economic security, and safety require immediate and sustained attention. Targeted measures that recognize the unique difficulties women are facing during this time, but also foster a legal environment that supports their equality of economic opportunity, can help close the gap in existing and exacerbated inequalities. This will ensure that women, and as a result their communities and economies, are both prepared for and resilient in meeting unexpected challenges.

Notes

1. Alon et al. 2020.
2. Enterprise Surveys (database), “COVID-19: Impact on Firms,” World Bank, Washington, DC, <https://www.enterprisesurveys.org/en/covid-19>.
3. Masterson 2020; McKinsey & Company 2020.
4. Alon et al. 2020.
5. Fuchs-Schündeln, Kuhn, and Tertilt 2020.
6. Collins et al. 2020.
7. ILOSTAT (International Labour Statistics). 2020. “COVID-19 and Labour Statistics.” Geneva. <https://ilostat.ilo.org/topics/covid-19/>.
8. Del Boca et al. 2020; Farre et al. 2020.
9. Amnesty International 2020; WTO 2020, citing Coffman, Exley, and Niederle 2017.
10. OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). 2020. “Employment: Time Spent in Paid and Unpaid Work, by Sex.” OECD Statistics, Paris. <https://stats.oecd.org/index.aspx?queryid=54757>.
11. *Childcare leave* is a leave policy generally available to both parents to provide care for their children. It is distinct from maternity, paternity, and parental leave because it is unrelated to the birth of a child or the first years of life. However, it may have strong similarities or even overlap with parental leave in some economies. Childcare leave is also distinct from leave to care for children who are ill or have disabilities. Typically, laws establishing childcare leave do not explicitly list school closures or the unavailability of regular childcare as a permitted reason to access childcare leave. Still, such laws are often worded broadly to allow for a variety of childcare-related purposes.
12. De Paz et al. 2020.
13. Koslowski et al. 2020.
14. Japan, Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare 2020.
15. O’Brien, Atkinson, and Koslowski 2019.
16. Brandth and Kvande 2018; Marynissen et al. 2019; Patnaik 2014.
17. IFC 2020a.
18. Datta Gupta 2018.
19. Onyejekwe 2004; South Africa, Parliament of the Republic of 2019.
20. UNDP (United Nations Development Programme). 2020. “COVID-19 Global Gender Response Tracker.” New York. <https://data.undp.org/gendertacker/>.
21. ICC-CCS 2020; UNDP 2020.
22. Abdelbaki 2020.
23. ITU 2020.
24. UNDESA 2020.
25. IFC 2020b.
26. American Academy of Actuaries 2010.
27. NWLC 2012; Pear 2012.
28. Gunja et al. 2017.
29. WHO 2020b.
30. Vora, Saiyed, and Natesan 2020.

31. CDC 2020.
32. De Paz et al. 2020.
33. COE 2018; UN Women 2015.
34. De Paz et al. 2020.
35. UN Women 2020.
36. WHO 2020a.
37. *Egypt Forward* 2020.
38. Canada, Government of 2020.
39. Lev Uden Vold 2020.
40. Yahoo News Singapore 2020.
41. Mahapatra 2020.
42. Nemolchi.uz. 2020.
43. *Nation Thailand* 2020.
44. United Kingdom, Parliament 2020.
45. Spain, Ministry of Equality 2020.
46. Gelb and Mukherjee 2020.
47. Zimmerman et al. 2020.
48. Davidovic et al. 2020.

References

- Abdelbaki, Sandra. 2020. "NAYA, COVID-19: Addressing Domestic Violence in Lebanon." *Annahar*, April 4, 2020. <https://www.annahar.com/english/article/1168309-naya-covid19-addressing-domestic-violence-in-lebanon>.
- Alon, Titan, Matthias Doepke, Jane Olmstead-Rumsey, and Michèle Tertilt. 2020. "The Impact of COVID-19 on Gender Equality." NBER Working Paper 26947, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA.
- American Academy of Actuaries. 2010. "Health Reform Implementation: Understanding the Terminology." American Academy of Actuaries, Washington, DC. <https://www.actuary.org/sites/default/files/files/publications/Health%20Reform%20glossary%20080310.pdf>.
- Amnesty International. 2020. "Hungary: Women Face Stark Increase in Discrimination and Job Insecurity in the Workplace due to COVID-19 Crisis." Amnesty International, London. <https://www.amnesty.org/en/latest/news/2020/06/hungary-women-face-stark-increase-in-discrimination-and-job-insecurity-in-the-workplace-due-to-covid19-crisis/>.
- Brandth, Berit, and Elin Kvande. 2018. "Fathers' Sense of Entitlement to Ear-Marked and Shared Parental Leave." *Sociological Review* 67 (5): 1154–69.
- Canada, Government of. 2020. "Canada Announces Support to Those Experiencing Homelessness and Women Fleeing Gender-Based Violence during the Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Pandemic." News release, April 4, Employment and Social Development Canada, Gatineau, Quebec.
- CDC (US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention). 2020. "Ensuring Access to Family Planning Services during COVID-19: A Summary of CDC's Family Planning Recommendations for Healthcare Providers." CDC, Atlanta, GA. <https://www.cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/contraception/covid-19-family-planning-services.html>.
- COE (Council of Europe). 2018. *Mapping Support Services for Victims of Violence against Women in Line with the Istanbul Convention: Standards Methodology and Tools*. Strasbourg: COE.
- Coffman, Katherine B., Christine L. Exley, and Muriel Niederle. 2017. "The Role of Beliefs in Driving Gender Discrimination." Working Paper No. 18-054, Harvard Business School, Cambridge, MA.
- Collins, Caitlyn, Liana Landivar, Leah Ruppanner, and William Scarborough. 2020. "COVID-19 and the Gender Gap in Work Hours." *Gender, Work, and Organization* 2020:1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gwao.12506>.
- Datta Gupta, Nabanita. 2018. "Maternity Leave versus Early Childcare—What Are the Long-Term Consequences for Children?" *IZA World of Labor* 438 (1). <http://dx.doi.org/10.15185/izawol.438>.
- Davidovic, Sonja, Soheib Nunhuck, Delphine Prady, and Herve Tourpe. 2020. "Beyond the COVID-19 Crisis: A Framework for Sustainable Government-to-Person Mobile Money Transfers." IMF Working Paper WP/20/198, International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC.
- Del Boca, Daniela, Noemi Oggero, Paola Profeta, and Mariacristina Rossi. 2020. "Women's and Men's Work, Housework and Childcare, before and during COVID-19." *Review of Economics of the Household* 18: 1001–17.
- De Paz, Carmen, Miriam Muller, Ana Maria Munoz Boudet, and Isis Gaddis. 2020. "Gender Dimensions of the COVID-19 Pandemic." Policy note, World Bank, Washington, DC.

- Egypt Forward*. 2020. "National Council for Women, UN Women Launch 'Our Mental Health Is a Priority' Initiative to Support Women's Mental Health." May 6, 2020. <https://egyptfwd.org/Article/99/1682/National-Council-for-Women-UN-Women-launch-%E2%80%9COur-Mental-Health>.
- Farre, Lidia, Yarine Fawaz, Libertad Gonzalez, and Jennifer Graves. 2020. "How the COVID-19 Lockdown Affected Gender Inequality in Paid and Unpaid Work in Spain." Discussion Paper No. 13434, Institute of Labor Economics, Bonn, Germany.
- Fuchs-Schündeln, Nicola, Moritz Kuhn, and Michèle Tertilt. 2020. "The Short-Run Macro Implications of School and Child-Care Closures." Discussion Paper No. 13353, Institute of Labor Economics, Bonn, Germany.
- Gelb, Alan, and Anit Mukherjee. 2020. "Digital Technology in Social Assistance Transfers for COVID-19 Relief: Lessons from Selected Cases." Policy Paper 181, Center for Global Development, Washington, DC.
- Gunja, Munira, Sara Collins, Michelle Doty, and Sophie Beutel. 2017. "How the Affordable Care Act Has Helped Women Gain Insurance and Improved Their Ability to Get Health Care: Findings from the Commonwealth Fund Biennial Health Insurance Survey, 2016." Issue brief, Commonwealth Fund, New York.
- ICC-CCS (International Chamber of Commerce Commercial Criminal Services). 2020. "Country: Barbados Report Questionnaire." Document No. 515958 (1), ICC-CCS, London.
- IFC (International Finance Corporation). 2020a. "Childcare in the COVID-19 Era: A Guide for Employers." IFC, Washington, DC.
- IFC (International Finance Corporation). 2020b. "COVID-19 and the Insurance Industry: Why a Gender-Sensitive Response Matters." IFC, Washington, DC.
- ITU (International Telecommunication Union). 2020. "ITU-D Digital Inclusion." ITU, Geneva. <https://www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Digital-Inclusion/Pages/about.aspx>.
- Japan, Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare. 2020. "Basic Survey on Equal Employment in the First Year of Reiwa: Summary of Results." Tokyo. <https://www.mhlw.go.jp/toukei/list/71-r01.html>.
- Koslowski, Alison, Sonja Blum, Ivana Dobrotić, Gayle Kaufmann, and Peter Moss eds. 2020. *16th International Review of Leave Policies and Related Research 2020*. http://www.leavenetwork.org/lp_and_r_reports/.
- Lev Uden Vold. 2020. "Corona Crisis: Shelters Provide 55 Emergency Rooms for Abused Women and Children." Lev Uden Vold, Fredericksburg, Denmark. <https://levudenvold.dk/2020/03/coronakrise-krisecentre-faar-55-noedpladser-til-voldsudsatte-kvinder-og-boern/>.
- Mahapatra, Dhananjay. 2020. "Legal Aid Widened as Domestic Violence Rises amid Lockdown." *Times of India*, June 7, 2020. http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/articleshow/76240313.cms?utm_source=contentofinterest&utm_medium=text&utm_campaign=cppst.
- Marynissen, Leen, Eleonora Mussino, Jonas Wood, and Ann-Zofie Duvander. 2019. "Fathers' Parental Leave Uptake in Belgium and Sweden: Self-Evident or Subject to Employment Characteristics?" *Social Sciences* 8 (11): 312.
- Masterson, Victoria. 2020. "Why COVID-19 Could Force Millions of Women to Quit Work—and How to Support Them." World Economic Forum, Cologny, Switzerland. <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2020/10/women-work-gender-equality-covid19/>.
- McKinsey & Company. 2020. "Women in the Workplace 2020." McKinsey & Company and LeanIn.Org, New York.
- Nation Thailand*. 2020. "Twitter Launches #TherelsHelp Hashtag for Women, Girls Trapped in Violent Situations during Lockdown." June 16, 2020. https://www.nationthailand.com/news/30389721?utm_source=homepage&utm_medium=internal_referral.
- Nemolchi.uz. 2020. "How to Deal with Domestic Violence during Isolation: Experience of Other Countries and Recommendations for Uzbekistan." April 15, 2020. <https://nemolchi.uz/>.
- NWLC (National Women's Law Center). 2012. *Turning to Fairness: Insurance Discrimination against Women Today and the Affordable Care Act*. Washington, DC: NWLC. https://nwlc.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/08/nwlc_2012_turningtofairness_report.pdf.
- O'Brien, Margaret, Jamie Atkinson, and Alison Koslowski. 2019. "United Kingdom." In *15th International Review of Leave Policies and Related Research 2019*, edited by Alison Koslowski, Sonja Blum, Ivana Dobrotić, Alexandra Macht, and Peter Ross. International Network on Leave Policies and Research. https://www.leavenetwork.org/fileadmin/user_upload/k_leavenetwork/annual_reviews/2019/2_2019_Compiled_Report_2019_0824-.pdf.
- Onyejekwe, Chineze. 2004. "The Interrelationship between Gender-based Violence and HIV/AIDS in South Africa." *Journal of International Women's Studies* 6 (1): 34–40.
- Patnaik, Ankita. 2014. "Making Leave Easier: Better Compensation and Daddy-Only Entitlements." Working paper, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY.
- Pear, Robert. 2012. "Gender Gap Persists in Cost of Health Insurance." *New York Times*, March 19, 2012. <https://www.nytimes.com/2012/03/19/health/policy/women-still-pay-more-for-health-insurance-data-shows.html>.
- South Africa, Parliament of the Republic of. 2019. "Violence against Women in SA Comparable to Countries That Are at War—Ramaphosa." Cape Town. <https://www.parliament.gov.za/news/violence-against-women-sa-comparable-countries-are-war-ramaphosa>.

- Spain, Ministry of Equality. 2020. “Guía de actuación para mujeres que estén sufriendo violencia de género en situación de permanencia domiciliaria derivada del estado de alarma por COVID-19” [Action Guide for Women Who Are Suffering from Gender-Based Violence at Home during the State of Alarm due to COVID-19]. Madrid.
- UNDESA (United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs). 2020. *Use of the Internet and Mobile Phone Ownership among Women and Men*. New York: UNDESA.
- United Kingdom, Parliament. 2020. *Home Office Preparedness for Covid-19 (Coronavirus): Domestic Abuse and Risks of Harm within the Home*. London: Parliament Publications.
- UN Women (United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women). 2015. *Overview and Introduction: Essential Services Package for Women and Girls Subject to Violence: Core Elements and Quality Guidelines*. New York: UN Women.
- UN Women (United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women). 2020. “UN Women Raises Awareness of the Shadow Pandemic of Violence against Women during COVID-19.” Press release, May 27, 2020, New York.
- Vora, Kranti Suresh, Shahin Saiyed, and Senthilkumar Natesan. 2020. “Impact of COVID-19 on Family Planning Services in India.” *Sexual and Reproductive Health Matters* 28: 1.
- WHO (World Health Organization). 2020a. “Jamaica: Training in Gender Based Violence for COVID-19 Helpline Responders.” WHO, Geneva. <https://www.who.int/news-room/feature-stories/detail/jamaica-training-in-gender-based-violence-for-covid-19-helpline-responders>.
- WHO (World Health Organization). 2020b. “Maintaining Essential Health Services: Operational Guidance for the COVID-19 Context.” Interim guidance, WHO, Geneva.
- WTO (World Trade Organization). 2020. “The Economic Impact of COVID-19 on Women in Vulnerable Sectors and Economies.” Information note, WTO, Geneva. https://www.wto.org/english/news_e/news20_e/info_note_covid_05aug20_e.pdf.
- Yahoo News Singapore*. 2020. “Spike in Family Violence Cases during COVID-19 Circuit Breaker.” May 14, 2020. <https://sg.news.yahoo.com/spike-in-family-violence-cases-during-covid-19-circuit-breaker-074630483.html>.
- Zimmerman, Jamie M., Maria A. May, Elizabeth Kellison, and Jeni Klugman. 2020. *Digital Cash Transfers in the Time of COVID 19: Opportunities and Considerations for Women’s Inclusion and Empowerment*. Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, World Bank Group, Women’s World Banking, CGAP, and Stanford University.



CHAPTER 3

New Areas of Research

- *Women, Business and the Law 2021* presents studies of two new areas: childcare and access to justice.
- Because women are often primary caregivers, unpaid care work is one of the main barriers to their employment and job retention. The first section of this chapter examines the need to reduce this barrier through the provision of childcare.
- Laws are effective only if redress procedures and institutions are in place to implement them. Several ways of measuring women's access to justice are explored in the second section of this chapter.
- Future editions of *Women, Business and the Law* will seek to publish the results of these efforts as they apply to women's economic opportunities and outcomes.

Mapping out measures that address challenges faced by women during the COVID-19 pandemic has only amplified two areas that *Women, Business and the Law* would like to research further: childcare and women's access to justice. Women will not receive equal opportunities at work if their childcare needs are not met. Meeting such needs requires a more equitable sharing of responsibilities with men, as well as more support from both government and the private sector in the provision of care for young children. As for access to justice, although *Women, Business and the Law* has underscored that laws are an important first step toward ensuring gender equality, without justice to enable their implementation they will not have their intended effect.

Each topic is fundamental to the effort to close persistent gender gaps in women's economic inclusion. Because of their relevance and importance, *Women, Business and the Law* will produce research and analysis that highlight the barriers women continue to face in these two areas. This effort will not necessarily result in the inclusion of new indicators in the *Women, Business and the Law* index. Although objective indicators can and should be used to better inform policy makers, there are limitations to creating them. Understanding local context, including political, legal, economic, and cultural climates, for example, is essential for producing indicators. In addition, sufficient human and financial resources must be dedicated to identifying and overcoming any methodological challenges. Finally, extensive country coverage, comparability across economies, and feasibility of annual data collection are vital for success. The addition of new indicators will thus depend on the results of the pilot effort and ability to address these limitations.

With these considerations in mind, the following sections present background research and preliminary suggestions for further research and analysis in the areas of childcare and access to justice. *Women, Business and the Law* will use this presentation

as a starting point from which to delve further into identifying potential avenues of measurement of these topics, with the hope that the findings will prove useful for both public and private sector actors.

Accessing childcare for women's economic opportunity

The worldwide COVID-19 crisis highlighted the importance of access to high-quality, affordable childcare and exposed the financial vulnerability of the childcare sector. Many childcare providers feared they would not be able to reopen without public support.¹ Because of the closure of childcare centers at the outset of the pandemic, parents faced hardship in dealing with additional care work. Women are primarily responsible for unpaid care at home, and they have also been affected more than men in terms of paid work. Policy makers and firms should better align childcare policies with the needs of working parents and, in particular, working mothers.

Why does childcare matter for women's economic empowerment?

Research has documented the positive impact that the availability, affordability, and quality of childcare can have on women's participation in the labor market, their hours of work, and their wages.² A link has also been drawn between the availability and affordability of childcare and economic growth as related to fertility rates and the female labor supply.³

Government childcare policies are wide-ranging, and, globally, childcare options are diverse. Each economy must consider potential hurdles in the design of childcare provision, depending on its specific context. Hurdles could include persuading working parents who are not involved in the early development of their children that childcare is a necessity or providing guarantees that childcare offered outside the home is higher in quality than other options.

Literature on the impact of government funding on public and private childcare facilities, the effects of subsidies and tax credits given to families and employers, and informal childcare is helpful to understanding the relationship between childcare policies and women's labor market outcomes.⁴ Although not exhaustive, the literature summarized in table 3.1 is a useful synthesis of the childcare options available to working parents and a snapshot of the advantages and limitations of each.

The literature reveals the costs and benefits of investments in childcare for women's economic opportunities and participation in the labor market. Yet, government support for and intervention in regulating childcare go beyond their effects on female workers, and can be driven by externalities and market imperfections, including broader societal spillovers, information asymmetries, and costs. For example, expanding childcare services could be a source of job growth. It has been estimated that 43–53 million practitioners would be required to meet the gap in childcare access worldwide, with low- and lower-middle economies accounting for nearly 80% of the gap.⁵

Quality of care, another central concern, affects society at large in several ways. The low wages of childcare workers, for example, affect the quality and stability of the childcare workforce. More public spending on quality childcare will therefore improve the cognitive, social, and emotional skills of young children, who, in turn, will succeed

TABLE 3.1 **CHILDCARE POLICIES AND CORRESPONDING ECONOMIC OUTCOMES: A LITERATURE SUMMARY**

Government funding of public and private childcare facilities	• High-quality, affordable childcare, partially or fully funded by the government, has been positively associated with female employment in analyses of policies in Argentina (Berlinski, Galiani, and McEwan 2011); Germany (Geyer, Haan, and Wrohlich 2015; Haan and Wrohlich 2011); Spain (Nollenberger and Rodríguez-Planas 2015); and the United States (Herbst 2017). • Evidence from Vietnam has found that the use of childcare increases the probability of women having wage-earning jobs by 41% and formal jobs by 26% (Dang, Hiraga, and Nguyen 2019). • Access to formal public childcare in Indonesia has been found to increase the likelihood of women with age-eligible children participating in the labor force by 13.3% (Halim, Johnson, and Perova 2017). • Several authors have found a positive relationship between the universal low-fee, high-quality preschool provided in Quebec, Canada, and the female labor supply—see, for example, Baker, Gruber, and Milligan (2008); Haeck, Lefebvre, and Merrigan (2015); Lefebvre and Merrigan (2008); and Lefebvre, Merrigan, and Verstraete (2009). • Access to subsidized childcare can also have a significant positive impact on women's employment rates and work hours, as evidence from Latin America and the Caribbean shows (Diaz and Rodríguez-Chamussy 2013; Thévenon 2013). • Research from Havnes and Mogstad (2011) finds that universal childcare may not be effective if it merely replaces already available informal childcare arrangements. • New research has shown that in Austria heavily subsidized childcare was not associated with a reduction in gender gaps in the labor market (Kleven et al. 2020).
Subsidies and tax credits given to families and employers	• In France, providing low-income families with childcare subsidies was found to boost the female labor supply (Givord and Marbot 2015). • In Nairobi, Kenya, offering low-income women subsidies increased their probability of employment and allowed single mothers to shift to standard working hours (Clark et al. 2019). • In the Netherlands, a 50% reduction in childcare fees for all parents and a simultaneous increase in tax credits for low-income working parents increased the female labor supply and hours worked (Bettendorf, Jongen, and Muller 2015). • However, policies can also have unintended consequences. For example, in Chile a policy mandating employers to provide childcare without government support significantly reduced the starting salary of female workers (Prada, Rucci, and Urzúa 2015).
Informal childcare	• Research by Quisumbing, Hallman, and Ruel (2007) compares the situation in Guatemala City, Guatemala, where the formal sector is predominant, with that of Accra, Ghana, where female employment is largely informal. They find that access to formal childcare increases women's employment only in locations where most women work in the formal sector. • Other studies have shown that, for single mothers, a combination of formal and informal care is associated with a larger female labor supply (Baxter et al. 2007; Brady and Perales 2016). • Research by Halim, Johnson, and Perova (2017) shows that in Indonesia women who have access to informal childcare arrangements, notably those living with older family members who can help take care of children, tend to have higher labor force participation. In urban areas, these women return to work nearly two years sooner after giving birth than new mothers without access to informal childcare.

as they move through school and enter the workforce as adults. Because they will get better jobs and earn more, it is argued, tax revenues will also increase. Likewise, children who attend quality childcare will be less likely to engage in criminal activity or use social services as adults, thereby reducing government spending. Childcare provision could also present parents with opportunities for further education. Therefore, returns on investment in early childcare pay back public investment in the long term.

The same returns apply to employers, who suffer losses in productivity when parents miss work to fill gaps in childcare or to tend to a sick child. Those losses come with a price tag. For example, in laying out the business case for employer-supported childcare in Fiji, the International Finance Corporation (IFC) found that staff time lost from childcare responsibilities was costing the private sector on average as much as \$254,000 a year, or \$460 per employee.⁵ In addition, parents who drop out of the labor market to provide at-home care for their young children lose wages and see reductions in retirement benefits. Low-income families are especially vulnerable to fluctuations in the childcare market because of limitations on what they can afford.


Beyond these considerations, gaps in information limit parents' ability to make childcare decisions. Parents often lack sufficient details about childcare characteristics, including the attributes of high-quality childcare services, the location and availability of care, the relative costs of care, and the range of care alternatives. These information asymmetries around childcare provision suggest the state should confront and revisit norms of family privacy and embrace a greater public role in the childcare market.

In response to these realities, as well as major social and economic changes, improved access to childcare has moved higher on the policy agenda of the governments of many economies. Although there are no internationally established standards to serve as a guide to regulatory measures for the provision of childcare, international conventions and unions recognize working parents' need for outside childcare support and call for childcare facilities to be available. The Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW) calls for the establishment and development of a network of childcare facilities to enable parents to combine family obligations with work responsibilities. Similarly, the International Labour Organization's Convention on Workers with Family Responsibilities No. 156 calls for measures to develop or promote childcare services compatible with national conditions and possibilities that will enable effective equality of opportunity for working men and women.

Although governments are not compelled to provide services, both conventions recognize their key role in promoting and encouraging the development of childcare services. In 2019 the European Union (EU) passed a new directive aimed at helping member states achieve a better work-life balance and more equally distributed care responsibilities by encouraging the use of EU funds to ensure a sufficient supply of high-quality, affordable childcare services and care facilities.

To meet the needs of working mothers and families, governments must therefore support a range of childcare options. Childcare can be funded and operated by the public or the private sector. Public childcare, directly funded and operated by the government, can be provided at center-based facilities, including nurseries, day cares, preschools, and kindergartens. Private childcare is funded and operated by for-profit or not-for-profit business entities. It includes home- and center-based care (figure 3.1).

FIGURE 3.1 | TYPES OF CHILDCARE



Source: *Women, Business and the Law* team.

In addition, employers may provide or support childcare for their employees under certain conditions. The recent growth in private sector engagement in the provision of childcare may also be characterized by more sophisticated arrangements, including public-private partnerships (PPPs). Such partnerships give both government and the private sector an opportunity to leverage resources to bring market-based solutions to providing childcare.⁷

When it comes to the delivery of childcare services, economies use different institutional arrangements. The Nordic economies have the most affordable large-scale childcare, running publicly funded and operated systems. The public expenditure on childcare is 1.6% of the gross domestic product (GDP) of Sweden and 1.3% of the GDP of Norway.⁸ The Republic of Korea also recently devised an extensive affordable childcare system by adopting a mixed model that allows it to diversify funding sources and provide working families with the opportunity to choose.⁹ Despite the mixed system, the government invests 1% of GDP on childcare and early learning and has some of the highest enrollment rates for children of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) economies. In contrast, the United States relies on privately provided childcare, spending only 0.3% of GDP—the third lowest expenditure among OECD economies after Ireland and Turkey.¹⁰ Low levels of government expenditure on childcare in these three economies are also accompanied by some of the lowest enrollment rates among OECD economies.¹¹

Overall, policy or regulatory frameworks on the provision of childcare services must reflect a coherent mandate, adequate finance and capacity, focus on child development, and strong coordination mechanisms to bring in all stakeholders. Many economies do not have a stand-alone childcare policy. Instead, they have a multitude of policies involving different ministries, including social welfare, labor, education, and youth and sports. Because they have their own objectives, plans, and programs related to childcare, regulation of childcare may become decentralized and uncoordinated.

Coordination with other social policies, including maternity and parental leave in which parents are paid to stay home as caregivers, may also affect the regulation and provision of childcare services. Maternity and parental leave policies can help new parents reconcile the competing claims of work and family life.¹² The availability of high-quality affordable childcare is a complementary policy that gives parents a flexible solution, especially when economic pressure to participate in the labor force increases.

Childcare services for children ages three to five years have steadily increased over the last 20 years, largely because of rising access to preschool across economies. However, a gap for children under three years remains.¹³ This gap may stem from the fact that governments tend to more often regulate childcare for older children than for younger children. Absent or insufficient regulatory frameworks for children under three years may reflect the limited childcare available for this age group or expectations that mothers and families are responsible for early childhood care. Lack of regulation can also be a disincentive for potential providers (such as those differentiating themselves based on quality), whereas parents may find it more difficult to entrust the care of their children to providers if they are not regulated.

Policies intended to make childcare affordable and of good quality vary by economy. Governments may opt for legally requiring employers to provide or support childcare for their employees. The parameters of this care could include minimum structural quality

and safety standards such as licensing and accreditation, operating hours, inspection for compliance with laws, and reporting mechanisms to the government. However, the literature on child development suggests that the “process” elements of quality (such as caregiver-to-child and child-to-child interactions) are more important for improving children’s development outcomes.¹⁴ A range of incentives could also be granted to parents, employers, and private childcare providers to reduce the cost of private childcare and make it more affordable for families.

Toward available, affordable, and quality childcare

In 2018, *Women, Business and the Law* collected pilot data on employer-supported childcare and private stand-alone childcare centers within the collaborative framework of the IFC’s Tackling Childcare project. Drawing on 10 case studies, the project fills the gap on how companies can identify the type of childcare support that best suits the needs of their employees.¹⁵ Building on this research, future editions of *Women, Business and the Law* will contribute more analysis based on research and new data related to three main pillars of childcare—availability, affordability, and quality. In the process, the team will also leverage and complement other sources of data, including from OECD and the United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF; box 3.1). Potential inclusion of such data in the index to facilitate and promote reform will also be explored.

BOX 3.1 EXISTING DATA ON CHILDCARE

The existing data on childcare are primarily published by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) and the United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF). In view of the strong demand for cross-national indicators on the situations of families and children, the OECD Family Database was developed to provide the indicators on family outcomes and policies across OECD economies, its enhanced engagement partners, and European Union member states. The database brings together information from various national and international databases within both OECD and external organizations. The area of public policies for families and children covers seven indicators related to formal care and the typology of childcare systems: legal entitlement to childcare, public spending on childcare, net enrollment in childcare, informal childcare arrangements, childcare support, typology of childcare services, and quality of childcare. *Legal entitlement* refers to a right to a spot in a childcare facility that guarantees availability of childcare. Each indicator typically presents the data on a particular issue as well as the relevant definitions and methodology, comparability and data issues, information on sources, and, when appropriate, raw data or descriptive information across economies. Despite global coverage, the available UNICEF data on childcare are limited to net attendance rates in the framework of early childhood education programs, specifically enrollment of children ages three to five in preprimary school.

Sources: OECD Family Database, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris, <http://www.oecd.org/els/family/database.htm>; UNICEF, Pre-primary Education Statistics, <https://data.unicef.org/topic/education/pre-primary-education/>.

Support for childcare has well-documented, widespread benefits for economic development and women’s labor force participation. By collecting and evaluating data on childcare provision, the team will contribute to the World Bank Group’s growing effort to shape an informed, evidence-based policy dialogue around the overall design and effectiveness of childcare policies.

The role of the justice sector in legal implementation

For more than 10 years, *Women, Business and the Law* has taken as its starting point that the law can help women reach their full potential. It is the framework by which governments can identify barriers to women's success and, by removing them, boost their labor force participation. In fact, over time *Women, Business and the Law* has consistently found that reforms increasing women's equality of opportunity contribute to higher female labor force participation, more successful economies, and better development outcomes. These findings have galvanized action toward legal reform, resulting in over 400 positive changes in the areas measured since 2009.

For women to thrive in the world of work, however, the laws that guarantee their equality of opportunity must be meaningfully implemented and enforced.¹⁶ This requires not only enacting the rules and regulations that put laws into effect, but also ensuring that all relevant parties comply with them. Successful application of the law requires a comprehensive effort from all branches of government, including the judiciary. By strengthening the rule of law and narrowing inequality, access to justice can be a fundamental component of sustainable economic growth. If inclusive, it allows all people to use the legal system to advocate for their interests and ensure enforcement of the law.¹⁷ The success of statutory laws hinges on the ability of justice institutions to both fulfill their mandate and address any violations. Governments must therefore ensure that women's access to justice is comprehensive. While legal gender equality is the first step toward generating tangible outcomes, including greater economic participation for women, reforms must also be fully implemented through strong justice sector institutions.

Why does access to justice matter for women's economic empowerment?

Discrimination in the law is only one of many sources of gender imbalance. Equal opportunities for women depend on a complex interplay of social, cultural, and economic factors. Although laws may be equal, prevailing discriminatory social norms, deeply rooted stereotypes, unconscious bias, and even ignorance or reluctance by institutions responsible for enforcing rights can be a major stumbling block to the implementation of legislation. This restricts women's opportunities in practice. For example, even in economies mandating nondiscrimination based on gender in employment, employers frequently discriminate in favor of men—who more often are unencumbered with childbearing responsibilities—and against women—who are perceived as secondary breadwinners.¹⁸

The enforcement of rights and women's ability to seek redress is therefore critical to translating formal laws into real outcomes (table 3.2).¹⁹ Although France and Senegal, for example, have very similar legal systems, access to justice in each is very different, leading to different economic landscapes. Social attitudes may result not only in hesitant implementation, but also in hesitant or even discriminatory enforcement. And if women are not able to claim and enforce their rights, equal laws on the books, while a first step, will have only a limited impact on their economic activity. Thus, proper implementation requires an accessible and effective justice system to ensure that infringements of rights are penalized. A well-functioning judiciary is essential to economic development and sustained growth.

TABLE 3.2 ACCESS TO JUSTICE AND CORRESPONDING ECONOMIC OUTCOMES: A LITERATURE SUMMARY

Women's economic empowerment	• Unequal access to justice is related to financial burdens, increased stress, strain on family relationships, inability to work, lost income, and political instability. Investing in women's access to justice would have returns in terms of national income, competitiveness at the macroeconomic level, and economic growth (High-level Group on Justice for Women 2019).
Economic growth	• A well-functioning judiciary—one that is accessible, efficient, and predictable and resolves cases within a reasonable time frame—is needed to achieve sustainable economic progress (World Bank 2017). • In Latin America, the strength of judicial systems has been linked to economic performance (Sherwood, Shepherd, and De Souza 1994). • Dam (2006) has associated judicial independence and the strength and efficiency of judiciaries with economic growth. • Deseau, Levai, and Schmiegelow (2019) find that disputes resolved at a relatively low cost, without dysfunctional delay and discrimination, increase five-year GDP per capita growth rates.
Private sector growth	• A stronger judiciary is associated with more rapid growth of small firms (Islam 2003). • In India, state-level court efficiency is highly predictive of growth in the formal manufacturing sector (Amirapu 2017; Chemin 2009). • In Italy, improvements in judicial efficiency have reduced credit constraints and increased lending (Jappelli, Pagano, and Bianco 2005). However, inefficiencies in the judicial system have directly contributed to lower investments and a difficult business environment (Esposito, Lanau, and Pompe 2014).

The dysfunction and underperformance of court systems negatively affect women, in particular. Factors limiting access to justice such as affordability, cumbersome procedures, and lack of awareness of rights may have impacts on both men and women. However, lower literacy rates, lower incomes, lower mobility, and less extended digital and social networks all disproportionately affect women's access to justice. Other barriers, such as biases in state institutions, social stigma, psychological trauma in bringing claims, and lack of gender-sensitive procedures, are more directly gender-specific.²⁰ These challenges are even harder for women who are subject to multiple disadvantages. As a result, the justice gap for women is still rampant, particularly in economies where the circumstances for women are worse overall.²¹

Beyond these concerns, unequal access to justice is expensive for both governments and citizens. At the societal level, these costs include higher public spending on unemployment benefits, social assistance, and health services. In Canada, it is estimated that unmet legal needs represent major annual costs to the state, amounting to a combined total of approximately Can\$800 million. This figure includes an annual Can\$248 million in social assistance payments, Can\$450 million in employment insurance payments, and Can\$101 million in health care costs.²² Recent studies in the United States also find that funding of legal services there could return as much as 11 times the amount invested by reducing public expenditure and generating income.²³ For example, a mother who is able to effectively secure spousal and child support will not require public benefits. Similarly, a woman who suffers domestic abuse and procures a restraining order will lose fewer days of work and gain more income. Such savings can drive millions of dollars into a local economy and empower women to provide for themselves and their families.

International standards set forth by general recommendations on women's access to justice highlight the importance of access for women's economic empowerment. Economic sustainability cannot be achieved without respect for the rule of law and protection of rights, both of which require an effective judiciary that resolves cases in a reasonable time and is inclusive and accessible to the public.²⁴ The literature notes the difficulty in monitoring and assessing the implementation and enforcement of rights.

It also calls for more public data on the issues women face when accessing justice, especially in the world of work, in order to explore the relationship between de jure and de facto equality of opportunity.²⁵ A measure of the implementation and enforcement of laws affecting women's economic inclusion is therefore needed to fully understand the legal and judicial constraints to gender equality in business and employment.

While useful, other data sets have not yet met the need for this information. At the global level, the Enforcing Contracts indicator of the World Bank's *Doing Business* project²⁶ captures important aspects of the performance of the judicial system when it comes to commercial cases. However, this work does not focus on gender. Instead, the only gender dimension captured by the indicator, under its Quality of the Judicial Processes Index, is a measure of whether a woman's testimony carries as much weight as a man's in commercial cases.

Additional research, such as OECD's *Equal Access to Justice for Inclusive Growth* report,²⁷ the Center on International Cooperation's *Justice for All* report,²⁸ and the World Justice Project's *Rule of Law Index*,²⁹ measures effective access to justice across a set of economies (figure 3.2). The World Economic Forum's Global Gender Gap Index,³⁰ OECD's Social Institutions and Gender Index,³¹ and the United Nations Development

FIGURE 3.2 | OTHER GLOBAL JUSTICE DATA



Sources: World Bank Group, OECD Development Center, Center on International Cooperation, and World Justice Project.

a. Goal 16 of the Sustainable Developmental Goals (SDGs) states, "Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels."

Programme's Gender Inequality Index³² track gender inequalities across several practice and outcome areas. Global Integrity's Africa Integrity Indicators track both de jure and de facto corruption and governance in 54 African economies, including a social development section that consists of 24 indicators on gender, rights, welfare, rural sector, business environment, health, education, and civil registration.³³ Despite this impressive undertaking, however, the scope of Global Integrity's project is not global, and scores do not represent an evaluation of women's access to justice. Thus, the *Women, Business and the Law* data collection and analysis will aim to bridge these approaches by studying access to justice through a gender lens.

Toward equal access to justice and implementation

The role of the justice sector in ensuring gender equality and implementation of the law is multifaceted. A variety of factors could provide valuable insight into its functioning, including the presence of institutions, certain processes and procedures, and statistics and outcomes. With this research, *Women, Business and the Law* hopes to focus specifically on the ways in which women's access to justice can be ensured both through implementation of the good practice legislation covered by the project's indicators and through the passage of specific laws and regulations.

This work will endeavor to fill the knowledge gap by collecting and analyzing data on the processes and procedures relevant to women's access to justice, as well as the good practice legislation that can ensure it. This effort may include using one of the *Women, Business and the Law* questions as a starting point to assess whether the law represented by the question itself is effectively implemented through the justice sector. For example, of the 190 economies measured, 159 prohibit discrimination in employment based on gender. This question allows broad study of similar provisions across economies and regions and could be used to consider the time and cost of a case brought by a female victim of discrimination. Such an approach could also be used to study implementation of other questions in the index.

Through this exercise, potential barriers to implementation of de jure indicators could also be identified for future study. Examples that complement current *Women, Business and the Law* data include the ease and cost of access to justice, effectiveness of complaints procedures, and importance of awareness-raising campaigns among public officials, employers, and employees.

Other measures that promote women's access to justice could also be considered. Institutions of justice, such as customary and personal law courts, dedicated and specialized family courts, and human rights institutions could be examined because of their particular relevance to women. Topics such as small claims court access, the provision of legal aid, and women's representation in the judiciary may also prove pertinent to this discussion.

Any published findings will review the available evidence on this subject, including the data sets described here, for correlation with or further explanation of *Women, Business and the Law* results. Together, the data presented will investigate whether laws, as measured by the *Women, Business and the Law* index, are actionable on the ground for female employees and entrepreneurs. Above all, the intent of this work is to ease the process of identifying good practices that ensure accessible, timely, and affordable remedies for women seeking justice. Such quantitative data could help

provide a complete picture of the life cycle of legislation and encourage economies to move rapidly toward more efficient and comprehensive access to justice for women everywhere.

What's next?

Most of the current *Women, Business and the Law* indicators measure the differences between men and women under the law. However, selecting a clear benchmark that tackles the trade-offs when considering these areas may depart from this trend. Although the empirical evidence demonstrates the disproportionate effects of the availability of childcare services and access to justice on women's participation in the labor market, these issues are not necessarily a matter of legal gender gaps.

Given their broad scope, the potential results of this research could take several different forms. Any questionnaire will undergo substantial peer review and consultation with academics and practitioners before its dissemination to local experts. To ensure that data are comparable across economies, a set of standardized assumptions will be determined. A questionnaire would also be piloted in several economies in a diverse sample of regions. Results may be published as case studies or policy briefs complementing the *Women, Business and the Law* index. If coverage can be expanded to 190 economies and remain comparable and feasible for annual data collection, the addition of indicators to the index will be considered. Complementary economic research will also aim to establish links between legislative principles and improving women's position as active participants in the workforce and the broader economy.

Growing evidence directly links each of these areas to women's economic opportunities. Although in its early stages, this research aims to highlight the role that childcare and access to justice play in ensuring that the equality promised by law is actionable. In expanding its scope to include these issues, *Women, Business and the Law* hopes to continue providing a rich body of data that can be used to generate policies and provide a road map for further research.

Notes

1. NAEYC 2020.
2. Indeed, research from Olivetti and Petrongolo (2017) presents an overview of the evidence from high-income economies on the impacts of family policies on female labor market outcomes and finds that childcare is more strongly associated with better labor market outcomes for women than family leave policies.
3. Day 2016; Yakita 2018.
4. In this discussion, *informal care* refers to unpaid care provided mainly by relatives and family friends.
5. Devercelli and Beaton-Day, forthcoming.
6. IFC 2019.
7. IFC 2017.
8. OECD Family Database, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris, http://www.oecd.org/els/soc/PF3_1_Public_spending_on_childcare_and_early_education.xlsx. Latest data on total public expenditure on early childhood education and care for Norway and Sweden are for 2015.
9. OECD 2020.

10. OECD Family Database, http://www.oecd.org/els/soc/PF3_1_Public_spending_on_childcare_and_early_education.xlsx. Latest data on total public expenditure on early childhood education and care for Ireland are for 2015; the Republic of Korea, 2017; Turkey, 2016; and the United States, 2016.
11. OECD Family Database, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris, http://www.oecd.org/els/soc/PF3_2_Enrolment_childcare_preschool.xlsx. Latest data on enrollment rates in early childcare education and care services for 0- to 2-year-old children in Ireland, the Republic of Korea, and Turkey are available for 2017; in the United States for 2011.
12. Amin, Islam, and Sakhonchik 2016.
13. UNICEF 2019.
14. Devercelli and Beaton-Day, forthcoming.
15. For more information about case studies produced by the International Finance Corporation in 2019 and 2020 within the framework of the Tackling Childcare project, see the IFC website, https://www.ifc.org/wps/wcm/connect/topics_ext_content/ifc_external_corporate_site/gender+at+ifc/priorities/employment/tackling_childcare_the_business_case_for_employer_supported_childcare.
16. OECD 2018.
17. UN Women and UNDP, n.d.
18. Kabeer 2009.
19. World Bank 2012.
20. World Bank 2012.
21. The *justice gap* is defined as the disparity in access to justice and satisfaction of legal needs experienced by women and girls (High-level Group on Justice for Women 2019).
22. Farrow et al. 2016.
23. Buckwalter-Poza 2016.
24. Goldstone and Stein 2015; Hoque 2018; Marciano, Melcarne, and Ramello 2019.
25. Hyland, Djankov, and Goldberg 2021; World Bank 2012.
26. *Doing Business* Indicators (database), World Bank, Washington, DC, <https://www.doingbusiness.org/en/data/exploretopics/enforcing-contracts>.
27. OECD 2019a.
28. CIC 2019.
29. World Justice Project Rule of Law Index 2020 (database), World Justice Project, Washington, DC, <https://worldjusticeproject.org/our-work/research-and-data/wjp-rule-law-index-2020>.
30. World Economic Forum 2020.
31. OECD Social Institutions and Gender Gap (database), Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris, <https://www.genderindex.org/>.
32. Gender Inequality Index (GII), United Nations Development Programme, New York, <http://hdr.undp.org/en/content/gender-inequality-index-gii>.
33. Africa Integrity Indicators—Project Summary and Methodology AI8 (2020), Global Integrity, Washington, DC, <https://www.globalintegrity.org/resource/aii8methodology/>.

References

- Amin, Mohammad, Asif Islam, and Alena Sakhonchik. 2016. "Does Paternity Leave Matter for Female Employment in Developing Economies? Evidence from Firm Data." Policy Research Working Paper Series 7588. *Applied Economics Letters* 23 (16): 1145–48.
- Amirapu, A. 2017. "Justice Delayed Is Growth Denied: The Effect of Slow Courts on Relationship-specific Industries in India." Discussion Paper No. 1706, University of Kent School of Economics, Kent, UK.
- Baker, Michael, Jonathan Gruber, and Kevin Milligan. 2008. "Universal Child Care, Maternal Labor Supply, and Family Well-Being." *Journal of Political Economy* 116 (4): 709–45.
- Baxter, Jennifer, Matthew Gray, Michael Alexander, Lyndall Strazdins, and Michael Bittman. 2007. "Mothers and Fathers with Young Children: Paid Employment, Caring and Wellbeing." FaHCSIA Social Policy Research Paper No. 30, SSRN, New York.
- Berlinski, Samuel, Sebastian Galiani, and Patrick J. McEwan. 2011. "Preschool and Maternal Labor Market Outcomes: Evidence from a Regression Discontinuity Design." *Economic Development and Cultural Change* 59 (2): 313–44.
- Bettendorf, Leon J. H., Egbert L. W. Jongen, and Paul Muller. 2015. "Childcare Subsidies and Labour Supply—Evidence from a Large Dutch Reform." *Labour Economics* 36: 112–23.
- Brady, Michelle, and Francisco Perales. 2016. "Hours of Paid Work among Single and Partnered Mothers in Australia: The Role of Child Care Packages." *Journal of Family Issues* 37 (3): 321–43.

- Buckwalter-Poza, Rebecca. 2016. "Making Justice Equal." Center for American Progress, Washington, DC.
- Chemin, M. 2009. "Do Judiciaries Matter for Development? Evidence from India." *Journal of Comparative Economics* 37 (2): 230–50.
- CIC (Center on International Cooperation). 2019. *Justice for All: Report of the Task Force on Justice*. New York: CIC, New York University. <https://cic.nyu.edu/publications/justice-for-all>.
- Clark, Shelley, Caroline W. Kabiru, Sonia Laszlo, and Stella Muthuri. 2019. "The Impact of Childcare on Poor Urban Women's Economic Empowerment in Africa." *Demography* 56 (4): 1247–72.
- Dam, Kenneth. 2006. "The Judiciary and Economic Development." *SSRN Electronic Journal*. doi:10.2139/ssrn.892030.
- Dang, Hai-Anh H., Masako Hiraga, and Cuong Viet Nguyen. 2019. "Childcare and Maternal Employment: Evidence from Vietnam." Policy Research Working Paper 8856, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Day, Creina. 2016. "Fertility and Economic Growth: The Role of Workforce Skill Composition and Child Care Prices." *Oxford Economic Papers* 68 (2): 546–65.
- Deseau, Arnaud, Adam Levai, and Michele Schmiegelow. 2019. "Access to Justice and Economic Development: Evidence from an International Panel Dataset." Discussion paper, Université Catholique de Louvain, Institut de recherches économiques et sociales (IRES), Brussels.
- Devercelli, Amanda, and F. Beaton-Day. Forthcoming. *Better Jobs and Brighter Futures: Investing in Childcare to Build Human Capital*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Diaz, Mercedes, and Lourdes Rodriguez-Chamussy. 2013. "Childcare and Women's Labor Participation: Evidence for Latin America and the Caribbean." Inter-American Development Bank, Washington, DC.
- Espósito, Gianluca, Sergi Lanau, and Sebastian Pompe. 2014. "Judicial System Reform in Italy: A Key to Growth." IMF Working Paper 14/32, International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC.
- Farrow, Trevor C. W., Ab Currie, Nicole Aylwin, Les Jacobs, David Northrup, and Lisa Moore. 2016. *Everyday Legal Problems and the Cost of Justice in Canada: Overview Report*. Toronto: Canadian Forum on Civil Justice.
- Geyer, Johannes, Peter Haan, and Katharina Wrohlich. 2015. "The Effects of Family Policy on Maternal Labor Supply: Combining Evidence from a Structural Model and a Quasi-experimental Approach." *Labour Economics* 36: 84–98.
- Givord, Pauline, and Claire Marbot. 2015. "Does the Cost of Child Care Affect Female Labor Market Participation? An Evaluation of a French Reform of Childcare Subsidies." *Labour Economics* 36: 99–111.
- Goldstone, Richard, and Robert A. Stein, eds. 2015. *The Rule of Law in the 21st Century: A Worldwide Perspective*. London: Globe Law and Business.
- Haan, Peter, and Katharina Wrohlich. 2011. "Can Child Care Policy Encourage Employment and Fertility? Evidence from a Structural Model." *Labour Economics* 18: 498–512.
- Haec, Catherine, Pierre Lefebvre, and Philip Merrigan. 2015. "Canadian Evidence on Ten Years of Universal Preschool Policies: The Good and the Bad." *Labour Economics* 36: 137–57.
- Halim, Daniel, Hillary Johnson, and Elizaveta Perova. 2017. "Preschool Availability and Female Labor Force Participation: Evidence from Indonesia." Policy Research Working Paper 8915, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Havnes, Tarjei, and Magne Mogstad. 2011. "Money for Nothing? Universal Child Care and Maternal Employment." *Journal of Public Economics* 95 (11): 1455–65.
- Herbst, Chris M. 2017. "Universal Child Care, Maternal Employment, and Children's Long-Run Outcomes: Evidence from the US Lanham Act of 1940." *Journal of Labor Economics* 35 (2): 519–64.
- High-level Group on Justice for Women. 2019. *Justice for Women: High-level Group Report*. New York: UN Women, International Development Law Organization (IDLO), World Bank, and Task Force on Justice.
- Hoque, Ridwanul. 2018. "The Inclusivity Role of the Judiciary in Bangladesh." In *Inclusive Governance in South Asia*, edited by Nizam Ahmed. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Hyland, Marie, Simeon Djankov, and Pinelopi Koujianou Goldberg. 2021. "Do Gendered Laws Matter?" Financial Markets Groups Discussion Paper No. 824, London School of Economics.
- IFC (International Finance Corporation). 2017. "Tackling Childcare: The Business Case for Employer-Supported Childcare." World Bank Group, Washington, DC.
- IFC (International Finance Corporation). 2019. "Tackling Childcare: The Business Case for Employer-Supported Childcare in Fiji." World Bank Group, Washington, DC.
- Islam, Roumeen. 2003. "Do More Transparent Governments Govern Better?" Policy Research Working Paper 3077, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Jappelli, Tullio, Marco Pagano, and Magda Bianco. 2005. "Courts and Banks: Effects of Judicial Enforcement on Credit Markets." *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* 37 (2): 223–44.
- Kabeer, Naila. 2009. "Women's Economic Empowerment: Key Issues and Policy Options." SIDA Policy Women's Economic Empowerment Series, Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (SIDA) and Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Stockholm.

- Kleven, Henrik, Camille Landais, Johanna Posch, Andreas Steinhauer, and Josef Zweimüller. 2020. "Do Family Policies Reduce Gender Inequality? Evidence from 60 Years of Policy Experimentation." NBER Working Paper 28082, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA.
- Lefebvre, Pierre, and Philip Merrigan. 2008. "Child-Care Policy and the Labour Supply of Mothers with Young Children: A Natural Experiment from Canada." *Journal of Labor Economics* 26 (3): 519–47.
- Lefebvre, Pierre, Philip Merrigan, and Matthieu Verstraete. 2009. "Dynamic Labour Supply Effects of Childcare Subsidies: Evidence from a Canadian Natural Experiment on Low-Fee Universal Child Care." *Labour Economics* 16: 490–502.
- Marciano, Alain, Alessandro Melcarne, and Giovanni B. Ramello. 2019. "The Economic Importance of Judicial Institutions, Their Performance and the Proper Way to Measure Them." *Journal of Institutional Economics* 15 (1): 81–98. doi:10.1017/S1744137418000292.
- NAEYC (National Association for the Education of Young Children). 2020. "From the Front Lines: The Ongoing Effect of the Pandemic on Child Care." NAEYC, Washington, DC.
- Nollenberger, Natalia, and Núria Rodríguez-Planas. 2015. "Full-time Universal Childcare in a Context of Low Maternal Employment: Quasi-experimental Evidence from Spain." *Labour Economics* 36: 124–36.
- OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). 2018. *The Impact of Legal Frameworks on Women's Economic Empowerment around the World: Challenges and Good Practices*. Paris: OECD.
- OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). 2019a. *Equal Access to Justice for Inclusive Growth*. Paris: OECD.
- OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). 2019b. *Public Spending on Childcare and Early Education*. Paris: OECD.
- OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). 2020. "Is Childcare Affordable?" Policy Brief on Employment, Labor and Social Affairs, OECD, Paris.
- Olivetti, Claudia, and Barbara Petrongolo. 2017. "The Economic Consequences of Family Policies: Lessons from a Century of Legislation in High-Income Countries." Working Paper 811, Queen Mary University of London, School of Economics and Finance.
- Prada, María F., Graciana Rucci, and Sergio S. Urzúa. 2015. "The Effect of Mandated Child Care on Female Wages in Chile." IDB Publications Working Paper 6880, Inter-American Development Bank, Washington, DC.
- Quisumbing, Agnes, Kelly Hallman, and Marie Ruel. 2007. "Maquiladoras and Market Mamas: Women's Work and Childcare in Guatemala City and Accra." *Journal of Development Studies* 43: 420–55.
- Sherwood, Robert M., Geoffrey Shepherd, and Celso Marcos De Souza. 1994. "Judicial Systems and Economic Performance." *Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance* 34 (suppl. 1): 101–16.
- Thévenon, O. 2013. "Drivers of Female Labour Force Participation in the OECD." OECD Social, Employment and Migration Working Paper No. 145, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris.
- UNICEF (United Nations Children's Fund). 2019. "Childcare and Working Families: New Opportunity or Missing Link." Evidence Brief. UNICEF, New York.
- UN Women (United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women) and UNDP (United Nations Development Programme). n.d. *Improving Women's Access to Justice during and after Conflict: Mapping UN Rule of Law Engagement*. New York: UN Women.
- World Bank. 2012. *World Development Report 2012: Gender Equality and Development*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- World Bank. 2017. *World Development Report 2017: Governance and the Law*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- World Economic Forum. 2020. *Global Gender Gap Report 2020*. Cologny, Geneva: World Economic Forum.
- Yakita, Akira. 2018. "Female Labor Supply, Fertility Rebounds, and Economic Development." *Review of Development Economics* 22 (4): 1667–81.




APPENDIX A

Data Notes

Women, Business and the Law measures laws and regulations affecting women's economic inclusion in 190 economies. Although progress has been made over the last 50 years, the data confirm that more work is needed to ensure that women have equality of opportunity when entering the workforce and starting their own business.

To highlight these opportunities for change, *Women, Business and the Law 2021* presents an index structured around the stages of a woman's working life (figure A.1). This framework helps align areas of the law with the economic decisions women make as they experience various milestones. The indicators not only represent women's interactions with the law as they begin, progress through, and end their careers, but also are an easily replicable measure of the legal environment that women face as entrepreneurs and employees. This edition of *Women, Business and the Law* presents an update of the index based on the laws and regulations reformed between September 2, 2019, and October 1, 2020.

FIGURE A.1 | THE EIGHT WOMEN, BUSINESS AND THE LAW INDICATORS




Source: *Women, Business and the Law* team.

Methodology

Women, Business and the Law is based on an analysis of the domestic laws and regulations that affect women's economic opportunities. The indicators were selected on the basis of their association with measures of women's economic empowerment and through research and consultation with experts. They are also inspired by the international legal frameworks set out in the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW); the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women General Recommendations (CEDAW GR); the UN Declaration on the Elimination of Violence against Women (DEVAW); and the International Labour Organization (ILO) Equal Remuneration Convention, 1951 (No. 100); Maternity Protection Convention, 2000 (No. 183); and Violence and Harassment Convention, 2019 (No. 190).

TABLE A.1 <i>WOMEN, BUSINESS AND THE LAW</i> INDICATORS	
Mobility (0–100)	
1.	Can a woman choose where to live in the same way as a man? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
2.	Can a woman travel outside her home in the same way as a man? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
3.	Can a woman apply for a passport in the same way as a man? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
4.	Can a woman travel outside the country in the same way as a man? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
Workplace (0–100)	
1.	Can a woman get a job in the same way as a man? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
2.	Does the law prohibit discrimination in employment based on gender? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
3.	Is there legislation on sexual harassment in employment? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
4.	Are there criminal penalties or civil remedies for sexual harassment in employment? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
Pay (0–100)	
1.	Does the law mandate equal remuneration for work of equal value? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
2.	Can a woman work at night in the same way as a man? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
3.	Can a woman work in a job deemed dangerous in the same way as a man? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
4.	Can a woman work in an industrial job in the same way as a man? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
Marriage (0–100)	
1.	Is there no legal provision that requires a married woman to obey her husband? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
2.	Can a woman be head of household in the same way as a man? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
3.	Is there legislation specifically addressing domestic violence? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
4.	Can a woman obtain a judgment of divorce in the same way as a man? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
5.	Does a woman have the same rights to remarry as a man? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
Parenthood (0–100)	
1.	Is paid leave of at least 14 weeks available to mothers? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
2.	Does the government administer 100% of maternity leave benefits? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
3.	Is paid leave available to fathers? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
4.	Is there paid parental leave? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
5.	Is dismissal of pregnant workers prohibited? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
Entrepreneurship (0–100)	
1.	Does the law prohibit discrimination in access to credit based on gender? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
2.	Can a woman sign a contract in the same way as a man? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
3.	Can a woman register a business in the same way as a man? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
4.	Can a woman open a bank account in the same way as a man? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
Assets (0–100)	
1.	Do men and women have equal ownership rights to immovable property? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
2.	Do sons and daughters have equal rights to inherit assets from their parents? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
3.	Do male and female surviving spouses have equal rights to inherit assets? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
4.	Does the law grant spouses equal administrative authority over assets during marriage? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
5.	Does the law provide for the valuation of nonmonetary contributions? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
Pension (0–100)	
1.	Is the age at which men and women can retire with full pension benefits the same? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
2.	Is the age at which men and women can retire with partial pension benefits the same? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
3.	Is the mandatory retirement age for men and women the same? (Yes = 1, No = 0)
4.	Are periods of absence due to childcare accounted for in pension benefits? (Yes = 1, No = 0)

Source: *Women, Business and the Law* database.

FIGURE A.2 | ECUADOR SCORES 89.4 ON THE *WOMEN, BUSINESS AND THE LAW* INDEX

Source: *Women, Business and the Law* database.

The data set and analysis can be used to support research and policy discussions on the ways in which the legal environment influences women's economic activity. Thirty-five data points are scored across eight indicators composed of four or five binary questions, with each indicator representing a different phase of a woman's life (table A.1). Indicator-level scores are obtained by calculating the unweighted average of responses to the questions within that indicator and scaling the result to 100. Overall scores are then calculated by taking the average of each indicator, with 100 representing the highest possible score.

Examining the data for one economy illustrates how scoring works in the index. In figure A.2, Ecuador receives a score of 100 for Mobility, Workplace, Pay, Marriage, Assets, and Pension, which indicates that no legal constraints were found in the areas measured under these indicators.

Under Parenthood, however, the lack of paid maternity leave of at least 14 weeks, government-administered maternity leave benefits, or paid parental leave results in a score of 40. The score for Entrepreneurship is 75 because the law does not prohibit gender-based discrimination in access to credit.

Based on this information, the overall score for Ecuador is calculated as the unweighted average of all eight indicator scores on a scale of 0–100, with 100 representing the best score overall. Ecuador thus scores 89.4 on the *Women, Business and the Law* index.

Strengths and limitations of the methodology

To construct the index, *Women, Business and the Law* relies on the feedback of over 2,000 respondents with expertise in family, labor, and criminal law, including lawyers, judges, academics, and members of civil society organizations working locally on gender issues. Besides filling out written questionnaires, respondents provide references to relevant legislation. The *Women, Business and the Law* team then collects the texts of these laws and regulations and verifies questionnaire responses for accuracy. Responses are validated against codified sources of national law, including constitutions, codes, laws, statutes, rules, regulations, and procedures in areas such as labor, social security, civil procedure, violence against women, marriage and family, inheritance, nationality, and land. The data reflect legislation enacted as of October 1, 2020, unless otherwise indicated.

This unique approach has both strengths and limitations (table A.2). Because the indicators are binary, they may not reflect the nuances or details of some of the policies measured. Indicators are also based on standardized assumptions to ensure comparability across economies. For example, an assumption used for questions on

TABLE A.2 METHODOLOGICAL STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS		
Feature	Strength	Limitation
Use of standardized assumptions	Data are comparable across economies, and methodology is transparent.	Scope of data is smaller; only regulatory reforms in the areas measured can be systematically tracked.
Coverage of largest business city only	Data collection is manageable, and data are comparable.	In federal economies, data could be less representative where there are differences in laws across locations.
Focus on the most populous group	Data are comparable across economies where there are parallel legal systems prescribing different rights for different groups of women.	Restrictions that apply to minority populations may not be covered.
Emphasis on the formal sector	Attention remains centered on the formal economy, where regulations are more relevant.	The reality faced by women in the informal sector, which may be a significant population in some economies, is not reflected.
Measure of codified law only	Indicators are actionable because the law is what policy makers can change.	Where systematic implementation of legislation is lacking, regulatory changes alone will not achieve the desired results; social and cultural norms are not considered.

Source: *Women, Business and the Law* database.

maternity leave is that the woman in question has one child. Although maternity leave benefits often differ for multiple births, only data for individual births are captured. Another assumption is that the woman in question is located in the largest business city of the economy. In federal economies, laws affecting women can vary by state or province. Even in nonfederal economies, women in rural areas and small towns could face more restrictive local legislation. Such restrictions are not captured by *Women, Business and the Law* unless they are also found in the main business city. Finally, where personal law prescribes different rights and obligations for different groups of women, the data focus on the most populous group, which may mean that restrictions applying only to minority populations are missed.

Although it ensures comparability of the data, this use of standardized assumptions is limited in its ability to fully reflect the diversity of women's experiences. *Women, Business and the Law* recognizes that the laws it measures do not apply to all women in the same way. Women face intersectional forms of discrimination based on gender, sex, sexuality, race, gender identity, religion, family status, ethnicity, nationality, disability, and a myriad of other grounds. *Women, Business and the Law* therefore encourages readers to interpret the data in conjunction with other available research.

Women, Business and the Law also focuses solely on the ways in which the formal legal and regulatory environment determines whether women can work or open their own business. Although many women in developing economies are employed by the informal sector, a goal of this project is to define some of the features of the legal framework that make it more difficult for women to transition from the informal to the formal economy.

This project also recognizes the often-large gaps between laws on the books and actual practice. One reason for these gaps may be poor implementation of legislation stemming from weak enforcement, poor design, or low institutional capacity. Nonetheless, identifying legal differences is one step toward better understanding where women's economic rights may be restricted in practice.

Women, Business and the Law acknowledges that equal opportunities for women in business and the workplace depend on an interplay of economic, social, and cultural factors. For example, unless women are able to get an education or build their skills, equalizing laws affecting entrepreneurship and employment could mean little. Other factors, such as infrastructure, also may affect the ability and desire of women to work. In addition, social and cultural norms may prevent women from running a business or working outside the home. Within this overall picture, *Women, Business and the Law* recognizes the limitations of its assumptions and its focus on statutory law. Even though such assumptions may come at the expense of specificity, they also ensure data comparability across economies.

Indicators and questions

This section looks more closely at the 35 scored binary questions, grouped by indicator (box A.1).

Answers to the questions are based on codified law only. Customary law is not taken into account unless it has been codified. Where the answer differs according to the legal system (for example, in mixed legal systems where different laws govern different groups of people within an economy), the answer used is the one that applies to the majority of the population. Supranational law, such as that enacted by the Organization for the Harmonization of Business Law in Africa (OHADA), is not considered when domestic law contravenes supranational rules and limits the legal capacity of women. Some questions take into account the enactment date of laws and regulations and some the

BOX A.1 ASSUMPTIONS USED TO ENSURE COMPARABILITY

The woman in question

- resides in the economy's main business city.
- has reached the legal age of majority and is capable of making decisions as an adult, is in good health, and has no criminal record.
- is a lawful citizen of the economy being examined.
- is a cashier in the food retail sector in a supermarket or grocery store that has 60 employees.
- is a cisgender, heterosexual woman in a monogamous first marriage registered with the appropriate authorities (de facto marriages and customary unions are not measured).

(continued on next page)

BOX A.1 ASSUMPTIONS USED TO ENSURE COMPARABILITY (CONTINUED)

- is of the same religion as her husband.
- is in a marriage under the rules of the default marital property regime, or the most common regime for that jurisdiction, which will not change during the course of the marriage.
- is not a member of a union, unless membership is mandatory. Membership is considered mandatory when collective bargaining agreements cover more than 50 percent of the workforce in the food retail sector and when they apply to individuals who were not party to the original collective bargaining agreement.

For the questions on maternity, paternity, and parental leave, it is assumed that

- the woman gave birth to her first child without complications on October 1, 2020, and her child is in good health. Answers will therefore correspond to legislation in force as of October 1, 2020, even if the law provides for changes over time.
- both parents have been working long enough to accrue any maternity, paternity, and parental benefits.
- if maternity benefit systems are not mandatory or they were not in force as of October 1, 2020, they are not measured.

For the questions on inheritance rights, it is assumed that

- the deceased has not left a will, so the rules of intestate succession apply.
- when determining the inheritance rights of spouses, male and female surviving spouses do not have any living children.

For the questions on retirement and pensions, it is assumed that

- the woman gave birth without complications to two healthy children.
- the woman ceased all paid activity during periods of childcare. If the period covered by a pension credit is conditioned on the age of the child, the period until the child reaches age one is counted.
- if transitional provisions gradually change the retirement age, the answer will reflect the retirement age as of October 1, 2020, even if the law provides for changes over time.
- if a mandatory contributory pension system applicable to the private sector and a non-contributory universal pension system coexist, the answers will correspond with the rules applicable to the mandatory contributory pension system.
- if pension systems are not mandatory or were not in force as of October 1, 2020, they are not measured.

Source: Women, Business and the Law database.

date of enforcement, whereas a few consider the state of implementation. For example, questions that measure the provision of a benefit such as paid leave or old age pension consider only laws that are in force and systems through which benefits are paid that are in place and operational. No changes to the *Women, Business and the Law* methodology were made for this edition.

Mobility

The Mobility indicator measures constraints on a woman's agency and freedom of movement, both of which are likely to influence her decision to enter the labor force and engage in entrepreneurial activity.¹ This indicator has four components that measure:

- **Whether a woman can choose where to live in the same way as a man.** A score of 1 is assigned if there are no restrictions on a woman choosing where to live. A score of 0 is assigned if there are explicit restrictions on a woman choosing where to live, if the husband chooses the family residence, if a woman's domicile automatically follows that of her husband, or if the husband has more legal weight than the woman in determining where the family will live.
- **Whether a woman can travel outside her home in the same way as a man.** A score of 1 is assigned if there are no restrictions on a woman traveling alone domestically. A score of 0 is assigned if permission, additional documentation, or the presence of her husband or guardian is required for a woman to travel alone domestically. A score of 0 is also assigned if a woman must justify her reasons for leaving the home, or if leaving the home without a valid reason is considered disobedience with legal consequences, such as loss of right to maintenance.
- **Whether a woman can apply for a passport in the same way as a man.** This question considers actual application forms available at the relevant agency or on official government websites, regardless of what the law specifies. A score of 1 is assigned if there are no gender differences in passport application procedures. A score of 0 is assigned if an adult woman needs the permission or signature of her husband, father, or other relative or guardian to apply for a passport. A score of 0 is also assigned if passport application procedures or forms require a woman to provide details about her husband, father, or other relative or guardian, or additional documents such as a marriage certificate, whereas the same is not required for a man.
- **Whether a woman can travel outside the country in the same way as a man.** A score of 1 is assigned if there are no restrictions on a woman traveling alone internationally. A score of 0 is assigned if permission, additional documentation, or the presence of her husband or a guardian is required for a woman to leave the country. A score of 0 is also assigned if the law requires a married woman to accompany her husband out of the country if he wishes her to.



Workplace

The Workplace indicator analyzes laws affecting women's decisions to enter the labor market, including women's legal capacity and ability to work, as well as protections in the workplace against discrimination and sexual harassment. Antidiscrimination legislation is positively associated with women's employment and earnings, whereas sexual harassment can negatively influence women's career trajectories.² This indicator has four components that assess:

- **Whether a woman can get a job in the same way as a man.** A score of 1 is assigned if there are no restrictions on a woman's legal capacity and ability to get a job or pursue a trade or profession. A score of 0 is assigned if a husband can prevent his wife from working, or if permission or additional documentation is required for a woman to work but not a man. A score of 0 is also assigned if it is considered a form of disobedience with legal consequences, such as loss of maintenance, for a woman to work contrary to her husband's wishes or the interests of the family.
- **Whether the law prohibits discrimination in employment based on gender.** A score of 1 is assigned if the law prohibits employers from discriminating based on sex or gender or mandates equal treatment of women and men in employment. A score of 0 is assigned if the law does not prohibit such discrimination or only prohibits it in one aspect of employment, such as pay or dismissal.
- **Whether there is legislation on sexual harassment in employment.** A score of 1 is assigned if legal provisions specifically protect against sexual harassment in employment, including unwelcome verbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature. A score of 0 is assigned if there is no such legislation. A score of 0 is also assigned if the law addresses harassment in general but makes no reference to acts of a sexual nature or contact, or if it states only that the employer has a duty to prevent sexual harassment but no provisions prohibit it or provide sanctions or other forms of redress.
- **Whether there are criminal penalties or civil remedies for sexual harassment in employment.** A score of 1 is assigned if the law establishes criminal sanctions, such as fines or imprisonment, for sexual harassment in employment. A score of 1 is also assigned if the provision in the criminal code provides for reparation or damages for offenses covered by the code, or if the law provides for civil remedies or compensation for victims of sexual harassment in employment or the workplace, even after dismissal of the victims. A score of 0 is assigned if the law establishes neither criminal sanctions for sexual harassment in employment nor civil remedies or compensation for victims of sexual harassment in employment or the workplace. A score of 0 is also assigned if the law only prohibits sexual harassment in employment and sets forth that the employer should apply discretionary sanctions.



The Pay indicator measures laws affecting occupational segregation and the gender wage gap. Restrictions on certain jobs have been found to be negatively correlated with female employment.³ This indicator has four components that assess:

- Whether the law mandates equal remuneration for work of equal value.** “Remuneration” refers to the ordinary, basic, or minimum wage or salary and any additional emoluments payable directly or indirectly, whether in cash or in kind, by the employer to the worker and arising from the worker’s employment. “Work of equal value” refers not only to the same or similar jobs but also to different jobs of the same value. A score of 1 is assigned if employers are legally obliged to pay equal remuneration to male and female employees who perform work of equal value in accordance with these definitions. A score of 0 is assigned if the law limits the principle of equal remuneration to equal work, the same work, similar work, or work of a similar nature. A score of 0 is also assigned if the law limits the broad concept of “remuneration” to only basic wages or salary, or if the law limits the principle of equal remuneration for work of equal value to the same place of business or same employer.
- Whether a woman can work at night in the same way as a man.** A score of 1 is assigned if nonpregnant and non-nursing women can work at night in the same way as men. A score of 1 is also assigned when restrictions on women’s ability to work at night do not apply to the food retail sector, women’s consent to work at night is required, or an employer needs to comply with safety measures (such as providing transportation). A score of 0 is assigned if the law broadly prohibits women, including those with children over the age of one, from working at night or limits the hours women can work at night. A score of 0 is also assigned if the law gives the relevant authority the power to restrict or prohibit women’s night work, regardless of the content of any decisions issued by that authority.
- Whether a woman can work in a job deemed dangerous in the same way as a man.** A score of 1 is assigned if no laws prohibit or restrict nonpregnant and non-nursing women from working in a broad and subjective category of jobs deemed “hazardous,” “arduous,” or “morally inappropriate.” A score of 0 is assigned if the law prohibits or restricts women from working in jobs deemed hazardous, arduous, or morally inappropriate. A score of 0 is also assigned if the relevant authority can determine whether particular jobs are too hazardous, arduous, or morally inappropriate for women but not for men, regardless of the content of any decisions issued by that authority.
- Whether a woman can work in an industrial job in the same way as a man.** A score of 1 is assigned if nonpregnant and non-nursing women can work in the mining, construction, manufacturing, energy, water, agriculture, and transportation industries

in the same way as men. A score of 0 is assigned if the law prohibits women from working in these industries. A score of 0 is also assigned if women's employment in the relevant industries is restricted in any way, such as by prohibiting women from working at night in "industrial undertakings," or by giving the relevant authority the power to prohibit or restrict women from working in certain jobs or industries, regardless of the content of any decisions issued by that authority.



Marriage

The Marriage indicator measures legal constraints related to marriage and divorce. Legal discrimination against women, including limits on their ability to be head of household, has been found to be negatively correlated with labor force participation.⁴ Unequal rights in marriage and divorce can also have negative effects on women's intrahousehold bargaining power and jeopardize their financial security when a divorce is finalized.⁵ This indicator has five components that measure:

- **Whether there is no legal provision that requires a married woman to obey her husband.** A score of 1 is assigned if there is no provision requiring a married woman to obey her husband. A score of 0 is assigned if there is an explicit provision stating that a married woman must obey her husband, or if disobedience of a husband has legal ramifications for his wife, such as loss of her right to maintenance.
- **Whether a woman can be head of household in the same way as a man.** A score of 1 is assigned if there are no restrictions on a woman being head of household or head of family. A score of 0 is assigned if there is a provision designating the husband as head of household or stipulating that the husband leads the family. A score of 0 is also assigned if a male is designated as the default family member who receives the family book or equivalent document that is needed for access to services. Gender restrictions under tax law are not measured by this question.
- **Whether there is legislation specifically addressing domestic violence.** A score of 1 is assigned if there is legislation addressing domestic violence that includes criminal sanctions or provides for protection orders for domestic violence. A score of 0 is assigned if there is no legislation addressing domestic violence, if the domestic violence legislation does not provide for sanctions or protection orders, or if only a specific category of women or family member is protected. A score of 0 is also assigned if there is only a provision that increases penalties for general crimes covered in the criminal code if committed between spouses or within the family.
- **Whether a woman can obtain a judgment of divorce in the same way as a man.** A score of 1 is assigned if the process to obtain a judgment of divorce is equal for women and men or provides additional protections for women, such as prohibiting a husband from initiating divorce proceedings while his wife is pregnant. A score of 0 is assigned if there are procedural or evidentiary differences for women, if only men can initiate divorce proceedings, or if divorce is legally prohibited.
- **Whether a woman has the same rights to remarry as a man.** A score of 1 is assigned if women and men have equal rights to remarry. A score of 0 is assigned if provisions limit a woman's right to remarry, such as requiring a waiting period before remarriage to which a man is not subject. A score of 0 is also assigned if divorce is legally prohibited.



Parenthood

The Parenthood indicator examines laws affecting women's work during and after pregnancy. Women are more likely to return to work if the law mandates maternity leave.⁶ This indicator has five components that measure:

- **Whether paid leave of at least 14 weeks is available to mothers.** A score of 1 is assigned if mothers are legally entitled to at least 14 weeks (98 calendar days) of paid leave for the birth of a child through maternity leave, parental leave, or a combination of both. A score of 0 is assigned if the law does not establish paid leave for mothers, or if the length of paid leave is less than 14 weeks.
- **Whether the government administers 100% of maternity leave benefits.** A score of 1 is assigned if leave benefits are fully administered by a government entity, including compulsory social insurance schemes (such as social security), public funds, government-mandated private insurance, or employer reimbursement of any maternity leave benefits paid directly to an employee. A score of 0 is assigned if any of the cost is shared by the employer. A score of 0 is also assigned if contributions or taxes are mandated only for female employees, if the social insurance scheme that provides maternity leave benefits is optional, or if no paid leave is available to expectant and new mothers.
- **Whether paid leave is available to fathers.** A score of 1 is assigned if fathers are legally entitled to at least one day of paid paternity leave for the birth of a child, or if the law reserves a portion of paid parental leave specifically for fathers—that is, through “use-it-or-lose-it” policies or fathers’ quotas. A score of 1 is also assigned if fathers are individually entitled to paid parental leave. A score of 0 is assigned if the law does not guarantee fathers any paid paternity leave or other specific leave for the birth of a child. A score of 0 is also assigned if allowances for the birth of a child must be deducted from annual or sick leave.
- **Whether there is paid parental leave.** A score of 1 is assigned if parents are legally entitled to some form of full-time paid parental leave, either shared between mother and father or as an individual entitlement that each can take regardless of the other. A score of 0 is assigned if the law does not mandate any form of paid parental leave.
- **Whether dismissal of pregnant workers is prohibited.** A score of 1 is assigned if the law explicitly prohibits the dismissal of pregnant women, if pregnancy cannot serve as grounds for termination of a contract, or if dismissal of pregnant workers is considered a form of unlawful termination, unfair dismissal, or wrongful discharge. A score of 0 is assigned if there are no provisions prohibiting the dismissal of pregnant workers, or if the law only prohibits the dismissal of pregnant workers during maternity leave, for a limited period of the pregnancy, or when pregnancy results in illness or disability.



Entrepreneurship

The Entrepreneurship indicator measures constraints on women starting and running a business. Having access to a bank account is strongly correlated with women's labor supply.⁷ This indicator has four components that measure:

- **Whether the law prohibits discrimination in access to credit based on gender.** A score of 1 is assigned if the law prohibits discrimination by creditors based on sex

or gender or prescribes equal access for both men and women when conducting financial transactions or entrepreneurial activities or receiving financial assistance. A score of 1 is also assigned if the law prohibits gender discrimination when accessing goods and services (and services are defined to include financial services). A score of 0 is assigned if the law does not prohibit such discrimination, or if the law does not provide for effective remedies.

- **Whether a woman can sign a contract in the same way as a man.** A score of 1 is assigned if a woman obtains full legal capacity upon reaching the age of majority and there are no restrictions on her signing legally binding contracts. A score of 0 is assigned if a woman has limited legal capacity to sign a contract or needs the signature, consent, or permission of her husband or guardian to do so.
- **Whether a woman can register a business in the same way as a man.** A score of 1 is assigned if there are no restrictions on a woman registering a business. A score of 0 is assigned if a woman has limited legal capacity to register a business. This includes situations in which she needs her husband's or guardian's permission, signature, or consent to register a business. A score of 0 is also assigned if the registration process at any stage requires a woman to provide additional information or documentation that is not required of a man.
- **Whether a woman can open a bank account in the same way as a man.** A score of 1 is assigned if there are no restrictions on a woman opening a bank account. A score of 0 is assigned if a woman has limited legal capacity or is required to provide any additional permission or documentation that is not required of a man. A score of 0 is also assigned if legal provisions limit the ability of a woman to open a bank account, such as stating that only a married woman who is separately employed from her husband may open a bank account in her own name.

Assets

The Assets indicator examines gender differences in property and inheritance law. Improving property and inheritance rights is positively associated with female earnings and employment⁸ as well as women's access to housing and land.⁹ This indicator has five components that measure:

- **Whether men and women have equal ownership rights to immovable property.** A score of 1 is assigned if there are no restrictions on women's legal capacity and rights to immovable property. A score of 0 is assigned if women's rights to own or administer property are legally restricted. A score of 0 is also assigned if there are gender differences in the legal treatment of spousal property, such as granting the husband administrative control of marital property. This includes instances in which legal systems are supported by custom and judicial precedent.
- **Whether sons and daughters have equal rights to inherit assets from their parents.** A score of 1 is assigned if sons and daughters have the same rights to inherit assets from their parents. A score of 0 is assigned if there are gender-based differences in the recognition of children as heirs to property.

- **Whether male and female surviving spouses have equal rights to inherit assets.** A score of 1 is assigned if surviving spouses of either gender with no living children have the same inheritance rights. A score of 0 is assigned if there are gender-based differences in the inheritance rights of surviving spouses with no living children.
- **Whether the law grants spouses equal administrative authority over assets during marriage.** A score of 1 is assigned if spouses retain administrative power over the assets each brought to the marriage or acquired during marriage, and their accrued value, without the need for spousal consent. A score of 1 is also assigned if spouses administer their separate property, but for major transactions, such as selling or pledging the property as collateral, spousal consent is required, or if both spouses have equal rights in the administration and transaction of joint property. A score of 0 is assigned if the husband has administrative rights over all property, including any separate property of the wife.
- **Whether the law provides for the valuation of nonmonetary contributions.** Nonmonetary contributions include caring for minor children, taking care of the family home, or any other nonmonetized contribution from a stay-at-home spouse. A score of 1 is assigned if there is an explicit legal recognition of such contributions and the law provides for equal or equitable division of the property or the transfer of a lump sum to the stay-at-home spouse based on nonmonetary contributions. A score of 1 is also assigned if the default marital property regime is full community, partial community, or deferred community of property because these regimes implicitly recognize nonmonetary contributions at the time of property division and benefit both spouses regardless of who purchased the property or holds title to it. A score of 0 is assigned if the default marital property regime is not full or partial community or deferred full or partial community, and there is no explicit legal provision providing for equal or equitable division of property based on nonmonetary contributions.



Pension

The Pension indicator assesses laws affecting the size of a woman's pension. Early retirement can widen the potential gender gap in pension levels and increase women's risk of poverty in old age.¹⁰ This indicator has four components that measure:

- **Whether the age at which men and women can retire with full pension benefits is the same.** A score of 1 is assigned if the statutory age at which men and women can retire and receive an irrevocable minimum old-age pension is the same. A score of 0 is assigned if there is a difference in the statutory age or if there is no mandatory pension scheme implemented for private sector workers.
- **Whether the age at which men and women can retire with partial pension benefits is the same.** Partial pension benefits refer to a reduced or proportional minimum old-age pension payable to workers who did not accumulate enough work experience or periods of contribution or have not reached the statutory age to qualify for a minimum old-age pension. A score of 1 is assigned if the age at which men and women can retire and receive partial pension benefits is the same, or if the age at which men and women can retire and receive partial benefits is not mandated. A score of 0 is

assigned if the age at which men and women can retire and receive partial pension benefits is different, or if there is no mandatory pension scheme implemented for private sector workers.

- **Whether the mandatory retirement age for men and women is the same.** A score of 1 is assigned if the legally established age at which men and women must retire is the same, or if there is no mandatory retirement age. A score of 0 is assigned if the age at which men and women must retire is different.
- **Whether periods of absence from work due to childcare are taken into account in pension benefits.** A score of 1 is assigned if pension contributions are paid or credited during maternity or parental leave, or the leave period is considered a qualifying period of employment used for the purpose of calculating pension benefits. A score of 1 is also assigned if there are mechanisms to compensate for any contribution gaps and to ensure that the leave period does not reduce the assessment base or pension amounts, or if there are no mandatory contributory pension schemes, but there is a noncontributory universal social pension conditioned on noncontributory requirements with no means test attached. A score of 0 is assigned if there are no compensating pension arrangements for periods of childcare, or if there is no mandatory contributory pension scheme for private sector workers and no noncontributory universal social pension.

Reforms

Each year, *Women, Business and the Law* indicators capture changes to domestic laws and regulations that affect women's economic opportunities. Summaries of such changes are listed in the annex that follows chapter 1, thereby acknowledging the legal reform efforts undertaken by governments during the period reviewed. Any legislative or regulatory change that affects the score assigned to a given economy on any question under the eight indicators is classified as a reform. A final reform count is then calculated from the number of changes per indicator per economy.

For questions that refer to legal rights, the change must be mandatory, meaning that women can enforce their rights in court or sanctions can be leveled by a regulatory body such as a central bank, employment tribunal, national human rights commission, or other enforcement body, including the police. For questions that refer to benefits, such as maternity, parental, or pension benefits, women must be able to obtain the benefit as of the cutoff date. Policies, guidelines, model rules, principles, and recommendations are excluded, as are ratified international conventions when they have not been incorporated into domestic law. Reforms affecting the *Women, Business and the Law* indicators include but are not limited to amendments to or the introduction of a new constitution, labor law, family or personal status law, penal code, or administrative procedure.

Proposed methodological changes

The following changes in methodology have been proposed for future studies:

- **Date of reform.** Some *Women, Business and the Law* questions measure impediments to women's economic opportunity, whereas others analyze the provision of benefits. Because of the differences in these types of questions, those on impediments consider

the enactment date of laws and regulations, whereas questions that measure the provision of a benefit such as paid leave or pension consider only laws that are in force and operational. To better measure implementation of the law, *Women, Business and the Law* proposes in future cycles to begin measuring laws based on their date of enforcement rather than their date of enactment for all questions.

- **Marriage.** Unequal bargaining power within the household puts married women at a disadvantage compared with married men. The question of whether a woman is or is not legally required to obey her husband currently captures only explicit spousal obedience provisions. This approach does not account for the fact that many legal systems establish unequal power dynamics between husband and wife—for example, a woman who abstains from marital relations with her husband may face legal ramifications. *Women, Business and the Law* therefore proposes including under this question provisions that provide exemptions for marital rape or otherwise negatively affect a woman’s decision-making power within the household.
- **Parenthood.** Gender equality in the workplace is not possible without gender equality in the home. Although paternity and parental leave have the potential to redistribute unpaid care work, emerging research shows that the design of leave policies matters more for gender equality than simply their existence. In all economies that provide paid parental leave, women use it significantly more than men. Moreover, very long parental leave policies may negatively affect a woman’s career progression and earnings because she has spent too much time out of the labor force. The key to designing leave policies that do not exacerbate gender inequality may be promoting fathers’ uptake of leave. *Women, Business and the Law* thus proposes establishing longer thresholds for both the question on whether there is paid leave available for the father and the question on whether there is paid parental leave. In addition, *Women, Business and the Law* proposes refining the question on paid parental leave in order to encourage more equitable use of leave policies.
- **Entrepreneurship.** Access to credit is a major barrier to women’s entrepreneurship. *Women, Business and the Law* is seeking to refine the methodology for this indicator and potentially add new questions to better measure women’s financial inclusion.

More detailed data on each economy included in this report appear on the project website at <https://wbl.worldbank.org>. The team welcomes feedback on the methodology and construction of this set of indicators, and it looks forward to improving their coverage and scope. Comments can be offered by contacting the *Women, Business and the Law* team at wbl@worldbank.org.

Notes

1. Htun, Jensenius, and Nelson-Núñez 2019.
2. McLaughlin, Uggen, and Blackstone 2017; Zabalza and Tzannatos 1985.
3. Ogloblin 1999, 2005; Zveglic and Rodgers 2003.
4. Goldin and Olivetti 2013; Gonzales et al. 2015.
5. Voena 2015.
6. Berger and Waldfogel 2004.
7. Field et al. 2016; Ladd 1982.
8. Heath and Tan 2018; Peterman 2011.
9. Gaddis, Lahoti, and Swaminathan 2020.
10. Burn et al. 2020; Chłoń-Domińczak 2017.

References

- Berger, Lawrence M., and Jane Waldfogel. 2004. "Maternity Leave and the Employment of New Mothers in the United States." *Journal of Population Economics* 17 (2): 331–49.
- Burn, Ian, Patrick Button, Theodore F. Figinski, and Joanne Song McLaughlin. 2020. "Why Retirement, Social Security, and Age Discrimination Policies Need to Consider the Intersectional Experiences of Older Women." *Public Policy and Aging Report* 30 (3): 101–06.
- Chłóń-Domińczak, Agnieszka. 2017. "Gender Gap in Pensions: Looking Ahead." Study for the Femme Committee, Directorate-General for Internal Policies, European Parliament, Brussels.
- Field, Erica, Rohini Pande, Natalia Rigol, Simone Schaner, and Charity Troyer Moore. 2016. "On Her Account: Can Strengthening Women's Financial Control Boost Female Labour Supply?" Working paper, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA.
- Gaddis, Isis, Rahul Lahoti, and Hema Swaminathan. 2020. "Women's Legal Rights and Gender Gaps in Property Ownership in Developing Countries." Policy Research Working Paper 9444, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Goldin, Claudia, and Claudia Olivetti. 2013. "Shocking Labor Supply: A Reassessment of the Role of World War II on Women's Labor Supply." *American Economic Review* 103 (3): 257–62.
- Gonzales, Christian, Sonali Jain-Chandra, Kalpana Kochhar, and Monique Newiak. 2015. "Fair Play: More Equal Laws Boost Female Labor Force Participation." IMF Staff Discussion Note SDN/15/02, International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC.
- Heath, Rachel, and Xu Tan. 2018. "Intrahousehold Bargaining, Female Autonomy, and Labor Supply: Theory and Evidence from India." Working paper, Department of Economics, University of Washington, Seattle.
- Htun, Mala, Francesca R. Jensenius, and Jami Nelson-Núñez. 2019. "Gender-Discriminatory Laws and Women's Economic Agency." *Social Politics: International Studies in Gender, State and Society* 26 (1).
- Ladd, Helen. 1982. "Equal Credit Opportunity: Women and Mortgage Credit." *American Economic Review* 72 (2): 166–70.
- McLaughlin, Heather, Christopher Uggen, and Amy Blackstone. 2017. "The Economic and Career Effects of Sexual Harassment on Working Women." *Gender and Society* 31 (3): 333–58.
- Ogloblin, Constantin G. 1999. "The Gender Earnings Differential in the Russian Transition Economy." *Industrial and Labor Relations Review* 52 (4): 602–27.
- Ogloblin, Constantin G. 2005. "The Gender Earnings Differential in Russia after a Decade of Economic Transition." *Applied Econometrics and International Development* 5 (3): 5–26.
- Peterman, Amber. 2011. "Women's Property Rights and Gendered Policies: Implications for Women's Long-term Welfare in Rural Tanzania." *Journal of Development Studies* 47 (1): 1–30.
- Voena, Alessandra. 2015. "Yours, Mine, and Ours: Do Divorce Laws Affect the Intertemporal Behavior of Married Couples?" *American Economic Review* 105 (8): 2295–332.
- Zabalza, Antoni, and Zafiris Tzannatos. 1985. "The Effect of Britain's Anti-Discriminatory Legislation on Relative Pay and Employment." *Economic Journal* 95 (379): 679–99.
- Zveglic, Joseph E., and Yana van der Meulen Rodgers. 2003. "The Impact of Protective Measures for Female Workers." *Journal of Labor Economics* 21 (3): 533–55.



APPENDIX B

Economy Data

Indicators capture legal differences between men and women in the following areas:

Economy	 MOBILITY	 WORKPLACE	 PAY	 MARRIAGE	 PARENTHOOD	 ENTREPRENEURSHIP	 ASSETS	 PENSION	 WBL 2021 INDEX
Afghanistan	50	75	0	20	20	75	40	25	38.1
Albania	100	100	100	100	80	100	100	50	91.3
Algeria	75	75	50	60	60	75	40	25	57.5
Angola	100	50	50	100	60	100	100	25	73.1
Antigua and Barbuda	75	50	75	100	0	75	80	75	66.3
Argentina	100	75	50	100	60	75	100	50	76.3
Armenia	100	50	75	100	60	75	100	100	82.5
Australia	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	75	96.9
Austria	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	75	96.9
Azerbaijan	100	100	0	100	80	100	100	50	78.8
Bahamas, The	100	100	75	80	20	75	100	100	81.3
Bahrain	50	75	25	40	40	100	40	75	55.6
Bangladesh	100	50	25	60	20	75	40	25	49.4
Barbados	75	75	50	100	40	75	100	100	76.9
Belarus	100	50	50	100	80	75	100	50	75.6
Belgium	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100.0
Belize	75	75	50	100	60	75	100	100	79.4
Benin	75	100	50	80	60	75	80	100	77.5
Bhutan	100	100	100	80	40	50	80	25	71.9
Bolivia	100	75	100	100	60	100	100	75	88.8
Bosnia and Herzegovina	100	100	50	100	80	100	100	50	85.0
Botswana	75	25	75	100	0	75	60	100	63.8
Brazil	100	100	75	100	80	75	100	50	85.0
Brunei Darussalam	50	25	75	40	0	75	60	100	53.1
Bulgaria	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	25	90.6
Burkina Faso	75	100	25	80	80	75	100	100	79.4
Burundi	100	100	75	60	40	75	60	75	73.1
Cabo Verde	100	100	75	100	40	100	100	75	86.3
Cambodia	100	100	75	80	20	100	100	25	75.0
Cameroon	50	75	25	40	80	50	60	100	60.0
Canada	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100.0
Central African Republic	75	100	25	80	60	75	100	100	76.9

Source: Women, Business and the Law database.

Economy	 MOBILITY	 WORKPLACE	 PAY	 MARRIAGE	 PARENTHOOD	 ENTREPRENEURSHIP	 ASSETS	 PENSION	 WBL 2021 INDEX
Chad	75	75	50	60	60	50	60	100	66.3
Chile	100	75	75	80	100	75	60	75	80.0
China	100	100	25	100	80	75	100	25	75.6
Colombia	100	100	50	100	80	75	100	50	81.9
Comoros	75	75	100	40	40	75	40	75	65.0
Congo, Dem. Rep.	100	100	50	40	80	100	60	100	78.8
Congo, Rep.	50	25	25	40	20	75	60	100	49.4
Costa Rica	100	100	50	100	40	75	100	100	83.1
Côte d'Ivoire	100	100	50	60	80	75	100	100	83.1
Croatia	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	50	93.8
Cyprus	75	100	75	100	80	100	100	100	91.3
Czech Republic	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	50	93.8
Denmark	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100.0
Djibouti	100	100	50	20	60	100	40	75	68.1
Dominica	75	25	50	100	0	75	100	75	62.5
Dominican Republic	100	100	75	80	60	100	100	75	86.3
Ecuador	100	100	100	100	40	75	100	100	89.4
Egypt, Arab Rep.	50	75	0	0	20	75	40	100	45.0
El Salvador	100	100	75	80	80	100	100	75	88.8
Equatorial Guinea	75	25	100	20	60	0	60	75	51.9
Eritrea	100	100	75	60	20	75	100	25	69.4
Estonia	100	100	100	80	100	100	100	100	97.5
Eswatini	100	25	50	40	20	0	60	75	46.3
Ethiopia	100	100	25	80	60	75	100	75	76.9
Fiji	100	100	50	100	60	75	100	75	82.5
Finland	100	100	100	100	80	100	100	100	97.5
France	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100.0
Gabon	50	75	25	20	80	50	60	100	57.5
Gambia, The	100	50	75	100	60	75	60	75	74.4
Georgia	100	100	75	100	60	100	100	50	85.6
Germany	100	100	100	100	80	100	100	100	97.5
Ghana	100	100	50	100	20	75	80	75	75.0
Greece	100	100	100	100	80	100	100	100	97.5
Grenada	100	50	100	100	20	75	100	100	80.6
Guatemala	100	25	50	80	60	75	100	75	70.6
Guinea	100	100	50	60	40	100	60	100	76.3
Guinea-Bissau	75	25	0	60	20	25	60	75	42.5
Guyana	75	100	100	80	40	100	100	100	86.9
Haiti	50	50	100	40	40	75	80	75	63.8
Honduras	100	100	50	80	20	100	100	50	75.0

Source: Women, Business and the Law database.

Economy	 MOBILITY	 WORKPLACE	 PAY	 MARRIAGE	 PARENTHOOD	 ENTREPRENEURSHIP	 ASSETS	 PENSION	 WBL 2021 INDEX
Hong Kong SAR, China	100	100	75	100	40	100	100	100	89.4
Hungary	100	100	75	100	100	100	100	100	96.9
Iceland	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100.0
India	100	100	25	100	40	75	80	75	74.4
Indonesia	100	50	75	40	40	75	60	75	64.4
Iran, Islamic Rep.	0	0	50	0	60	75	40	25	31.3
Iraq	25	100	50	0	20	75	40	50	45.0
Ireland	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100.0
Israel	100	100	50	60	60	100	100	75	80.6
Italy	100	100	100	80	100	100	100	100	97.5
Jamaica	100	25	50	100	20	75	100	75	68.1
Japan	100	50	50	80	100	75	100	100	81.9
Jordan	25	0	75	20	40	100	40	75	46.9
Kazakhstan	100	50	25	100	80	75	100	25	69.4
Kenya	100	100	100	100	40	50	80	75	80.6
Kiribati	100	100	100	100	20	75	60	75	78.8
Korea, Rep.	100	100	25	100	80	75	100	100	85.0
Kosovo	100	100	100	100	60	100	100	75	91.9
Kuwait	50	0	0	40	0	75	40	25	28.8
Kyrgyz Republic	100	100	25	100	40	100	100	50	76.9
Lao PDR	100	100	75	100	80	100	100	50	88.1
Latvia	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100.0
Lebanon	100	50	50	60	20	75	40	25	52.5
Lesotho	100	75	75	80	20	75	100	100	78.1
Liberia	100	100	100	100	40	75	80	75	83.8
Libya	75	50	75	20	40	75	40	25	50.0
Lithuania	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	50	93.8
Luxembourg	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100.0
Madagascar	75	100	25	80	40	75	100	100	74.4
Malawi	50	100	100	100	20	75	100	75	77.5
Malaysia	50	50	50	40	0	75	60	75	50.0
Maldives	100	100	75	60	40	100	40	75	73.8
Mali	50	50	50	20	60	75	80	100	60.6
Malta	100	100	75	100	60	100	100	75	88.8
Marshall Islands	100	50	100	100	0	100	20	75	68.1
Mauritania	100	25	25	0	60	75	0	100	48.1
Mauritius	100	100	100	100	60	100	100	75	91.9
Mexico	100	100	75	100	60	100	100	75	88.8
Micronesia, Fed. Sts.	100	25	75	100	0	75	60	75	63.8

Source: Women, Business and the Law database.

Economy	 MOBILITY	 WORKPLACE	 PAY	 MARRIAGE	 PARENTHOOD	 ENTREPRENEURSHIP	 ASSETS	 PENSION	 WBL 2021 INDEX
Moldova	100	75	75	100	100	100	100	25	84.4
Mongolia	100	100	75	100	60	100	100	25	82.5
Montenegro	100	100	75	100	80	100	100	50	88.1
Morocco	100	100	50	60	80	100	40	75	75.6
Mozambique	100	100	50	100	60	100	100	50	82.5
Myanmar	75	25	50	80	60	75	80	25	58.8
Namibia	75	100	100	100	40	75	100	100	86.3
Nepal	75	100	100	100	40	75	80	75	80.6
Netherlands	100	100	100	100	80	100	100	100	97.5
New Zealand	100	100	100	100	80	100	100	100	97.5
Nicaragua	100	100	75	100	40	100	100	75	86.3
Niger	75	75	75	20	60	50	20	100	59.4
Nigeria	50	75	50	100	0	75	80	75	63.1
North Macedonia	100	100	50	100	80	100	100	50	85.0
Norway	100	100	100	100	100	75	100	100	96.9
Oman	0	75	25	20	0	75	40	50	35.6
Pakistan	75	100	25	60	20	75	40	50	55.6
Palau	100	25	75	100	0	75	20	75	58.8
Panama	100	100	50	80	80	75	100	50	79.4
Papua New Guinea	75	50	25	100	0	75	80	75	60.0
Paraguay	100	100	100	100	80	100	100	75	94.4
Peru	100	100	100	80	80	100	100	100	95.0
Philippines	75	100	100	60	60	100	60	75	78.8
Poland	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	50	93.8
Portugal	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100.0
Puerto Rico (US)	100	100	75	100	20	100	100	75	83.8
Qatar	25	0	50	20	0	75	40	25	29.4
Romania	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	25	90.6
Russian Federation	100	50	50	80	80	75	100	50	73.1
Rwanda	100	100	75	100	20	75	100	75	80.6
Samoa	75	100	75	100	40	75	100	75	80.0
San Marino	100	50	75	80	60	75	100	100	80.0
São Tomé and Príncipe	100	100	75	80	60	75	100	100	86.3
Saudi Arabia	100	100	100	60	40	100	40	100	80.0
Senegal	75	100	25	60	60	75	40	100	66.9
Serbia	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	50	93.8
Seychelles	75	50	75	100	80	75	80	75	76.3
Sierra Leone	100	75	50	100	0	75	80	75	69.4
Singapore	100	75	75	100	60	75	100	75	82.5
Slovak Republic	100	100	75	100	80	100	100	25	85.0

Source: Women, Business and the Law database.

Economy	 MOBILITY	 WORKPLACE	 PAY	 MARRIAGE	 PARENTHOOD	 ENTREPRENEURSHIP	 ASSETS	 PENSION	 WBL 2021 INDEX
Slovenia	100	100	75	100	100	100	100	100	96.9
Solomon Islands	75	25	25	100	0	75	80	75	56.9
Somalia	75	50	50	20	40	75	40	25	46.9
South Africa	100	100	100	100	80	100	100	25	88.1
South Sudan	100	100	100	80	40	75	40	25	70.0
Spain	100	100	100	100	80	100	100	100	97.5
Sri Lanka	100	75	25	100	20	75	80	50	65.6
St. Kitts and Nevis	100	25	50	100	40	75	80	100	71.3
St. Lucia	75	100	100	80	40	75	100	100	83.8
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	75	25	50	100	20	75	100	100	68.1
Sudan	0	0	0	0	20	75	40	100	29.4
Suriname	100	50	75	80	60	50	100	75	73.8
Sweden	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100.0
Switzerland	100	100	100	100	60	75	100	50	85.6
Syrian Arab Republic	25	25	0	40	40	75	40	50	36.9
Taiwan, China	100	100	100	100	80	75	100	75	91.3
Tajikistan	100	50	50	100	80	100	100	50	78.8
Tanzania	100	100	100	80	60	75	60	75	81.3
Thailand	100	100	75	80	20	75	100	75	78.1
Timor-Leste	100	75	75	80	60	75	100	100	83.1
Togo	100	100	100	60	60	75	80	100	84.4
Tonga	100	25	75	100	0	75	20	75	58.8
Trinidad and Tobago	75	50	75	80	20	100	100	100	75.0
Tunisia	100	100	25	60	40	75	40	100	67.5
Turkey	100	100	75	80	80	75	100	50	82.5
Uganda	75	100	100	80	40	75	40	75	73.1
Ukraine	100	100	0	100	60	100	100	75	79.4
United Arab Emirates	100	100	100	60	60	100	40	100	82.5
United Kingdom	100	100	100	100	80	100	100	100	97.5
United States	100	100	75	100	80	100	100	75	91.3
Uruguay	100	100	75	80	80	75	100	100	88.8
Uzbekistan	100	50	25	80	60	100	100	50	70.6
Vanuatu	75	25	50	80	0	100	60	75	58.1
Venezuela, RB	100	100	75	100	80	75	100	50	85.0
Vietnam	100	100	75	100	80	100	100	0	81.9
West Bank and Gaza	25	25	0	20	0	75	40	25	26.3
Yemen, Rep.	25	25	25	0	0	75	40	25	26.9
Zambia	75	100	100	80	40	100	80	75	81.3
Zimbabwe	100	100	75	80	40	100	100	100	86.9

Source: Women, Business and the Law database.



APPENDIX C

Acknowledgments

Data collection and analysis for *Women, Business and the Law 2021* were conducted by a World Bank Group team led by Tea Trumbic (Program Manager, *Women, Business and the Law*), under the general direction of Norman V. Loayza (Director, Global Indicators Group, Development Economics) and Rita Ramalho (Senior Manager, Global Indicators Group, Development Economics). Overall guidance for preparation of the report was provided by Aart Kraay (Deputy Chief Economist and Director of Development Policy, Development Economics).

Members of the core research team were Nelsy Affoum, Nayda Almodóvar Reteguis, Nisha Arekapudi, Julia Constanze Braunmiller, Nour Chamseddine, Claudia Lenny Corminales, Sheng Cui, Marie Dry, Marina Elefante, Mahmoud Elsaman, Nadine Elshokeiry, Aida Hammoud Watson, Marie Caitriona Hyland, Viktoria Khaitina, Gloria Daniele Kuoh, Olga Kuzmina, Kook Hee Lee, Natalia Mazoni Silva Martins, Olena Mykhalchenko, Alena Sakhonchik, Isabel Santagostino Recavarren, Katrin Schulz, Friederike Strub, Siyi Wang, and Yasmin Zand. The team was assisted by Consuelo Jurado Tan, Vadim Absaliyomov, Dania Arayssi, Mila Cantar, Catalina Carbonell, Katya El Tayeb, Jessica Maeda Jeri, Liang Shen, Shidi Wendy Wu, and Bize Yang.

Support for *Women, Business and the Law* is provided by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, government of Sweden, United States Agency for International Development (USAID), and the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation.

The *Women, Business and the Law 2021* outreach strategy is managed by Chisako Fukuda, supported by Nina Vucenik, Mikael Reventar, and World Bank Group communications colleagues at headquarters and around the world. Development and management of the *Women, Business and the Law* website and other technical services were supported by Manasi Amalraj, Rajesh Ammassamveetil, Neda Bostani, Arun Chakravarthi Nageswaran, Patrizia Cocca, Varun Doiphode, Fengsheng Huang, Anna Maria Kojzar, Akash Pradhan, Kamallesh Sengaonkar, Shrikant Bhaskar Shinde, and Geoffrey Shott.

The team is grateful for valuable comments provided by colleagues, both within and outside the World Bank Group, and for guidance provided by the World Bank Group's Executive Directors. The team would especially like to acknowledge the guidance of S Anukriti, Frances Beaton-Day, Klaus Decker, Amanda Devercelli, Maria Quesada Gamez, Tazeen Hasan, Talip Kilic, Young Eun Kim, Leora Klapper, Rudaba Nasir, Izzati Ab Razak, and Lina Shaharuddin.

This report was made possible by the generous contributions of more than 2,300 lawyers, judges, academics, civil society representatives, and public officials from 190 economies. Contact details for local partners are available on the *Women, Business and the Law* website at <http://wbl.worldbank.org>. The names of local partners wishing to be acknowledged individually are also listed. Global and regional contributors are firms that have completed multiple questionnaires from their various offices around the world.

GLOBAL CONTRIBUTORS

Advocates for International Development (A4ID)

Allen & Overy

Arias

Baker McKenzie

Dechert

Dentons

Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer

GRATA International

International Association of Women Judges (IAWJ)

Latham & Watkins

Linklaters

Musawah for Equality in the Family

Norton Rose Fulbright

Shearman & Sterling

Tilleke & Gibbins

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)

UN Women

White & Case

AFGHANISTAN

Ahmad Fardin Howaida
Tolo Legal Services Co.

Sayed Asil Sadiqi

Abdul Basir Sarwari
Abdul Basir Sarwari Advocacy Company

Sharif Sharafat
DAI Musharikat

Tahmina Sobat

Latifa Sultani
Afghanistan Independent Human Rights Commission (AIHRC)

Negina Sultani
Legal Oracles

Durani Waziri
Lawyer

ALBANIA

Mirela Arqimandriti
Gender Alliance for Development Center

Ledja Burnazi Mitllari
University of New York Tirana

Teuta Dedej
Albanian Women Empowerment Network (AWEN)

Ada Güven
Beder University–Department of Law

Dudi Ilias

Adriatik Lapaj
Lawyer

Ines Leskaj
Albanian Women Empowerment Network (AWEN)

Eni Mazniku
Ruhr University Bochum

Megi Reçi
Civil Rights Defenders

Erida Visoçi
Prosecution Office of Vlora

ALGERIA

Radia Abdous
Ghellal & Mekerba

Kada Afia
Université de la Formation Continue

Narimene Benabdallah
Université d'Oran 2 Mohamed Ben Ahmed

Yamina Kebir
Cabinet Kebir

Larbaoui Malika
Bouchaib Law Firm

Mohamad Moussi

ANGOLA

Inês Albuquerque e Castro
FCB Sociedade de Advogados

Sofia Chaves

Elieser Corte Real
Fátima Freitas & Associados–Sociedade de Advogados

Catarina de Sottomayor Barbosa
FCB Sociedade de Advogados

Fátima Freitas
Fátima Freitas & Associados–Sociedade de Advogados

Igor Fortes Gabriel
FBL Advogados

Isabel Gavião
MG Advogados

Berta Grilo
FBL Advogados

Vanessa Matos Mendes
PLMJ Legal Network–Mozambique Desk

Edila Maria Melo Ribeiro
Lawyer

Petra Nascimento
Rede Mulher Angola

Alexandra Do Nascimento Gonçalves
MG Advogados

Catarina Neto Fernandes
Miranda Correia Amendoeira & Associados, Sociedade de Advogados RL

Itweva Nogueira
Dentons

Fernanda Ricardo
Rede Mulher Angola

Leniza Sampaio
MG Advogados

Jacob Sanganjo
Fátima Freitas & Associados–Sociedade de Advogados

Sousa Silva
CIMA–Marcas e Patentes

Henrique Sungeti
Rede Mulher Angola

Elsa Tchicanha

Bruno Xavier de Pina

ANTIGUA AND BARBUDA

Sherrie-Ann Bradshaw
S. Bradshaw & Associates

Alethea Byers
Family and Social Services

Rushaine Cunningham
Dentons

Alexandrina Wong
Women Against Rape Inc.

ARGENTINA

Ana Alicia Ariet Guevara
Poder Judicial Pcia. de Mendoza

Úrsula Basset
Universidad Austral & Pontificia Universidad Católica Argentina

Mabel Bianco
La Fundación para Estudio e Investigación de la Mujer (FEIM)

Mónica Burlón
Estudio Jurídico Mónica Burlón y Asociados

Carina Marcela Castrillón
Bulló Abogados

Claudia Susana Catalin
Gobierno de la Provincia de Santa Fe

Agatha Ciancaglini Troller
Dirección General de Políticas de Género (DGGP)

Graciela Beatriz De Oto
Suma Veritas

Cristina Devoto
Asociación Argentina de Ética y Compliance

Milagros Etcheberry Le Fort
Cámara Argentina de Comercio y Servicios

Sebastián Ignacio Fortuna
Universidad de Buenos Aires, Facultad de Derecho

Consuelo García
Consuelo García Law Firm

Rodrigo Fermín García Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal

Jorge Daniel Grispo
Estudio Grispo & Asociados

Diego Sebastián Kelly Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal

Esteban Mancuso
Cámara Argentina de Comercio y Servicios

Mariano Raul Maranta
Bulló Abogados

Maria Cecilia Massone Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal

Sofía Gabriela Nuñez Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal

Juan Pablo Olmo
Ministerio Público de la Defensa

Sofía María Parra Sanfet

Tamara Quiroga
Cámara Argentina de Comercio y Servicios

Liliana Ronconi
Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET)

Estefanía A. Santarelli Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal

Enrique Mariano Stile Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal

Federico Ariel Vaschetto
Defensoría General de la Nación Argentina

Matias Bolis Wilson
Cámara Argentina de Comercio y Servicios

Silvana Florencia Yazbek
Instituto de la Democracia y Elecciones (IDEMOE)

ARMENIA

Hayk Abrahamyan
Open Society Foundations

Anna Barikyan
Confederation of Trade Unions of Armenia

Davit Hunanyan
AM Law Firm

Ara Khzmalyan
Advise Business & Legal Consulting

Vanik Margaryan
AM Law Firm

Maro Matosian
Women's Support Center

Larisa Minasyan
Open Society Foundations

Hasmik Ohnikyan
Ilex Law Firm

Siranush Sahakyan
Path of Law

Tirayr Vardazaryan

Gayane Virabyan
K & P Law Firm

Arpine Yeghikyan
Marsenal LLC

AUSTRALIA

Marco Bianchino
Pearson Emerson

Amelia Ikin
Allen & Overy

Christy Miller
Clayton Utz

Stephen Page
Page Provan Pty. Limited

Susan Pearson
Pearson Emerson

Scarlett Storm
Clayton Utz

Jorja Sumner
Allen & Overy

Tineka Winter
Pearson Emerson

Jane Wright
Work Dynamic Australia

AUSTRIA

Verein Wiener Frauenhäuser

Agnieszka Branding
Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP

Karin Buzanich-Sommeregger
Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP

Barbara Deitzer

Isabel Firneis
Wolf Theiss

Hans Georg Laimer
Zeiler Floyd Zadkovich

Birgitt Haller
Institute of Conflict Research (IKF)

Georg Krakow
Baker McKenzie-Diwok Hermann Petsche Rechtsanwälte LLP & Co. KG

Theresa Nindl
Vavrovsky Heine Marth Rechtsanwälte GmbH

Alexander Petsche
Baker McKenzie-Diwok Hermann Petsche Rechtsanwälte LLP & Co. KG

Gert-Peter Reissner
University of Innsbruck

Eva-Maria Ségur-Cabanac
Baker McKenzie-Diwok Hermann Petsche Rechtsanwälte LLP & Co. KG

Matthias Unterrieder
Wolf Theiss

Vereina Vinzenz
University of Innsbruck

Lukas Wieser
Zeiler Floyd Zadkovich

Jasmin Rosita Zareie
Baker McKenzie-Diwok Hermann Petsche Rechtsanwälte LLP & Co. KG

AZERBAIJAN

Fidan Abdurrahimli
Raoul Wallenberg Institute of Human Rights and Humanitarian Law

Sevil Aliyeva

Sonakhanim Gaybaliyeva

Javid Hajiyev
Fina LLP

Gunel Ismayilbeyli
Lawyer

Ummi Jalilova
GRATA International

Lala Karimli

Kamala Khalilova
Fina LLP

Azad Mammadli
*Partnerinwest
Affärsmäklarna AB*

Shahin Mammadrzali
Baku State University

Gulzada
Mirzamammadova
Socar Polymer LLC

Ruslan Mirzayev
Adrem Attorneys

Kifayat Nasirli
Adrem Attorneys

Araz Poladov

Shabnam Sadigova
GRATA International

Mahammad Safarli
*Azerbaijan Football
Federation*

Fidan Salmanova
GRATA International

Shahla Samedova
Baku State University

Elnara Yusibova

BAHAMAS, THE

Justine A. Smith
*McKinney Bancroft &
Hughes*

Corey Samuel Brown
Higgs & Johnson

Sandra Dean-Patterson
Bahamas Crisis Centre

Vann P. Gaitor
Higgs & Johnson

Dennise Newton
Higgs & Johnson

Justin Seymour
White & Case LLP

BAHRAIN

Zaina Albuainain
Al Tamimi & Company

Zeenat Al Mansoori
*Zeenat Al Mansoori &
Associates*

Ismail Alnasiri
Inovest

Reem Al Rayes
*Zeenat Al Mansoori &
Associates*

Noor Al Taraif
*Zu'bi & Partners
Attorneys & Legal
Consultants*

Foutoun Hajjar
Al Tamimi & Company

Omar Naghawai

Eman Omar
*Zu'bi & Partners
Attorneys & Legal
Consultants*

Fatema Sarhan
Al Tamimi & Company

BANGLADESH

Sarmin Akther
*Bangladesh Law
Partners BDLP*

Shajib Mahmood Alam
*Bangladesh Law
Partners BDLP*

Tania Amir
*Amir & Amir Law
Associates*

Rawshon Ara Begum
Shariatpur Judge Court

Md. Khademul Islam
Choyon
Sattar&Co.

Rafiqul Islam
Obiter Dictum

Israt Jahan
Shariatpur Judge Court

Md. Imran Jahan

Sultana Nasrin
*Amir & Amir Law
Associates*

Md. Noushad Parvez
Advocacy Legal

Nabila Rafique
*Amir & Amir Law
Associates*

Md. Rafinur Rahman
*Bangladesh Law
Partners BDLP*

Christabel Randolph
*Supreme Court of
Bangladesh*

Akram Hossain Rifat
*Bangladesh Law
Partners BDLP*

Chitro Shahabuddin

Mehnaz Siddiqui
*Judicial Administration
Training Institute*

Muhammad Rashel
Siddiqui
Obiter Dictum

BARBADOS

T'shara A. Sealy
Virtus Legal

Christopher Blackman
Juris Chambers

Margot Greene
*Sole Practitioner and
Queen's Counsel*

Michelle M. Russell
MR Law

Wendy Straker
Juris Chambers

Rickeda Taylor
Virtus Legal

Heather Walker
Chancery Chambers

BELARUS

*United Civil Party of
Belarus*

Irina Alkhovka
*International Public
Association Gender
Perspectives*

Irina Bagnich
*Law Office Law Practice
and Family Mediation*

Sergei Makarchuk
CERHA HEMPEL Belarus

Andrei Neviadouski
*Cierech, Neviadouski
and Partners Advocates
Bureau*

Vadim Poleschuk
CERHA HEMPEL Belarus

Natallia Raisanen
*Cierech, Neviadouski
and Partners Advocates
Bureau*

Katsiaryna Shmatsina
*Belarusian Organization
of Working Women*

Dzmitryj Shylau
*Cierech, Neviadouski
and Partners Advocates
Bureau*

Krystsina Sitkevich
*Playgendary
Development LLC*

Juri Slepitch
Arzinger & Partners

Alena Zhdanovich
*Law Office Law Practice
and Family Mediation*

BELGIUM

*Freshfields Bruckhaus
Deringer*

Marie Beudels
Eleanor Coets
Hill Dickinson LLP

Audrey Fayt
*Tribunal de 1ère
instance du Hainaut*

Cécile Firket
Avocat

Nanyi Kaluma
Allen & Overy

Sylvie Lacombe
Tetra Law

Valerie Lejeune
*Association d'Avocats
Schmitz, Jottrand,
Klees, Risopoulos et
Gernay*

Julie Malingreau
Tetra Law

Michaela Roegiers
*Brussels Court of
Justice*

Simon Troch
Latham & Watkins

Catherine Van de
Heyning
Artes Law

Evelien Van Espen
Allen & Overy

BELIZE

Dolores Balderamos
Garcia
*Dolores Balderamos
Garcia Law Office*

Stevanni L. Duncan
Barrow & Williams LLP

Andrea McSweeney
Mckoy
Mckoy Torres LLP

Deshawn Arzu Torres
Mckoy Torres LLP

BENIN

Régis Lionel Aballo
*L'Ecole Régionale
Supérieur de la
Magistrature (ERSUMA)*

Agnila Rafikou Alabi
Cabinet Rafikou A. Alabi

Nda Kouagou Camille
*SOS Children's Villages
Benin*

Albert Djidohokpin
*SOS Children's Villages
Benin*

Sarah Lacomme

Evelyn Quenum

Alexandrine
Saïzonou-Bedie
Cabinet Saïzonou

Guy-Lambert Yèkpè
Cabinet G.L. Yèkpè

BHUTAN

Sangay Chhedup
*Bhutan National Legal
Institute*

Deki Dema
UNICEF Bhutan

Kinley Gyeltshen
*Office of the Attorney
General*

Dema Lham
*Jigme Singye
Wangchuck School
of Law*

Dona Mathew
UN Women

Sonam Tobgay
Bank of Bhutan Ltd.

Chimmi Wangmo
Bhutan Law Chamber

BOLIVIA

Andrea Bollmann Duarte
*Salazar, Salazar &
Asociados Soc. Civ.*

Iby Bueno Ayala
*Salazar, Salazar &
Asociados Soc. Civ.*

Grisett Carrasco Guerra
C.R. & F. Rojas Abogados

Polina Chtchelok
*ESPCS Multidisciplinary
Consulting*

René Claire Veizaga
Moreno Baldovinos

Syntia Vilma Cuentas
Zeballos
*Salazar, Salazar &
Asociados Soc. Civ.*

Jinky Irusta
*Oficina Jurídica Para la
Mujer*

Claudia López
Monterrey
*ESPCS Multidisciplinary
Consulting*

Julieta Montaña

Pamela Muñoz Alipaz
Moreno Baldovinos

Mónica Novillo
*Coordinadora de la
Mujer*

Sandra Salinas
*C.R. & F. Rojas
Abogados*

Antonio Sanjinés
*C.R. & F. Rojas
Abogados*

BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA

Jasmin Cengic
ERC ZIPO d.o.o. Sarajevo

Feđa Dupovac
*Advokatska Kancelarija
Spaho*

Arijana
Hadžiahmetović-Softić
Marić & Co. Law Firm

Edin Ibrahimfendic
*The Human Rights
Ombudsman of Bosnia
and Herzegovina*

Igor Letica
Sajić o.d. Banja Luka

Mirela Mazulović
Sajić o.d. Banja Luka

Mišo Pašić
*Advokatska kancelarija
Stevanović*

Lejla Popara
Attorney

Aleksandar Sajić
Sajić o.d. Banja Luka

Emir Spaho
*Advokatska Kancelarija
Spaho*

Mehmed Spaho
*Advokatska Kancelarija
Spaho*

Selma Spaho
*Advokatska Kancelarija
Spaho*

BOTSWANA

Garebamono & Pillar
Law Practice
University of Botswana

Lesego Gaetwesepe

Seranne Junner-Beale
*Chibanda,
Makgalemele &
Company Law*

Refilwe Mogwe
Nyaradzo Mupfuti
Minchin & Kelly

Keikantse Phele
*Botswana Gender-Based
Violence Prevention and
Support Centre*

Tshiamo Rantao
Rantao Attorneys

BRAZIL

Barbosa Müssnich
Aragão (BMA)

Mariana Angioletti
*Mariana Angioletti
Family Law Office*

Josyler Arana
*Universidade Federal
Fluminense*

Murilo Caldeira
Germiniani
*Machado, Meyer,
Sendacz e Opice
Advogados*

Letícia Calderaro Batista
Calderaro Advocacia

Clara Costa
Conversa Com Elas

Ligia Maura Costa
*Ligia Maura Costa
Advocacia*

Carla Demchuk
Ana Thais Dias Safe
Carneiro
*Advocacia Safe
Carneiro*

Christiana Fontenelle
Bichara Advogados

Jorge Gonzaga
Matsumoto
Bichara Advogados

Leomir José Vieira
*Leomir Vieira Advocacia
Previdenciária*

Andressa Lin Fidelis
Latham & Watkins LLP

Thais Machado
*Federal Regional Court
of 4th Region*

Paula Márky Sobral
*Shearman & Sterling
LLP*

Andrea Giamondo
Massei
*Machado, Meyer,
Sendacz e Opice
Advogados*

Ludmila Nogueira Murta
IFMG Campus Sabará

Rodrigo Oliveira
*Carreiro, Cali e
Oliveira Sociedade de
Advogados*

Pedro Pimenta Bossi
*Federal Regional Court
of 4th Region*

João Pedro Póvoa
Bichara Advogados

Mauricio Quadros
Soares
*Quadros e Quadros
Sociedade de
Advogados*

Marina Resende Silva
*Instituto Elpidio
Donizetti*

Pedro Schor
*Machado, Meyer,
Sendacz e Opice
Advogados*

Janaína (Jana) Telles

Meibel Ventura Dos
Santos Lacerda
*Universidade Federal
Fluminense*

BRUNEI DARUSSALAM

Hasnah Hassan &
Associates

Sabrina Azaharaini
*Cheok Advocates &
Solicitors*

Robin Cheok
*Cheok Advocates &
Solicitors*

Hajah Norajimah Haji Aji
*Ministry of Home
Affairs, Department of
Labor*

Norizzah Hazirah Hj
Awg Hussin
*Ministry of Home
Affairs, Department of
Labor*

Norkhatijah Zainal
*Royal Brunei Police
Force*

BULGARIA

Mila Dikova
Boyanov & Co.

Roza Dimova
*Center of Women's
Studies and Policies*

Kate Dinovska
*Weishaupt
Horak Georgiev
Attorney-at-Law*

Boris Georgiev
*Weishaupt
Horak Georgiev
Attorney-at-Law*

Tatyana Kmetova
*Center of Women's
Studies and Policies*

Diliana Markova
*Bulgarian Lawyers for
Human Rights*

Katerina Partenova
*Dimov & Tashev Law
Firm*

Elitsa Pophlebarova
Boyanov & Co.

BURKINA FASO

Ursule Kaboré-Bouda
*Ministère de la Femme,
de la Solidarité
Nationale et de la
Famille*

Sièlma Salimata Kone
*Centre d'Études et
de Recherches sur le
Droit International et
les Droits de l'Homme
(CERDIH)*

Mariam Lamizana
Voix de Femmes (VdF)

Julie Rose Ouedraogo
Judge

Moussa Ouedraogo
*Ministère de
l'Administration
Territoriale, de la
Décentralisation et de
la Sécurité Intérieure
(MATDSI)*

Victoria Ouedraogo
Judge

Souleymane Sawadogo
Judge

Abdoulaye Sedogo
*Unité de Coordination
de la Formulation du
Second Compact du
Burkina (UCF-Burkina)*

Abdoulaye Soma
*Centre d'Études et
de Recherches sur le
Droit International et
les Droits de l'Homme
(CERDIH)*

Clarisse Zoungrana
Nadembega

BURUNDI

Longin Baranyizigiye

Djuma Ida
*Rubeya & Co.
Advocates*

Alexis Manirakiza
University of Burundi

Salvator Minani
*Alpha Justice
Chambers*

Paul Muhirwa

Bernard Ntahiraja
Lecturer

Fabien Segatwa
*Cabinet Segatwa
Fabien & Associés*

Jean Berchmans
Siboniyo
*Association of Mentors
for Business and
Entrepreneurship
(AMEBE)*

CABO VERDE

Ilídio Cruz
*Ilídio Cruz & Associados,
Sociedade de
Advogados RL*

Roselma Évora

Amanda Fernandes
*Ilídio Cruz & Associados,
Sociedade de
Advogados RL*

Arianna Lopes
*EDGE-International
Lawyers*

Bartolomeu Lopes
Varela
*Sociedade de Educação
Formação e Gestão*

João Medina
*EDGE-International
Lawyers*

Clóvis Santos
*Ilídio Cruz & Associados,
Sociedade de
Advogados RL*

Hernani Soares
Unitel T+

CAMBODIA

*The Cambodian Center
for Human Rights*

Sophal Chea
*Better Factories
Cambodia*

Channeang Chim
*The Cambodian NGO
Committee on CEDAW*

Mengann Hoeurn
Bun & Associates

Bunthea Keo
*Cambodian Human
Rights Task Force*

Chantevy Khourn
ActionAid Cambodia

Davy Loung
ActionAid Cambodia

Ratana Pen
*Heinrich Boell
Foundation*

Kuntheapini Saing
Bun & Associates

Sinoun Sous
Bun & Associates

Dana Wallack
*The Cambodian NGO
Committee on CEDAW*

CAMEROON

Roland Abeng
The Abeng Law Firm

Abel Epse Piskopani
Armelle Silvana
*Monde Juridique et
Fiscal (MOJUFISC)*

Queenta Asibong
The Abeng Law Firm

Angelina Atabong

Dimu Nana Metang
Davis

Aleine Djessi Ndine
Tribunal Criminel Spécial

Maximilienne Ebane
Makiben

Hyacinthe Fansi
*Ngassam, Fansi &
Mouafo Avocats
Associés*

Nicaise Ibohn Bata
INB Law Firm

Désiré Makondo
*DM & Partners–
membre de HLB ACP
Central Africa*

Patrick Menyeng Manga
The Abeng Law Firm

Mirabel Mungu
The Abeng Law Firm

Corine Ngaleu Tienyam
Siewe Law Firm

Bollieri Pym
Université de Douala

Dieudonné Takam
*Cabinet Takam &
Associés*

Bergerele Reine Tsafack
Dongmo
*Monde Juridique et
Fiscal (MOJUFISC)*

CANADA

Jennifer Bernardo
Baker & McKenzie LLP

Meghan Hillstrom
McCarthy Tetrault LLP

Leanna Katz

Caroline Kim
Miller Thomson LLP

Reshma Kishnani
Mills & Mills LLP

Anne Levesque
University of Ottawa

Justine Lindner
McCarthy Tetrault LLP

Katherine Long
*Tammy Law
Professional
Corporation*

Deepa Mattoo
*Barbra Schlifer
Commemorative Clinic*

Petra Molnar
*Barbra Schlifer
Commemorative Clinic*

Caroline Sand
*Barbra Schlifer
Commemorative Clinic*

Meredith Strike
Latham & Watkins LLP

Tanya Walker
*Walker Law Professional
Corporation*

CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC

Ben Kabagambe
Brice Kevin Kakpayen
Cabinet Morouba
Baptiste Lushombo
American Bar Association
Raymond Ndakala
Barreau de Centrafrique

CHAD

Moko Adoumbaye
Rachel Beida
Cabinet Ndei Obed
Thomas Dingamgoto
Cabinet Thomas Dingamgoto
Masra Djimas
Société Civile d'Avocats
Cogito Fokalbo
SCP Padare
Jehu Gabnoh
Gabkiambe
Development Finance Institute
Lega Ibrahim Garandi
Cabinet d'Avocat Lega Ibrahim
Jules Londogoto
Cabinet Mianlengar Pierre
Pierre Mianlengar
Guy Emmanuel Ngankam
Taxafrika
Bakari Thomas Ronelingaye
JURISCOM
Foba Toukpleye
Orabank

CHILE

Manuela Cross Pey
Carcelén, Desmadryl, Guzmán & Tapia
Héctor Garrido
Lizama Abogados
Tomás Honorato Estévez
Fundación Honra
Daniela Horvitz Lennon
Horvitz & Cia Ltda. Abogados
Juan Ignacio Ipinza
Mayor
Lawyer

Ignacio Orellana García
Lucía Planet Sepúlveda
Defensoría Laboral
Gabriela Puente Montero
Carcelén, Desmadryl, Guzmán & Tapia
Francisca María Rebolledo Lascar
María Paz Riumalló
ESE Business School
María José Urzúa
ESE Business School
Isabel Villagran M.
Black & Veath Chile

Elisa Walker
Sarmiento y Walker Abogados

CHINA

Beijing Zhongze Women's Legal Aid Center
Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer
Moge Chen
Linklaters Zhao Sheng
Jing He
Jun He LLP
Lijian Ji
Morrison & Foerster LLP
Jie Jin
Linklaters Zhao Sheng
Emily Ma
Linklaters Zhao Sheng
Xuekai Vincent Qian
Dentons
Simonette Santos
Danping Shen
Morrison & Foerster LLP
Jinlan Sun
Kim & Chang
Xue Tao
Dentons
Jeffrey Wilson
Jun He LLP
Dylan Wu
Ke Wu
Dechert LLP
Xiaotong Wu
Guangdong Win Long Law Firm
Han Yang
Commerce & Finance Law Offices

Xiaowei Yin
Morrison & Foerster LLP
Hui Zhang
Guangdong Win Long Law Firm
Mariana Zhong
Dechert LLP
Martin Zhou
Linklaters Zhao Sheng

COLOMBIA

Universidad de Antioquia
White & Case LLP
Julieta Abello
María Margarita Bucheli Eraso
María Isabel Lopera Vélez
Carlos Alberto López Henao
Carlos Lopez Abogados
Adriana Martínez Piedrahita
DG&A Abogados
Lina Mejía
Maria Rocha
Leonardo Salamanca Anzola
S&G Consultores SAS
Nathaly Tovar

COMOROS

Aboubakar Abdou
Abdourahamane Abidate
Shawiri Scoops
Maimouna Ibrahim
National Commission for Solidarity, Social Protection and Gender Promotion
Moufida Mohamed Abdoulhalik
EFOICOM (Femmes Entrepreneurs Comoriennes)
Aline Bahati Cibambo
Université Catholique de Bukavu

CONGO, DEM. REP.

Justine Masika Bihamba
Synergie des Femmes pour les Victimes de Violences Sexuelle (SFVS)
Dorcas Buzigire
Mercy Corps
Serge Faray Salumu
Fabien Kadima
Emile Luketa Mukuna
University of Lumbubashi
Ezechiell Lwesso
Collège Georges Misamu
Brigitte Mawazo
IFHD NGABO Initiative Féminine pour la Défense des Droits Humains et le Développement
Marie Migani
Centre d'Appui à la Promotion de la Santé-CAPSA asbl
Salvator Minani
Alpha Justice Chambers
Roger Mulamba
RMK & Associés
Dunia Prince Zongwe
Walter Sisulu University
Patrice Bazolo
PwC
Fernand Carle
Cabinet d'Avocats Fernand Carle
Bob Kaben Massouka
Cabinet d'Avocats Maître Bob Kaben Massouka
Laetitia Nadine Loko
Blanchard Ngouala
Association Congolaise des Professionnels du Droit
Franck Darnod Nguimbi Mbenze
Cabinet d'Avocats Claude Coelho
Sylvie Niombo
Issan Giska Ntsila
Cabinet d'Avocats Ntsila

Welcom Romell Nzaba
Nodjitolom
*Cabinet d'Avocats
Mabiala & Tsamba*

COSTA RICA

Rocío Amador Hasbun
Bufete Amador

Larissa Arroyo
Navarrete
*Asociación Ciudadana
ACCEDER*

Estefanía Batalla
Arias

Carolina Flores Bedoya
Arias

Cristina Guerrini
Arias

Marianne Pál-Hegedüs
Ortega
LatamLex Abogados

Daniel Rodríguez Molina
ERP Lawyers

German Rojas
Arias

Eduardo Rojas Piedra
ERP Lawyers

Augusto Solís Rodríguez

Carolina Soto
Arias

CÔTE D'IVOIRE

*Association des
Femmes Juristes de
Côte d'Ivoire (AFJCI)*

Marie-Dominique
Allomo
*Imboua-Koauao-Tella &
Associés*

Charles Ane
*SCPA Dogué-Abbé Yao
et Associés*

Simone Assa-Akoh
*Société d'Avocats
Bazie, Koyo, Assa*

Françoise Angeline
Delphine Assi
Kaudjhis-Offoumou
*Cabinet
Kaudjhis-Offoumou*

Carine Bieu
*Imboua-Koauao-Tella &
Associés*

Agnès Doh
ONG ADPF

Patrick Famien
*Imboua-Koauao-Tella &
Associés*

Claude-Andrée Grog
Chauveau & Associés

Moumouni Konaté
Konfirm-Advies

Rosemonde Kuie

Eric-Didier N'dri
*ONG AVIDE (Africa's
Volunteers for
International
Development)*

Edwige N'Gouan
*Cabinet Jean-François
Chauveau*

Patricia N'Guessan
*Cabinet Jean-François
Chauveau*

Marguerite Yoli-Bi Koné
*West Africa Network for
Peacebuilding*

Seydou Zerbo
*SCPA Dogué-Abbé Yao
et Associés*

CROATIA

Sanja Bezbradica Jelavic
*Odvjetničko društvo
Jelavić i partneri j.t.d.*

Marko Borsky
*Marko Borsky Law
Office*

Andrea Gubić
*Divjak, Topić &
Bahtijarević Law Firm*

Ema Marušić
*Marko Borsky Law
Office*

Kristina Mihaljević
*Women's Room-Center
for Sexual Rights*

Maja Mitrović

Karlo Novosel
*Law Office Karlo
Novosel*

Nela Pamukovic
*Centre for Women War
Victims*

Zdravka Sadžakov
*B.a.B.e-Be active, Be
emancipated*

Barbara Šimić
*Divjak, Topić &
Bahtijarević Law Firm*

Andrej Žmikić
*Divjak, Topić &
Bahtijarević Law Firm*

CYPRUS

Venetia Argyropoulou
*European University of
Cyprus*

Panayiota Chrysochou
Aspasia K. Efstathiou
*Efstathios C. Efstathiou
LLC*

Katerina Georgiadou
Nadia Kornioti
*University of Central
Lancashire-Cyprus*

Anna
Koukkides-Procopiou
*Center for European and
International Affairs-
University of Nicosia*

Mary Koutselini
University of Cyprus

Nicholas Ktenas
*Elias Neocleous & Co.
LLC*

Olga Lambrou-Ioannou
*Mouaimis & Mouaimis
LLC*

Michalis Mouaimis
*Mouaimis & Mouaimis
LLC*

Panayotis Mouaimis
*Mouaimis & Mouaimis
LLC*

CZECH REPUBLIC

Barbara Adamcová
Lucie Hrda
AK Hrda s.r.o.

Veronika Ježková
*proFem-Centre for
Victims of Domestic
and Sexual Violence*

Klara Kolomaznikova
White & Case LLP

Ida Kucerova
White & Case LLP

Milada Kurtosiova
Kocián Šolc Balaščík

Radek Matouš
*Eversheds Sutherland,
advokátní kancelář,
s.r.o.*

Petra Plasilova
*Shearman & Sterling
LLP*

Michal Ptáček
*Radoušová Ptáček,
advokátní kancelář*

Filip Šperl
Kocián Šolc Balaščík

Vojtěch Steininger
*Hartmanová &
Steininger, advokáti*

Alena Turoňová
*Radoušová Ptáček,
advokátní kancelář*

Václav Žaloudek
White & Case LLP

DENMARK

Elsebeth
Aaes-Jørgensen
Norrbom Vinding

Mads Bernstorn
MK Law Firm

Maria Bekke Eiersted
*Kromann Reumert Law
Firm*

Johanne Snog Gillesberg
Statistics Denmark

Marianne Granhøj
*Kromann Reumert Law
Firm*

Hanne Hartoft
*Aalborg University,
Department of Law*

Majken Johansen
*Advokat Majken
Johansen*

Mette Klingsten
MK Law Firm

Anne Mørk
*Aalborg University,
Department of Law*

Kathe Nielsen
*Kromann Reumert Law
Firm*

Linda Nielsen
*University of
Copenhagen*

Tina Reissmann
Labora Legal

Carley Wilson
MK Law Firm

Mathilde Worch Jensen
*University of
Copenhagen*

DJIBOUTI

Hassan Mouhoumed
Abdoulkader
*Cabinet d'Avocat
Abdoulkader Hassan*

Mahdi Boulboul Ali

Beryl Claire Asiago
AnalytiQ Consult

Sofia Curradi
*Cabinet Avocats
Associés Djibouti
Abayazid &
Abdourahman*

Fatima Karroum

Zahra Youssouf Kayad

DOMINICA

Jo-Anne Cuffy

Danielle Edwards
Attorney-at-Law

Ernette Kangal
*CC Law Practitioners
LLP*

Tara Leevy
Attorney-at-Law

Nawana Shillingford
*University of the West
Indies*

**DOMINICAN
REPUBLIC**

José Manuel
Alburquerque Prieto
Ontier Albuquerque

Merielin Almonte
*Merielin Almonte
Estudio Legal*

Joan Carolina Arbaje
Bergés
Distrito Legal

Lidia Aybar
Distrito Legal

Pamela Benzán Arbaje
*Guzmán Ariza &
Asociados*

Jonattan A. Boyero
Galán
*Caamaño-De Herrera &
Boyero. Abogados
(CDHB)*

Yuleidi Galice
*Merielin Almonte
Estudio Legal*

Prinkin Jiménez
Ontier Albuquerque

Dilia Leticia Jorge Mera
*DLJM Firma de
Abogados*

Carol Matos De La Cruz

María Jesús Pola
Lawyer

Katherine Vallejo
Ontier Albuquerque

ECUADOR

Daniela Estefanía
Aguirre Salamea

María Fernanda Aguirre
Salamea

Maria Laura Barrera
*Barrera, Andrade-
Cevallos & Abogados*

Patrick Barrera
*Barrera, Andrade-
Cevallos & Abogados*

María Angélica
Campoverde
Lawyer

Maria Camila Carrillo
Gálvez
Gálvez & Asociados

Mariuxi Paola Cedeño
Floril
*Universidad
Metropolitana*

Piedad Gálvez Cortes
de Varea
Gálvez & Asociados

Ana Carolina González
Cevallos
Fabian Jaramillo
*Jaramillo Dávila
Abogados*

Diego Jaramillo Terán
*Jaramillo Dávila
Abogados*

Libertad Machado
López
*Universidad
Metropolitana*

Gabriela Paredes
Gottman

Julián Pástor
*Sempértegui Ontaneda
Abogados*

Gabriela Salazar
*Sempértegui Ontaneda
Abogados*

Farith Simon
Cristina Valencia Araujo

EGYPT, ARAB REP.

Dalia Abdel Ghany
Sharkawy & Sarhan

Mohamed Abdelgawad
*ADSERO-Ragy Soliman &
Partners*

Nehad Abu-Alkamssan
*The Egyptian Center for
Women's Rights*

Inas Farah
*Beyti-Joint Venture of
Almarai & PepsiCo.*

Adham Hashish
*Alexandria University
Faculty of Law*

Tarek Hossam

Youssef Sallam
*ADSERO-Ragy Soliman &
Partners*

Marwa Sharafeldin
*Musawah for Equality in
the Family*

Ali Shohayeb
*ADSERO-Ragy Soliman &
Partners*

Darah Zakaria
*ADSERO-Ragy Soliman &
Partners*

EL SALVADOR

Ruth Beraliz Argueta

Lilian Arias
Arias Law

Christian Bará Cousin
Bara Legal Corporation

Rafael Burgos
Arias Law

Silvia Juárez
*ORMUSA, Asoc.
Organización de
Mujeres Salvadoreñas
por la Paz*

Carolina Lazo
Arias Law

Jessenia Consuelo
Martínez Coto
*Procuraduría General
de la República*

Mariana Melara
*Corte Suprema de
Justicia*

Ernesto Morales
Lilian Margarita Ulloa
Alvarenga
*Corte Suprema de
Justicia*

**EQUATORIAL
GUINEA**

Javier Iñiguez
*Lechêne, Iñiguez &
Partners*

Damien Lanuza

Estela Mercedes Nse
Mansogo
*Permanent Mission of
Equatorial Guinea to
the United Nations*

Pablo Obama
Centurion Law Group

Serafina Sialo

ERITREA

UNDP

Suleiman Ahmedin
UNHCR Eritrea

Senai W. Andemariam

Natnael Fitsum Tekeste
Ministry of Justice

Henok Gebregzabiher

Ruta Gebremichael

Najat Humed

Sebri Ibrahim
Ministry of Justice

Senay Kuflu
Ghebremeskel
SNS Group

Adam Teklehaymanot
Lawyer

Isaías Teklia
Asmara University

Temesgen Tesfu
Ministry of Justice

Awet Tewelde
Ministry of Justice

Wegahta Zere

ESTONIA

White & Case LLP

Merle Erikson
University of Tartu

Triinu Hiob
Njord Law Firm

Vladislav Leiri
Law Firm Sorainen

Karin Madisson
Law Firm Sorainen

Lauri Paulus

Meelis Pirn
The Barrister Law Firm

Simona Vissak
*Priit Palmiste
Attorneys-at-Law*

ESWATINI

Dumsani Christopher Dlamini
Women and Law in Southern Africa (WLSA)–Eswatini

Nkosingivile Dlamini
Robinson Bertram Law Firm

Colani Hlatjwako
Women and Law in Southern Africa (WLSA)–Eswatini

Nondumiso (Noni) Hlope
Global Shapers Community: Mbabane Hub

Vimbai Kapurura
Women Unlimited

Sabelo Khumalo
Ministry of Justice and Constitutional Affairs

Sikhumbuzo M. Simelane
SM Simelane & Co.

ETHIOPIA

Dagnachew Tesfaye Abetew
Dagnachew Tesfaye and Mahlet Mesganaw Law Office

Rehim Baharu
Ethiopian Human Rights Commission

Selam Gebretsion Beyene

Abhilasha Joshi Kataria

Luladay Berhanu Mengistie

Mahlet Mesganaw Getu
Dagnachew Tesfaye and Mahlet Mesganaw Law Office

Etsehiwot Sereke
Ethiopian Human Rights Commission

Dunia Tegegn

FIJI

Shamima Ali
Fiji Women's Crisis Center

Stephanie Dunn
Fiji Women's Crisis Center

Elenoa Leweni

Seruwaia Nayacalevu
Shekinah Law

Armish Pal
AP Legal

Mele Rakai
Sherani & Co.

Samuel Ram
Samuel K. Ram

Ravi Singh
Parshotam Lawyers

Susana Tuisawau

FINLAND

Petri Eskola
Backstrom & Co. Attorneys Ltd.

Duin Ghazi

Leenamajja Heinonen
Roschier

Mika Kärkkäinen
Dittmar and Indrenius Attorneys Ltd.

Tea Kemppinen
Bird & Bird Attorneys Ltd.

Hanna-Mari Manninen
Dittmar & Indrenius Attorneys Ltd.

Mari Mohsen
Roschier

Maisa Nikkola
Bird & Bird Attorneys Ltd.

Jukka Paloheimo
Roschier

Sampsä Pekkinen
Roschier

Milla Pyykkönen
The Feminist Association Union

Nita Rautakoski
Dittmar & Indrenius Attorneys Ltd.

Chloé Spyratos
Latham & Watkins

Connie Taylor-Cox
Latham & Watkins

Tiina Virtanen
Bird & Bird Attorneys Ltd.

FRANCE

Esperanza Barron Baratech
Latham & Watkins

Jérémie Blond
Cabinet Jérémie Blond

Béatrice Collette

Valentine Darmois
Leick & Darmois

Charles Dubot
Cabinet Jérémie Blond

Chloé Froment
Austin Kelsen

Paul Gallix
Gallix Avocats

Allison Kramer
Latham & Watkins

Jeremy Lagelee
International Energy Agency

Noémie Lopes-Lemière
Latham & Watkins

Charlotte O'Leary
Austin Kelsen

Virginie Tassin Campanella
VTA Tassin

Véronique Tuffal-Nerson
SCP Tuffal-Nerson Douarre & Associés (TNDA)

Caroline Yadan Pesah

GABON

Melviva Benga Bengone

Khadidjatou Boussougou
B&Law Consulting Group

Edwige Eyang Effa
Femme, Environnement, Santé et Education

Delphine Lembumba Avalyna Moundziegou
ONG Malachie

Nicole Nguema Metogo
AGIR pour le Genre Gabon

Edgar Régis Obame
Femme, Environnement, Santé et Education

Marie Josée Ongo Mendou
Business Consulting Gabon

Ophélie Owono Mbeng
Femme, Environnement, Santé et Education

Catherine Teya
Olam International Gabon Ltd.

Audrey Josiane Worah
Femme, Environnement, Santé et Education

Solange Yenou
La Voix des Oublies

GAMBIA, THE

Elizabeth J.C. Dunn
Judiciary of The Gambia

Mohammad Edirissa Faal
Lawyer

Oludayo Fagbemi
Institute for Human Rights and Development in Africa

Thomas Fuad Touray

Fabakary Jammeh
Centre for Legal Support

Judy Oder
African Union

Janet Ramatoulie Sallah-Njie
Torodo Chambers

Saffie Sankareh-Farage
Astus Consulting

Ya Amie Touray
University of The Gambia and The Public Utilities Regulatory Authority

GEORGIA

Ekaterine Getsadze
JMK Legal

Nana Kruashvili
Ana Mgebrishvili
Tbilisi Court of Appeals

Tinatin Oboladze
Georgian Young Constitutionalists' Association

Tamar Ruseishvili

Ketevan Shubashvili
*Office of Public
Defender of the
Republic of Georgia*

Chiora Taktakishvili
Ilia State University

Revaz Tkemaladze
*Ministry of Justice of
the Republic of Georgia*

GERMANY

Kathleen Acott

Barbara Angene

Marco Daub
*sffh Schumacher
Steuerberatungs-
gesellschaft mbH*

Nicole Janssen
Sidley Austin LLP

Christina Mann
Latham & Watkins LLP

Xenia Pisarewski
Sidley Austin LLP

Heiko Recktenwald

Bärbel Schmidt
Independent Consultant

Vanessa Sekker
Latham & Watkins LLP

Jürgen Streng
*Mayer Brown
International LLP*

Sabine Vorbrodt

GHANA

Lom Ahlijah
Ghana Grid Company

Valery Atuwu
Kimathi & Partners

Hilary Gbedemah
The Law Institute

Abass Hamza
HACEP-Ghana

Mawunya Kudu

Cynthia Nimo-Ampredue
*Human Rights
Advocacy Center*

Nicole-Marie Poku
Sory @ Law

Judith Naa Ode
Stephens

GREECE

*International
Organization for
Migration*

Niki Alexandrou
*Norton Rose Fullbright
Greece*

Nikos Anastopoulos
Politis & Partners

Barbara Angelopoulou
*Avramopoulos &
Partners Law Firm*

Alexandra Argyropoulou
AP Legal

Ioanna Chanoumi
*Kyriakides
Georgopoulos Law Firm*

Chara
Chioni-Chotouman
*National and
Kapodistrian University
of Athens*

Konstantinos
Dimitropoulos
*Dimitropoulos,
Karipidou & Associates*

Eirini Kikarea
University of Cambridge

Ioanna Kyriazi
*Kyriakides
Georgopoulos Law Firm*

Stavroula Lymousi

Apostolos Papadimitriou

Costas D. Papadimitriou
*Costas D. Papadimitriou
and Partners Law
Company*

Dimitra
Papayiannopoulou
*Norton Rose Fullbright
Greece*

Evangelos Politis
Politis & Partners

Maria Rigaki
EY Law

Emmanouil Savidakis
Politis & Partners

Kostas Spaidiotis
KPSY Law Firm

Dimitris Theodoropoulos
Politis & Partners

Matina Tsili
Bitros Holding SA

Viktoria Zioga

GRENADA

Henry, Henry & Bristol

Anderson Andall
CityScape

Kaisha Ince
*Attorney General's
Office Grenada*

Rosana John
*Wilkinson, Wilkinson &
Wilkinson*

Jacqueline Loric Pascal
*Grenada National
Organisation of Women
(GNOW)*

Rae Thomas
*Franco Chambers and
Company*

Herricia L. Willis
H.L. Willis & Associates

GUATEMALA

White & Case LLP

Pedro Aragón
Aragón & Aragón

Geovani Javier
Avenidaño Maldonado

María Elena Barrientos
Arias

Ana Rocío Beltetón G.
IDEA Next

Ana Gabriela Contreras
García
Lawyer

Liz Gordillo
Arias

Rita María Grajeda Díaz

Juan Pablo Martínez
Arias

Oscar Alfredo Pineda
Chavarría
IDEA Next

Gabriela Rivera

Eleusis Zelada Rosal
Arias

GUINEA

Amara Bangoura
*Cabinet d'Avocats
Amaraya*

Youssef Bangoura
Attorney-at-Law

Amadou Babahein
Camara

Fanta Camara

*Ministry of Investments
and Public Private
Partnerships*

Ibrahima Diallo
P.C.U.D.

Siba Dopavogui
*Organisation Catholique
pour la Promotion
Humaine (OCPH) /
Caritas Guinée*

Mamadouba
Doubouya
*Cabinet d'Avocats
Amaraya*

Mohamed Lamine
Fofana
Sylla & Partners

Djenabou Fofana Ndiaye
Lonny Center

Frederic Loua Foromo

Tossa Montcho
*Les Mêmes Droits pour
Tous*

Germaine Pascaline
Tolno
*Les Mêmes Droits pour
Tous*

Sadou Savané
Sylla & Partners

GUINEA-BISSAU

João Pedro C. Alves de
Campos
Camões IP

Emílio Ano Mendes
*GB Legal-Miranda
Alliance*

Juliano Augusto
Fernandes
*Julafer & Lopesfino
Advogados Associados*

Monica Indami
*Bissau First Instance
Court, Commercial
Division*

Armando Mango
Ismael Mendes de
Medina
*GB Legal-Miranda
Alliance*

Helder Pires

Paloma Velasco Velasco
*EU Delegation Guinea
Bissau*

GUYANA

Liza Hanoman
*Guyana Integrity
Commission*

Sherrie Hewitt
*Guyana Sugar
Corporation
Incorporated*

Ayana Jennifer
McCalman
*McCalman & Co. Legal
Services*

Charles Ogle
*Ministry of Social
Protection*

Selwyn Pieters
Pieters Law Office

Shawn Shewram

Nirvana Singh

Kayreen Stephenson
*Ministry of Legal
Affairs, Attorney
General's Chambers*

Kean Trotman
*Guyana Association of
Women Lawyers*

Kimbely Yearwood
Lawyer

HAITI

White & Case LLP

Johanna-Sylvain Joseph
*Cabinet d'Avocats
Johanna Sylvain*

Elizabeth Mentor
Lafontant

Patrick Laurent
*Cabinet Patrick
Laurent & Associés*

Landy Leconte
*Avocats Sans
Frontières-Canada*

Dilia Lemaire
*Mouvement des
Femmes Haïtiennes
pour l'Éducation et
le Développement
(MOUFHED)*

Alain Lemithe
*Cabinet
Menard-Lemithe*

Noël Marie Ange
Fanm Deside

HONDURAS

Gina María Aronne
Laitano

Alessia Bonilla Riatti

Alma Coello

Dulce Maria Enamorado
Ramos
Programa Ciudad Mujer

Wendy Carolina Estévez
Paredes
Programa Ciudad Mujer

Edwin Ramón Flores
Salinas
ECIJA-Honduras

Fanny Gallo
IDLO

Shadia García
*Banco Centroamericano
de Integración
Económica (BCIE)*

Rosa Paz Haslam
Programa Ciudad Mujer

Elena Incisana di
Camerana
IDLO

Sara Nardicchia
IDLO

Jazna Vanessa Oqueli
García &
Bodán-Honduras

Aida Romero
IDLO

Gabriela María Williams
Cruz
ECIJA-Honduras

Roberto Alejandro
Williams Cruz
ECIJA-Honduras

HONG KONG SAR, CHINA

*Freshfields Bruckhaus
Deringer*

Ting Cheung
Lawyer

Ying Kiu Chow
Linklaters

Cynthia Chung
Deacons

Suzi Duncan
Linklaters

Citrine Ho
Kirkland & Ellis

Nathalie Hobbs
Linklaters

Svetoslava Hughes
Linklaters

Rita Ku
Withers

Eugénie Levy
Linklaters

Amanda Lo
Linklaters

Zoe Ng
Linklaters

Diana Schawlowski
Linklaters

Anne Scully-Johnson
*The Chinese University
of Hong Kong*

Florence Sit
Linklaters

Nga Kit Christy Tang

Eunice Wu
Deacons

David Yun
Kirkland & Ellis

HUNGARY

Haya Aftab
Latham & Watkins

Áron Barta
Kinstellar Hungary

Judit Budai
*Szeckay Attorneys at
Law*

Anna James
Latham & Watkins

Lilla Kiss
*Szeckay Attorneys at
Law*

Máté Kiss
*Szeckay Attorneys at
Law*

Mariann
Minkó-Miskovics

Ákos Nagy
Kinstellar Hungary

Orsolya Pass
Kinstellar Hungary

Petra Ruzsvánszky
Schmidt Law Office

Kata Schadt
*Dr. Schadt Kata
Ügyvédi Iroda*

Júlia Szabó
*Szeckay Attorneys at
Law*

Adrienn Tar
*Szeckay Attorneys at
Law*

Réka Török
*Law Office of Marianna
Toth*

Marianna Toth
*Law Office of Marianna
Toth*

Márton Leó Zaccaria
*University of Debrecen
Faculty of Law*

ICELAND

Pierre Brule

Bjarnveig Eiríksdóttir
VÍK Law Firm

Hjördís Guðbrandsdóttir

Diljá Helgadóttir
Van Bael & Bellis

Erna Leifsdóttir
*Logos
Lögmannþjónusta*

Flosi Hrafn Sigurdsson
OPUS Legal Services

David Sveinbjörnsson

INDIA

Priyanka Anand
Indialaw LLP

Meghna Bal
*Hammurabi & Solomon
Partners*

Shweta Bharti
*Hammurabi & Solomon
Partners*

Benarji Chakka
Alliance University

Kshitija Chile
Indialaw LLP

Miheer Dhondye
Indialaw LLP

Sneha Dubey
Indialaw LLP

Aanchal Kapoor
Lawyer

Rajas Kasbekar
CRK Legal

Manoj Kumar
*Hammurabi & Solomon
Partners*

Radhika Mathur
ANM Global

Soumyashree
Meesaraganda
Lawyer

Shiju P.V.
Indialaw LLP

Sweta Patel

Akshit Rajpal
ANM Global

Vrajlal Sapovadia

Adrija Thakur
Lawyer

Ekavva Vasudev

INDONESIA

Beauty Erowati Siswojo
*LBH-APIK Nusa
Tenggara Barat*

Margaretha Hanita
Consultant

Bianca P. Putri
Kadarisman
*Soewito Suhardiman
Eddymurthy Kardono*

Nursyahbani
Katjasungkana
*Indonesian Legal
Aid Association for
Women*

Andreas Kodrat
*Andreas FK &
Katjasungkana*

Nurul Kodriati
*Rifka Annisa Women
Crisis Center*

Adella Kristi
HHP Law Firm

Rusmaini Lenggogeni
*Soewito Suhardiman
Eddymurthy Kardono*

Yeremia Gorby
Nababan
*Prayogo Advocaten
(DHP Lawyers)*

Sugianto Osman
Ginting & Reksodiputro

Indri Pramitaswari
Guritno
HHP Law Firm

Niken Prawesti
Griya Group

Daniel Dhanu Prayogo
*Prayogo Advocaten
(DHP Lawyers)*

Sam Samid
Ginting & Reksodiputro

Kiki Setiawan
*Kiki Setiawan and
Partners Law Office*

Rahadiyan Yana
HHP Law Firm

IRAN, ISLAMIC REP.

Jean-Charles Albitre
Ferdowsi Legal

Baharak Barzin
Ferdowsi Legal

Mohsen Ghorbani
Tossanlou
Ghorbani Law Firm

Siamak Goudarzi
Afarin Law Firm

Mojgan Honarmandjavan

Anooshiravan Karimi

Ezatollah Karimi

Setareh Kermani

Paul-Arthur Luzu
Ferdowsi Legal

Parya Maleknia
Attorney-at-Law

Baki Maneche
Ferdowsi Legal

Manoochehr Sarhang
Khanlari

IRAQ

Neyan Abbas
Native-Dogramaci

Bushra Al-Obaidi

Maha Alsakban
*Women's Human Rights
Center*

Jacquelyn Foster
Lawyer

Zeyad Saeed
Iraqi Law Firm

IRELAND

Ivana Bacik
Trinity College Dublin

Sarah Benson
Women's Aid

Paul Cahill
*Mason Hayes & Curran
LLP*

Roisin Aine Costello
*Maynooth University
School of Law*

Bláthnaid Evans
Leman Solicitors

Sarah Faulkner
Arthur Cox

Bethan Heathcote
White & Case LLP

Roisin Liddy-Murphy
Conyers Dill & Pearman

Monica Mazzone
Women's Aid

Emer Murphy
Lavelle Partners

Irene Nic Chárthaigh
*Mason Hayes & Curran
LLP*

Sharon Pia Hickey
*Leiden University Law
School*

Ben Rodger
Latham & Watkins LLP

David Stafford
*Mason Hayes & Curran
LLP*

ISRAEL

White & Case LLP

Pinna Broder Manor
*Naschitz, Brandes,
Amir & Co.*

Yehuda Buckwald
Lawyer

Rita Chaikin

Lyat Eyal
*Aronson, Ronkin-Noor
Eyal Law Firm and
Notary*

Roxanne E. Formey

Alon Kaplan
Advocat & Notary

Nathaniel Lavi
S. Horowitz and Co.

Christine Najarian

Moien Odeh
Odeh and Partners

Ofir Pozner
S. Horowitz and Co.

Jacki Silbermann

Michal Zohar Neistein
*Naschitz, Brandes,
Amir & Co.*

ITALY

*Freshfields Bruckhaus
Deringer*

Enrichetta Sandra Bellini
Fornera
*Business and
Professional Women
International (BPWI)*

Marco Boldini
*Orrick, Herrington &
Sutcliffe LLP*

Alessandro Bovio
Altire Legal

Marco Calabrese
*Studio Legale
Calabrese & A.*

Massimiliano Castellari
Studio Andino

Maria Vittoria Cazzola
*Orrick, Herrington &
Sutcliffe LLP*

Stefania Citone
*Studio Legale
Calabrese & A.*

Daniele Consolo
*Orrick, Herrington &
Sutcliffe LLP*

Silvia Dal Cin
Accelerate Law

Federica Di Mario
*Salonia Associati Studio
Legale*

Francesca Elefante
Studio Legale Elefante

Andrea Gangemi
Portolano Cavallo

Francesca Garbarino
*Italian Centre for the
Promotion of Mediation
(CIPM)*

Alberto Lama
Altire Legal

Giliola Langher
*Business and
Professional Women
International (BPWI)*

Danielle Miklos
Antenone
AVRA Legal

Chiara Moraschi
*Chiara Moraschi Law
Firm*

Maria Pagliara
*PATTI Avvocati &
Rechtsanwälte*

Anna Maria Pavone
*Orrick, Herrington &
Sutcliffe LLP*

Sabina Rapella
*Italian Centre for the
Promotion of Mediation
(CIPM)*

Federica Re Depaolini
BNP Paribas

Isotta Rossoni
*Italian Centre for the
Promotion of Mediation
(CIPM)*

Giacomo Savatoni
Studio Andino

Valentina Turco
Portolano Cavallo

Giorgio Vasi
AVRA Legal

JAMAICA

Deborah Dowding
*Nunes, Scholefield,
DeLeon & Co.*

Brittney Elliott-Williams
*University of the West
Indies*

Gavin Goffe
*Myers, Fletcher &
Gordon*

Natasha Parkins
*Caribbean Accreditation
Authority*

Jodi-Ann Quarrie
Lawyer

JAPAN

Maria Abe
*Vanguard Lawyers
Tokyo*

Holly Gardiner
Allen & Overy

Ayako Ikeda
*Mori Hamada &
Matsumoto*

Kana Itabashi
Baker & McKenzie

Yuko Kanamaru
*Mori Hamada &
Matsumoto*

Jean-Denis Marx
Baker & McKenzie

Naoki Matsushita
*Freshfields Bruckhaus
Deringer*

Taro Nakashima
Allen & Overy

Yuka Nakayama
Linklaters LLP

Hiromasa Ogawa
Kojima Law Offices

Kosuke Oie
Hiroo Park Law Office

Yosuke Shimamura
Shimamura Law Office

Lene Someno
Baker & McKenzie

Yuki Sumiyoshi
Baker & McKenzie

Mitsunari Taketani
Tagawa Law Office

Tomotaka Tokuno
Allen & Overy

Megumi Wada
*The Law Office of
Takashi Takano*

Nozomi Watanabe
Kojima Law Offices

Akiko Yamakawa
*Vanguard Lawyers
Tokyo*

Keijiro Yodozawa
*Vanguard Lawyers
Tokyo*

Minako Zai
Baker & McKenzie

JORDAN

Omar Aljazy
*Aljazy & Co. Advocates &
Legal Consultants*

Mohamed Arabiyat
*Aljazy & Co. Advocates &
Legal Consultants*

Rana Atwan
*Atwan & Partners
Attorneys and Legal*

Yacoub El-Far

Farah El Yacoubi
Allen & Overy

Abdallah Islam
*Atwan & Partners
Attorneys and Legal*

Samah Marmash
*Arab Women's Legal
Network*

Selina Mouasher
Arab Law Bureau

Rawan Noubani
RN Law

Yazeed Samain

KAZAKHSTAN

Khadicha Abysheva
*Legal Center for
Women's Initiatives
Sana Sezim*

Aizada Arystanbek
Lawyer

Alexandr Chumachenko
Aequitas Law Firm

Yulia Chumachenko
Aequitas Law Firm

Dmitriy Chumakov
*Sayat Zholshy &
Partners Law Firm*

Tatyana Gustap
Aequitas Law Firm

Dana Ibrayeva
Dentons

Aisha Orazymbekova
Dentons

Victoria Simonova
Dentons

Artem Timoshenko
Unicase Law Firm

Aida Tleulina
Dentons

Yerzhan Toktarov
*Sayat Zholshy &
Partners Law Firm*

Bolat Utebaliyev
Dechert LLP

Larissa Yemelyanova
Aequitas Law Firm

KENYA

Mitchelle Atieno Oyoga

Peter Gachuhi
*Kaplan & Stratton
Advocates*

Catherine Kiama
Akili Dada

Kathambi Kinoti

Michi Kirimi
Dentons

Maureen Kirui
Anjarwalla & Khanna LLP

Stella Murugi
*Mwale & Company
Advocates*

Prudence Mutiso

Dominic Mwale
*Mwale & Company
Advocates*

Viktor Njenga
*Kaplan & Stratton
Advocates*

Valentine Njogu
*Njogu & Ngugi
Advocates*

Wendy Okolo
*IDH-The Sustainable
Trade*

Elizabeth Onyango
*Kaplan & Stratton
Advocates*

Sonal Sejpal
*Anjarwalla & Khanna
LLP*

Edwina Warambo
*Anjarwalla & Khanna
LLP*

KIRIBATI

Kenneth Barden
Lawyer

Pauline Beiatua
*Office of the Attorney
General*

Amberoti Nikora
*Regional Rights
Resource Team, SPC*

Eribwebwe Takirua
*Police-Domestic
Violence, Child
Protection and Sexual
Offence Unit*

Batitea Tekanito
*Batitea Tekanito Law
Firm*

Teretia Tokam
*Kiribati Women and
Children Support Center*

KOREA, REP.

Freshfields Bruckhaus
Deringer

Latham & Watkins LLP

Kyung-Hee Cho
Kim & Chang

Ji-Yong Hong
Kim & Chang

Helen Heoun Joo Kim
Kim & Chang

Jae Hee Kim
Kookmin University

Jongsoo Kim
Shin & Kim

Weon-Jung Kim
Kim & Chang

Young Geon Kim
Yulchon LLC

Eun-Jee Kwak
Kim & Chang

Sun-Ha Kweon
Kim & Chang

Jieun Lee
Liberty Law Office

Jung Lae Lee
Kim & Chang

Hyunah Park
Yulchon LLC

Yeon Ha Park
*Labor Corporation
Sinsung*

Seungyoun Seo
Lee & Ko

Hyesoo Sung
*Labor Corporation
Sinsung*

Jai Eun Yoo
Yulchon LLC

KOSOVO

Genc Alimehmeti
University of Tirana

Rrona Berisha

Zana Govori
*European Asylum
Support Office*

Bardhyl Hasanpapaj
*University of Prishtina,
Law Faculty*

Olga Jovic-Prlainovic
University of Prishtina

Donikë Kastrati

Eneida Lajqi
NLB Banka

Vlora Marmullakaj

Diellza Mujaj
*Leiden University Law
School*

Artan Qerkini
Sejdiu & Qerkini

Valmira Rashiti
*Kosovo Women's
Network*

Bonita Salihu

Valbona Salihu
*Lawyers Association
Norma*

Nita Shala
University of Prishtina

Xhevdet Shala
KLK Law Firm

Furtuna Sheremeti

Dior Zhuri
Sejdiu & Qerkini

KUWAIT

Mosaied AlAjeel
Allen & Overy LLP

Faisal Alfahad
*Dr. Faisal Alfahad &
Partners*

Dalal Al Ghunaim

Athra Alrefaai
*Athra Alrefaie Legal
Group*

Dalal Al Sulaiti
*Meshari Alosaimi Law
Firm*

Dema Al Yatama

Dalal S. Sharar

KYRGYZ REPUBLIC

Aicholpon Alieva
*Kalikova & Associates
Law Firm*

Elena Bit-Avrachim
Veritas Law Agency

Samara Dumanaeva
*Lorenz Limited Liability
Company*

Adinai Dzhekshenova
*Kalikova & Associates
Law Firm*

Victor Efremov
Veritas Law Agency

Chynara Esengeldieva
*Lorenz Limited Liability
Company*

Saara Kabaeva
*Lorenz Limited Liability
Company*

Lenara Mambetalieva

Aalamgul Osmonalieva
Lawyer

Jibek Tenizbaeva
*Lorenz Limited Liability
Company*

Lidiya Vasilieva
Veritas Law Agency

LAO PDR

Rosie Cole
Arion Legal

Aristotle David
ZICO Law

Sornpheth Douangdy
VDB Loi Co. Ltd.

Daodeuane Duangdara
VDB Loi Co. Ltd.

Steve Goddard
Mekong Legal

Tuchakorn Kitcharoen
ZICO Law

Florence Lo
Mekong Legal

Jean Loi
VDB Loi Co. Ltd.

Vongphachanh
Onepaseuth
Sciaroni & Associates

Kaz Patafta
Arion Legal

Khamphaeng
Phochanthilath
Sciaroni & Associates

Phaviny Phommathansy
*Mahaxai Law Company
Limited*

Anonglack Phongsavanh
VDB Loi Co. Ltd.

Princess Bido Principe
ZICO Law

Saithong Rattana
*Tilleke & Gibbins Lao
Co., Ltd*

LATVIA

Mārtiņš Birģelis

Renārs Gasūns
Klauberģ Baltics

Valerijs Ickevics
*V. Ickevics, Sworn
Attorneys-at-Law*

Irina Kostina
Ellex Klavins

Anna Krasanova

Ivo Maskalāns
Cobalt Legal

Ieva Miluna
Ieva Miluna Consulting

Ints Skaldis
Ellex Klavins

LEBANON

Elias Abdelnour
*Moghabghab &
Associates Law Firm*

Michel Abi Saab
Allen & Overy

Kamal Abou'Zahr
*Kamal Abou Zahr Law
Office*

Guida Anani

Chafic Georges El
Chab Majdalani
Lawyer

Melkar El Khoury
Central Research House

Samer El Khoury
*Etude Badri et Salim El
Meouchi*

Marie Thérèse El-Mir
*Rassemblement
Démocratique des
Femmes Libanaises*

Joya Farah

Rana Khalifeh
*Kamal Abou Zahr Law
Office*

Joelle Khater
*Etude Badri et Salim El
Meouchi*

Joumana Merhi
*Rassemblement
Démocratique des
Femmes Libanaises*

Outeiba Merhibi
*Rassemblement
Démocratique des
Femmes Libanaises*

Ghassan Moghabghab
*Moghabghab &
Associates Law Firm*

Tarek Moghabghab
*Moghabghab &
Associates Law Firm*

Lara Saade

Maysa Schender
*Lebanese Council to
Resist Violence Against
Women*

Ghenwa Schinder
*Lebanese Council to
Resist Violence Against
Women*

Laura Schinder
*Lebanese Council to
Resist Violence Against
Women*

Manar Zeaiter
*Rassemblement
Démocratique des
Femmes Libanaises*

LESOTHO

Limpho Mokobocho
Land Administration Authority

Limpho Mokonyana
Land Administration Authority

Mpho Matoka Molupe
Land Administration Authority

Matseliso Mota
Land Administration Authority

Lipotso Musi

Poulo 'Nono
Lawyer

Nthoateng Russel
Ministry of Energy, Meteorology and Water Affairs

Rethabile Sakoane
Tharollo Labor Law and Industrial Relations Consultancy

Lerato Seema
FIDA Lesotho

Itumeleng Shale
National University of Lesotho

Mateboho
Tohlang-Phafane
Webber Newdigate

LIBERIA

Caroline Bowah
Medica Liberia

Lucy Dweh

James C.R. Flomo
Public Defenders Program of Liberia

Cerue Garlo
Carter Center

Lucia D.S. Gbala
Heritage Partners and Associates Inc.

Jonah Kotee
Association of Liberia Human Resource Professionals

Milton D. Taylor
Law Offices of Taylor & Associates Inc.

Jefferson G. Togba

Joyce Woods

LIBYA

Nisreen A. Amer
The Ministry of Economy

Amena Almahjob
Presidential Council

Aimen Almaloul
Lawyer

Hatim Almushalfah
Ministry of Justice

Fatma Araibi
Tumi Law Firm

Enas Arebi
Pragma

Mostafa Emsek
The Constitution Preparation Committee

Mohanned Ghashash
Tumi Law Firm

Baylasan Gudgud
Pragma

Bahloul Kelbash
Mukhtar, Kelbash & Elgharabli

LITHUANIA

Reda Bagušinskienė

Ramunė Jakštienė
Mykolas Romeris University

Aušra Juknaitė

Audinga Liekytė
Ellex Valiunas

Ingrida Maciūtė
Ellex Valiunas

Giedrė Narbutė

Juliana Pavilovska
Law Firm Sorainen

Algirdas Pekšys
Law Firm Sorainen

Izabelė Petrikaitė
Law Firm Sorainen

Vilana Pilinkaitė
Sotirovič
Lithuanian Social Research Center

LUXEMBOURG

Louis Berns
Arendt & Medernach SA

Audrey Bertolotti
Linklaters LLP

René Diederich
Diederich Law

Annie Elfassi
Baker McKenzie

Harry Ghillemyn
Linklaters LLP

Anna Christina Goergen
Linklaters LLP

Laura Mbo
Linklaters LLP

Melinda Perera
Linklaters LLP

Sabrina Salvador
Baker McKenzie

MADAGASCAR

Volahasina
Andriamanalina

Johanne
Andria-Manantena

Marianne Pavot
Maralex Legal

Arlette Rafanomadio
Cabinet d'Avocats

Ninie Zénobie Rahaja
Cabinet d'Avocats

Alexandra Rajerison
Maralex Legal

Olivia Rajerison
Cabinet Rajerison

Veroniaina
Ramananjohany
Catholic Relief Services

Mandimbin'Ny
Aina Mbolanoro
Randriambelo
Ministère de la Sécurité Publique

Livasoa Rasamimanana
Cabinet d'Avocats

Lanto Fifaliana
Ratodimahavonjy

Hajaharimanana
Ravelojaona
Ministère de la Population, de la Protection Sociale et de la Promotion de la Femme

Madera Ravelojaona
Cabinet d'Avocats

MALAWI

Masauko Chamkakala
Malawi Legal Aid Bureau

Prosper Chaponda
Savjani & Co.

Ephraim Chimwaza
Centre for Social Concern and Development

Wanangwa Hara
Ritz Attorneys-at-Law

Wanangwa Kalua
Chisanga & Tomoka

Hannifa Makwinja
Centre for Social Concern and Development

Mandala Mambulasa
Malawi Law Society

Madalo Merrylyn Banda
Ritz Attorneys-at-Law

Wongani Mvula
Malawi Law Commission

Zione Ntaba
Judge

Mayamiko S. Tembo Jnr
Churchill, Norris & Foster Law Consultants

MALAYSIA

Donovan Cheah
Donovan & Ho

Natasha Dandavati
Women's Aid Organisation (WAO)

Dominique Fernandes
Jamie Goh
Shearn Delamore & Co.

Sivabalah Nadarajah
Shearn Delamore & Co.

Nisha Sabanayagam
All Women's Action Society

Muhendaran Suppiah
Muhendaran Sri

Dominic Gan Teck Long
Dominic Gan & Co.

MALDIVES

Juna Ahmed
Shah, Hussain & Co.

Dhaanish M. Ameen
Shah, Hussain & Co.

Mariyam Mohamed Didi

Al-ha Rasheed
Hisaan, Riffath & Co.

Shuaib Shah
Shah, Hussain & Co.

MALI

Daouda Ba
Vaughan Avocats

Almahamoud Ibrahima Dicko

Djibril Guindo
*Cabinet d'Avocats
Associés Jurifis Consult*

Mamoudou Samassekou
*Faculté de Droit Privé
de l'Université de
Sciences Juridiques et
Politiques de Bamako*

Alhassane Soukouna
Vaughan Avocats

MALTA

Francesca Anastasi
GVZH Advocates

Romina Bartolo
Iuris Malta Advocates

Karl Briffa
GVZH Advocates

Ann Bugeja
GVZH Advocates

Christine Calleja
Mamo TCV Advocates

Lara Dimitrijevic
*Women's Rights
Foundation*

Ariana Falzon
GVZH Advocates

Nicole Fenech
Mamo TCV Advocates

Francesca Hili
GVZH Advocates

Andrew J. Zammit
GVZH Advocates

MARSHALL ISLANDS

*Marshall Islands Police
Department*

Daisy Alik-Momotaro
*Weto in Mour: Violence
Against Women and
Girls Support Service,
Women United
Together Marshall
Islands (WUTMI)*

Kenneth Barden
Lawyer

Candida Kaious
*Weto in Mour: Violence
Against Women and
Girls Support Service,
Women United
Together Marshall
Islands (WUTMI)*

Marie Maddison
*Weto in Mour: Violence
Against Women and
Girls Support Service,
Women United
Together Marshall
Islands (WUTMI)*

Christy Mckay
*Weto in Mour: Violence
Against Women and
Girls Support Service,
Women United
Together Marshall
Islands (WUTMI)*

Danyia Note
*Weto in Mour: Violence
Against Women and
Girls Support Service,
Women United
Together Marshall
Islands (WUTMI)*

Kathryn Relang
*Regional Rights
Resource Team, SPC*

Tanya Terry
*Weto in Mour: Violence
Against Women and
Girls Support Service,
Women United
Together Marshall
Islands (WUTMI)*

MAURITANIA

Mounina Abdellah
Binor & Associés

Mohamed Marouf Bousbe

Diego Gaspar de Valenzuela Cueto
Hades Consulting

Oum Kalthoum Hamdinou

Oumar Mohamed Moctar

Zeinabou Taleb Moussa
*Association
Mauritanienne pour la
Santé de la Mère et de
l'Enfant*

MAURITIUS

Narvada Aunhachee
Kairos Consulting Ltd.

Deena Shahila Bhoyroo
Peeroo Chambers

Akeesh Caussey
Lex Frontier

Rubna Daureawo
RD Chambers

Kalyanee Dayal Gopee
Lex Frontier

Kessie Dulthummon
Kairos Consulting Ltd.

Ashveen Gopee
Lex Frontier

Pooja Luchmun
*Chambers of A.R.M.A.
Peeroo SC Gosk*

Vishni Nursimhulu

Bhomitrajeet Ramlochund
Dentons

Rajroop Roshan
Rajroop Chambers

Karyn Teck Yong
*De Speville-Desvaux
Chambers*

MEXICO

Viridiana Alanis
White & Case, S.C.

Adolfo Armas
*Goodrich, Riquelme y
Asociados*

Ayesha Borja
*Instituto Federal de
Defensoría Pública*

Laura Campos
White & Case, S.C.

Edgar Centeno
*Goodrich, Riquelme y
Asociados*

Jesus Alejandro Cortés
Cuatrecasas

Irma Garcia
*Universidad Nacional
Autónoma de México
(UNAM)*

Jorge Garcia de Presno Graham
Cuatrecasas

Verónica Garzón Bonetti
*Asistencia Legal
por los Derechos
Humanos-ASILEGAL*

Corina Giacomello
*Instituto de
Investigaciones
Jurídicas-Universidad
Autónoma de Chiapas*

José Luis Gutiérrez Román
*Asistencia Legal
por los Derechos
Humanos-ASILEGAL*

Blanca Esther Jimenez Franco
*Comisión de los
Derechos Humanos del
Estado de Coahuila de
Zaragoza*

Flor Dessiré León Hernández
*Instituto de la Mujer
para el Estado de
Morelos*

Maria del Rosario Lomera-Gonzalez
Baker McKenzie

Carlos Mainero
White & Case, S.C.

Javiera Medina Reza
Baker McKenzie

Ricardo Mendoza
*Comisión Nacional de
los Derechos Humanos*

Lourdes Rincón Maltos
Lawyer

María José Ríos Hurtado
*Secretaría Técnica,
Comisión de los
Derechos Humanos del
Estado de Coahuila de
Zaragoza*

Dimna Mirelle Rodríguez García
*Círculo Feminista de
Análisis Jurídico*

Clara Santana
*Goodrich, Riquelme y
Asociados*

Mónica Schiaffino
Littler Mexico

Laura Treviño Lozano
Lawyer

Giselle Yáñez Villaseñor
Lawyer

MICRONESIA, FED. STS.

David C. Angyal
Ramp & Mida Law Firm

Kenneth Barden
Lawyer

Erick Divinagracia
Ramp & Mida Law Firm

Genevieve Gruss
*International
Organization for
Migration*

Lukas Padegimas
*Chuuk State Supreme
Court*

MOLDOVA

*University of European
Economic and Political
Studies Constantin
Stere*

Octavian Cazac
Turcan Cazac

Svetlana Criucova

Eduard Digore
Law Office Digore

Victoria Donu

Ana Furtuna
Civil Rights Defenders

Aurelia Irodoi

Ecaterina Madan
CA Ciolacu Serghei

Alexandru Muturniuc

Elena Ratoi
UN Women

Olesea Udova

MONGOLIA

*Freshfields Bruckhaus
Deringer*

Melville Erdenedalai LLP

Tsolmontuya
Altankhundaga
The Asia Foundation

Otgon Altankhuyag
*ELB Partners
Attorneys-at-Law*

Khongorzul Amarsanaa
*Beautiful Hearts
Against Sexual Violence*

Nyamtsersen Bataa
*Snow Hill Consultancy
LLP*

Uranzaya Batdorj
Otgontenger University

Agiimaa Batmunkh
Anand Advocates LLP

Batzaya Bodikhuu
Anand Advocates LLP

Saranzaya Gereltod
The Asia Foundation

Khulan Munkhbaatar
*Snow Hill Consultancy
LLP*

Odonhuu Muuzee
Zarchim LLP

Enkhtsetseg Nergui
Anand Advocates LLP

Tserennadmid Sambuu
Anand Advocates LLP

Tserendorj Suren
Zarchim LLP

Unurtsetseg Ulaankhuu
*Global Green Growth
Institute*

Bolormaa Volodya
GRATA International

MONTENEGRO

Bisera Andrijašević
BDK Advokati

Jelena Bogetić
BDK Advokati

Suzana Doncic
Gecic Law

Stefan Đurović
BDK Advokati

Jovana Hajdukovic
Women's Safe House

Miodrag Jevtić
Gecic Law

Danica Misojic
Gecic Law

Zarko Popovic
Gecic Law

Bojan Vujovic
*AOD
Vujović-Vukadinović*

MOROCCO

Mohammed Bentalha
Doukkali
Université Cadi Ayyad

Will Cashman
White & Case LLP

Ilham Diab
Cabinet Ilham Diab

Sofia El Gharbi

Abdeljabar El
Marrakechy
*Faculté Polydisciplinaire
à Larache*

Ali Lachgar Essahili
*Ali Lachgar Essahili Law
Firm*

Adil Morsad
Morsad Law Firm

Ahmed Morsad
Morsad Law Firm

Elhabib Stati Zineddine
*Université Abdelmalek
Essaadi*

Stephanie Willman
*MRA Mobilising for
Rights Associates*

MOZAMBIQUE

White & Case LLP

Amina Abdala
*TTA Sociedade de
Advogados*

Ancha Abdala
*Maputo International
School*

Joyce Cláudia Cossa
*Sal & Caldeira
Advogados Lda*

Gimina Mahumana
*Sal & Caldeira
Advogados Lda*

Edneuza Massingue
*TTA Sociedade de
Advogados*

Eunice Sepúlveda
Matete

Diana Ramalho
*Sal & Caldeira
Advogados Lda*

César Vámos Ver
*Sal & Caldeira
Advogados Lda*

MYANMAR

William D. Greenlee Jr.
DFDL

Jean Loi
VDB Loi Co. Ltd.

U San Lwin
JLPW Legal Services

Aye Myat Thu
VDB Loi Co. Ltd.

Nwe Oo
Tilleke & Gibbins

Ross Taylor
Tilleke & Gibbins

Nar Wah
DFDL

NAMIBIA

Cronjé & Co.

Maria Adelasia Divona

Dianne Hubbard
Legal Assistance Centre

Geraldine Itana
UNDP Namibia

Gabriel Francios
Kopplinger
*Kopplinger Boltman
Legal Practitioners*

Fritz Nghiishililwa
University of Namibia

Murray Shikongo
Office of the Judiciary

Annabel Taswell-Fryer
White & Case LLP

NEPAL

Bishnu Bashyal
*Women Lawyers of
Nepal*

Rishi Bhattarai
Milestone Law Firm

Supragya Devkota
*National Examinations
Board*

Sijan Guragain
*Neupane Law
Associates*

Prabinda Joshee
*Mountain Alliance Law
Firm*

Prakat Khati
*Governance Lab Pvt.
Ltd.*

Hasina Lamichhane
Lawyer

Prakriti Malla
Suprabhat Law Firm

Surendra Pokharel

Khem Sedhai
Lawyer

Amrit Sharma
Prime

Manju Tuladhar
USAID

NETHERLANDS

*Freshfields Bruckhaus
Deringer*

White & Case LLP

Adam Czernikiewicz
Latham & Watkins LLP

Christine Daniels
Norton Rose Fulbright

Maartje Govaert
Norton Rose Fulbright

Wendy Guns
Open Universiteit

Astrid Helstone
Stibbe

Hosna Korishi
Stibbe

Alexander Daniel
Leuftink
LINK Advocaten

Eugenie Nunes
Dentons Europe LLP

Marije Ozinga
Dentons Europe LLP

Sigrid Romijn
Dutch Advocaten

Aniek Schadd
*Morrison & Foerster
LLP*

Tamara Ubink
Norton Rose Fulbright

Annette van Beers
Norton Rose Fulbright

Thessa van Zoeren
KroeseWevers

Ea Visser
Stibbe

NEW ZEALAND

White & Case LLP

Matthew Austin
Simpson Grierson

Mai Chen
Chen Palmer

Penny Ehrhardt
Ehrhardt Advisors

Saunoamaali'i Karanina
Sumeo
*New Zealand Human
Rights Commission*

Laurie McGregor
*New Zealand Human
Rights Commission*

Phillipa Muir
Simpson Grierson

Nicola Peart
University of Otago

Amelia Retter
*Dentons Kensington
Swan*

Blair Shepherd

Kate Tennent
Simpson Grierson

Hayden Wilson
*Dentons Kensington
Swan*

NICARAGUA

Bertha Arguello
Arias

Minerva Adriana
Bellorín Rodríguez
Aczalaw

Miriam del Socorro
Espinoza
Aczalaw

Valeska Massiell
Fonseca Torrez
García & Bodán

Lois González
*Observatorio Contra el
Acoso Callejero (OCAC)*

Claraliz Oviedo
Maglione
Alvarado y Asociados

Alonso Porras Diaz
Aczalaw

Sofía de Carmen Rivas
Arias

María Mercedes Román
CEJ Consulting

Isbelia Ruiz Perdomo

Carlos Eduardo Téllez
Páramo
García & Bodán

Diógenes Emiliano
Velasquez Vela
Aczalaw

NIGER

*Conseil Danois pour les
Réfugiés*

Djibril Abarchi
*Abdou Moumouni
University*

Zaïda Abdoul Aziz
Amadou
Groupe IAT

Ousseynou S. Damien
Aw
*Géni & Kébé SCP
d'Avocats*

Maï Kassoum Daouami
Ouanou
*Commission Nationale
d'Eligibilité au Statut
des Réfugiés*

Ibrahim Haby
CONGAFEN

David Ibro Adamou

Mouhamed Kebe
Geni & Kebe

Bachir Talfi
*Abdou Moumouni
University*

Idrissa Tchernaka
*Société Civile
Professionnelle
d'Avocats LGBTI &
Partners*

NIGERIA

Oyinkan Adebimpe
*Udo Udoma &
Belo-Osagie*

Adeola Ajayi
*Udo Udoma &
Belo-Osagie*

Akinwunmi Ajiboye
*Punuka Attorneys &
Solicitors*

Ifeamaka Anekwe
NYU School of Law

Brenda Anugwom

Charles Asugha
*C.C. Asugha and
Associate*

Jovita Duruzor Daniels
*Federal University of
Technology*

Peter Edokpayi
*Punuka Attorneys &
Solicitors*

Joseph Eimunjeze
*Udo Udoma &
Belo-Osagie*

Mary Ekemezie
*Udo Udoma &
Belo-Osagie*

Folake Elias Adebowale
*Udo Udoma &
Belo-Osagie*

Nkpoikana Meyen
Etukudo

Elizabeth Idigbe
*Punuka Attorneys &
Solicitors*

Sylvia Iwejuo
*Liberty Consults &
Associates*

Anastasia Onyi Iyizoba

Efunsola Moore
*Udo Udoma &
Belo-Osagie*

Sylvia Njoku
*Imo State Universal
Basic Education Board
(IMSUBEB)*

Adaeze Nwoba

Duru Obinali

Fe Obinali
Lawyer

Ozofu Ogiemudia
*Udo Udoma &
Belo-Osagie*

Marcus Ojaruega
*Udo Udoma &
Belo-Osagie*

Emem Okoko
*Udo Udoma &
Belo-Osagie*

Anthonia Okolie
*A.N. Duruzor Okolie &
Associates*

Chisom Okolie
*Udo Udoma &
Belo-Osagie*

Titilola
Olatunde-Fasogbon
*Udo Udoma &
Belo-Osagie*

Tolulope L. Olugbon
Okorie & Okorie

Damilola Opayinka
*Udo Udoma &
Belo-Osagie*

Kehinde
Padonu-Awobona
*K.M. Padonu &
Associates*

Uju Ude
*Udo Udoma &
Belo-Osagie*

NORTH MACEDONIA

Martina Angelkovic
*Debarliev,
Dameski & Kelesoska
Attorneys-at-Law*

Daniela Antonovska

Olivera Docevska
Lawyer

Jasminka Frishchikj
*Association for
Emancipation,
Solidarity and Equality
of Women*

Marija Gelevska
*Association for
 Emancipation, Solidarity
 and Equality of Women*

Ana Kashirska
Karanovic & Partners

**Emilija Kelesoska
 Sholjakovska**
*Debarliev,
 Dameski & Kelesoska
 Attorneys-at-Law*

Sanja Lambershek
Karanovic & Partners

Stojan Mishev
*Association for
 Emancipation, Solidarity
 and Equality of Women*

Martin Monevski
Monevski Law Firm

Valerjan Monevski
Monevski Law Firm

Vojdan Monevski
Monevski Law Firm

Bojana Paneva
Karanovic & Partners

Veton Qoku
Karanovic & Partners

Delfina Todorovska
*Association for
 Emancipation, Solidarity
 and Equality of Women*

NORWAY

Eivind Arntsen
*Brækhus Advokatfirma
 DA*

Kiran Aziz
*International
 Commission of Jurists*

**Maja Berthelsen
 Lindgren**
University of Oslo

Sven Bjørk
Arntzen de Besche

**Gitte Marie Lundh
 Bjurling**
*Aurlien Vordahl & Co.
 Advokatfirma AS*

Maria Cabrera Stråtveit
*Dalan Advokatfirma
 DA*

Lill Egeland
*Advokatfirmaet
 Simonsen Vogt Wiig*

Hanna Løkholt
Arntzen de Besche

Ann Kathrin Nordbø
Dalan Advokatfirma DA

Jenny Marie Solgaard
Bing Hodneland

Jan-Erik Sverre
Kvale Advokatfirma DA

Thomas Talen
*Deloitte
 Advokatfirma AS*

OMAN

Khalil Albusaidi
Supreme Court of Oman

Sarah Al Hinai
*CMS Cameron McKenna
 Nabarro Olswang LLP
 (Registered to practice
 in the Sultanate of
 Oman through Al
 Rashdi, Al Juma and
 Ewing Advocates and
 Legal Consultants as
 licensed by the Ministry
 of Justice)*

**Mohammad Saeed
 ALsheyab**
ALsheyab & Associates

Hassan Aslam Shad
*CMS Cameron McKenna
 Nabarro Olswang LLP
 (Registered to practice
 in the Sultanate of
 Oman through Al
 Rashdi, Al Juma and
 Ewing Advocates and
 Legal Consultants as
 licensed by the Ministry
 of Justice)*

Anthony Coleby
*Jamal Al Amri
 Advocates and Legal
 Consultants*

Helen Dean
*CMS Cameron McKenna
 Nabarro Olswang LLP
 (Registered to practice
 in the Sultanate of
 Oman through Al
 Rashdi, Al Juma and
 Ewing Advocates and
 Legal Consultants as
 licensed by the Ministry
 of Justice)*

Amel Kamel Abdallah
*Sultan Qaboos
 University College of
 Law*

Rayan Mihaimied
*Jamal Al Amri
 Advocates and Legal
 Consultants*

**Tariq Abdulaziz
 Mohamed Sadiq**
*Hassan Batok Legal
 Office*

Aladdin Sidahmed
*Jamal Al Amri
 Advocates and Legal
 Consultants*

PAKISTAN

Iftikhar Ahmad
*Centre for Labour
 Research*

Ahmed Bashir
*Ahmed Bashir &
 Associates*

**Muhammad Siddique
 Ghani**
Ghani Law Associates

Zafar Gillani
Gillani & Gillani

Rohma Habib

Fehem Hashmi
Vellani & Vellani

Jalal Hussain
SZH Law

Syed Akbar Hussain
SZH Law

Murtajiz Jafri
The Legal Center

Shahida Jamil
*Messrs. Jamil & Jamil,
 Barristers-at-Law*

Maria Karim
SZH Law

Kulsum Khan
*Messrs. Jamil & Jamil,
 Barristers-at-Law*

Minahil Khan
*Research Society of
 International Law*

Muhammad Iqbal Khan
*Iqbal International Law
 Services*

Maimana Khattak

Mehreen Rizvi
Vellani & Vellani

Morial Shah
*Institute of Business
 Administration (IBA)
 Karachi*

Syed Sameer Shah
SZH Law

Bilal Shaukat
*Riaa Barker Gillette
 Advocates & Corporate
 Counselors*

Warda Tahir
*Riaa Barker Gillette
 Advocates & Corporate
 Counselors*

Sarah Tarar
*University College
 Lahore*

Naz Toosy
Vellani & Vellani

Sohail Akbar Warraich
*National Commission
 on Status of Women,
 Pakistan*

Mehak Zaraq
Pakistan College of Law

PALAU

Kenneth Barden
Lawyer

Chuck Greenfield
*Micronesia Legal
 Services Corporation*

Ronald Ledgerwood
*Micronesia Legal
 Services Corporation*

PANAMA

Virginia Barreiro
*Ministerio de Desarrollo
 Social*

Jeannette Bravo
LOVILL

Milagros Caballero
Morgan & Morgan

Sophia Castillero
*Suarez, Castillero,
 Holmes y Richa*

Ximena de Obaldía
Galindo, Arias & López

Camila de Vengochea
Morgan & Morgan

Waleska Hormechea
Fiscalía de Cuentas

Javier José Vallarino
*Icaza, Gonzalez-Ruiz &
 Aleman*

Claudia Patricia Juárez
Galindo, Arias & López

Mireya Peart
*Red de Mujeres
Afrolatinoamericanas,
Afrocaribeñas y de la
Díaspóra*

Marina Perez
Fundamorgan

Ana Gabriela Santiago
Paredes & Asociados

Marcela Tejeira
Fundamorgan

Odalys Troudart
Arias

PAPUA NEW GUINEA

Igua Guba
Allens Linklaters

Lydia Karre
*Magisterial Services of
Papua New Guinea*

Wavie Kendino
Dentons

Desmond Kipa
Wang Dee Lawyers

Sarah Kuman
Allens Linklaters

Nicka Pitoi

Gideon Pogla
Allens Linklaters

Anthony William
Roden-Paru
O'Briens Lawyers

Lucy Sabo-Kelis
*Kumul Consolidated
Holdings*

Kari Taviri

Mek Tumul
Allens Linklaters

PARAGUAY

María José Achón
Paredes
*Vouga & Olmedo
Abogados*

Andrea María Arriola
Ortega
*Secretaría de Género
del Poder Judicial*

María José Ayala
*Centro de Estudios
Ambientales y Sociales
(CEAMSO)*

Matias Chaves
Ferrere Abogados

Patricia Doldán
Ministerio de la Mujer

Marysol Estigarribia
Ferrere Abogados

Natalia María
Gagliardone
*Departamento
Jurídico, Instituto de
Derecho y Economía
Ambiental*

Ysmael Reinerio Gaona
Villaba
Aprevim Paraguay

Alejandra Guanes
Velázquez
Ferrere Abogados

Maria del Rocio Penayo
Zarza
*Moreno Ruffinelli &
Asociados*

Giannine María G. Pistilli
Bernal
*Secretaría de Género
del Poder Judicial*

Rodolfo G. Vouga
*Vouga & Olmedo
Abogados*

PERU

*Superintendencia
Nacional de
Administración
Tributaria (SUNAT)*

Diego Abeo
Estudio Olaechea

José Balta
*Rodrigo, Elías &
Medrano Abogados*

Claudia Cermeño
*Rodrigo, Elías &
Medrano Abogados*

Lilibeth Cienfuegos
Quiñones & Cia.

Katherine Durand
*Ministerio de Trabajo y
Promoción del Empleo*

Víctor Ferro
*Estudio Rubio Leguía
Normand*

Clea Guerra Romero
*Centro de la Mujer
Peruana Flora Tristan*

Francisco Ibazeta

Fiorella Patricia Morey
Rotalde
*Estudio Morey & Morey
Rotalde Abogados SAC*

Pía Olea Ubillús
Genderlab

Valeria Osorio
*Estudio Miranda &
Amado*

Lucianna Polar
Estudio Olaechea

Javier Fernando
Quiñones
Quiñones & Cia.

Angel Ramirez Chavez
*Estudio Rubio Leguía
Normand*

Liliana Pilar Tsuboyama
Shiohama
KPMG

Cristina Valega

Marcela Valencia
Mostajo
*Estudio Rubio Leguía
Normand*

Lidia Vilchez Garcés
*Estudio Ferrero
Abogados*

PHILIPPINES

*Freshfields Bruckhaus
Deringer*

Maya Abdullah
Saligan

Irene Caballes
*Legal Alternatives for
Women Inc.*

Kathrine Celine Castro
*MOSVELDTT Law
Offices*

Maria Patricia
Cervantes-Poco
*Ateneo de Manila
University School of Law*

Johanna Celine Mari A.
Chan
*Angara Abello
Concepcion Regala &
Cruz Law Offices*

Kenneth Chua
*Quisumbing Torres,
Member Firm of
Baker & McKenzie*

Leslie Dy
*SyCip Salazar
Hernandez & Gatmaitan*

Danielle Joanna Gaité
*Quisumbing Torres,
Member Firm of
Baker & McKenzie*

Keisha Trina Guangko
*MOSVELDTT Law
Offices*

Marie Hazel Lavitoria
Saligan

Joy Anne C.
Leong-Pambid
*Angara Abello
Concepcion Regala &
Cruz Law Offices*

Gilyen Ezra Marie L. Li
*Angara Abello
Concepcion Regala &
Cruz Law Offices*

Alfredo B. Molo III
MOSVELDTT Law Offices

Amparita Sta. Maria
*Ateneo de Manila
University School of Law*

Justin D.J. Sucgang
*De La Salle University-
College of Law*

POLAND

Michał Balcerzak

Dominika Dörre-Kolasa
Raczkowski sp.k.

Anna
Glogowska-Balcerzak

Monika Kamińska

Elizaveta Kapitonova

Anna Masiota
*Masiota-Adwokaci i
Radcowie Prawni*

Marcin Menkes
Queritius

Grzegorz Piliszek
Lawyer

Agnieszka Paulina
Regiec

Adrian Szutkiewicz
Raczkowski sp.k.

PORTUGAL

Telma Carvalho
*Cuatrecasas, Gonçalves
Pereira*

Conceição Cunha
*Universidade Catolica
Portuguesa, Faculdade
de Direito, Porto*

Maria da Glória Leitão
*Cuatrecasas, Gonçalves
Pereira*

Catarina de Oliveira
Carvalho
*Universidade Catolica
Portuguesa, Faculdade
de Direito, Porto*

Cláudia dos Santos Silva
Cuatrecasas, Gonçalves Pereira

Claudia Duarte-Sousa
Latham & Watkins

Elisabete Ferreira
Universidade Catolica Portuguesa, Faculdade de Direito, Porto

Rita Lobo Xavier
Universidade Católica Portuguesa, Porto

Stéfanie Luz
Caiado Guerreiro–Sociedade de Advogados, SP, RL

Tânia Pinheiro
Caiado Guerreiro–Sociedade de Advogados, SP, RL

Paula Santos Silva
Associação de Mulheres Contra a Violência (AMCV)

Maria Shearman de Macedo
Associação de Mulheres Contra a Violência (AMCV)

PUERTO RICO (US)

White & Case LLP

Zulmarie Alverio Ramos
Departamento de Justicia de Puerto Rico

Odemarís Chacon
Estrella LLC

Rafael Díaz-González

Carolene Fontanet Smith

Mariana Muñoz-Lara
DLA Piper

Janice del Rosario Rodríguez-Zayas
Oficina de Ética Gubernamental

QATAR

The Law Clinic at Qatar University College of Law

Mekki Abbas Mekki
Ahmed Mohamednoor Al Mushiri Law Office

Hamzeh M. Abdelhady
Al-Khalifa Law Firm

Michel Abi Saab
Allen & Overy

Ahmed Al-Mushiri
Ahmed Mohamednoor Al Mushiri Law Office

Muhammad Ameen Hashim
Al Sulaiti Law Firm

Kirk Durrant
DWF Law

Rana Elbashir
Sultan Al-Abdulla & Partners

Buthaina Elgahani
Sultan Al-Abdulla & Partners

Sarra Eljaili
Sultan Al-Abdulla & Partners

Mohamed Fouad
Sultan Al-Abdulla & Partners

Maha Lawson
Simmons & Simmons Middle East LLP

Moonira Mamoon
Sultan Al-Abdulla & Partners

Mohammad Manauar
Al-Khalifa Law Firm

Carmen Marquez Luzardo
DWF Law

Elias Matni
Simmons & Simmons Middle East LLP

Mohamed Y. Mattar

Fahad Mohd Al-Ansari
Fahad Al-Ansari Law Firm

Michael Palmer
Squire Patton Boggs LLP

Omar Qouteshat
Sultan Al-Abdulla & Partners

Andrew Wingfield
Simmons & Simmons Middle East LLP

ROMANIA

Diana Andrei
CERHA HEMPEL SPRL

Andra Badulescu
CERHA HEMPEL SPRL

Alexandra Columban
Equality and Human Rights Action Centre (ACTEDO)

Ileana Glodeanu
Wolf Theiss

Adelina Iftime-Blagean
Wolf Theiss

Mircea Milos
Covasna County Police Inspectorate

Mirela Nathanzon
CERHA HEMPEL SPRL

Vlad Neacsu
Popovici Nițu Stoica & Asociații

Diana Ștețiu
Wolf Theiss

RUSSIAN FEDERATION

Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer

Maryana Batalova
Dechert LLP

Pavel Dunaev
Dechert LLP

Valery Getmanenko
Baker & McKenzie

Evgenia Korotkova
Dechert LLP

Elena Kukushkina
Baker & McKenzie

Alexander Nurik
The Centre for Social and Labour Rights (CSLR)

Olga Renova
Forward Legal

Tatiana Shlenchakova
Dechert LLP

Yulianna Vertinskaya
GE Renewable Sector

RWANDA

Human Rights First Rwanda Association

Pamela Abbott
University of Aberdeen

Mary Alice Bamusiime

Donatha Gihana
Consultant

Zziwa Herbert
Lavoix Advocates

Désiré Kamanzi
ENSAfrica Rwanda

Andrews Kananga
The Legal Aid Forum

Doreen Karehe
ENSAfrica Rwanda

Susan Kayisanabo
ENSAfrica Rwanda

Penelope Kwarikunda
Lawyer

Janviere Mukantwali
UN Women

Nadine Mumporeze

Norbert N. Munana
M-Advocates & Partners

Mary Musoni
The Legal Aid Forum

Marie Françoise Umutoni
Consultant

Emma Carine Uwantege
UN Women

SAMOA

Lina Chang
Samoa Victim Support Group (SVSG)

Tima Leavai
Leavai Law

Suisala Mele Maualaivao
UN Women

Tomasi Peni
International Labour Organization

Adi Tafunai
Women in Business Development

SAN MARINO

Confederazione Sammarinese del Lavoro

Luciano Angelini
University of Urbino Carlo Bo

Irene Avaldi
Studio Legalte Taurini e Hazan

Alessandro Bugli
Studio Legalte Taurini e Hazan

Michele Chiaruzzi
Research Centre for International Relations at the University of San Marino

Elena D'Amelio
*Research Centre for
International Relations
at the University of San
Marino*

Emanuela Montanari

Enrica Zenato
*Studio Legale Adv.
Enrica Zenato*

SÃO TOMÉ AND PRÍNCIPE

Sofia Chaves

Dulcinea Correia
LM & Associados

Marina Costa Cabral
*VdA–Vieira de Almeida
& Associados*

Filipa Duarte Gonçalves
*Miranda Correia
Amendoeira &
Associados, Sociedade
de Advogados RL*

Maria Figueiredo
*Miranda Correia
Amendoeira &
Associados, Sociedade
de Advogados RL*

Geneleyse Franca e
Lagos
STP Counsel

Monteiro Luicelio
LM & Associados

Helena Manoel Viana
*VdA–Vieira de Almeida
& Associados*

Sofia Martins
*Miranda Correia
Amendoeira &
Associados, Sociedade
de Advogados RL*

Vanessa Matos Mendes
*PLMJ Legal Network–
Mozambique Desk*

Luicelio Monteiro
LM & Associados

Virna Neves
*VdA–Vieira de Almeida &
Associados*

Americo Oliveira
Fregoso
*VdA–Vieira de Almeida &
Associados*

Catarina Veiga Ribeiro
*Miranda Correia
Amendoeira &
Associados, Sociedade
de Advogados RL*

Sandra Saraiva
*PLMJ Legal Network–
Mozambique Desk*

Joe Teixeira
LM & Associados

Bruno Xavier de Pina

SAUDI ARABIA

Amena Ali
*The Law Firm of Wael
A. Alissa in association
with Dentons & Co.*

Basem AlMaghthawi
AlMaghthawi & Partners

Yara Alsaiedi
AlMaghthawi & Partners

Tarek A. Alsohabani
Sohaibani Law Firm

Alia Al Sudairy
Allen & Overy LLP

Alia Alsudairy
Allen & Overy LLP

Julian Johansen
Allen & Overy LLP

Azzam Khouj
*Law Office of Azzam
Faisal Khouj*

Deniz Kozakci
Alsohabani
Sohaibani Law Firm

Fadi Obiadat
*Law Office of Azzam
Faisal Khouj*

Faris Qadi
*Law Office of Azzam
Faisal Khouj*

SENEGAL

Mohamed Abdallah
Dieng
*Géni & Kébé SCP
d'Avocats*

Ouseynou S. Damien
Aw
*Géni & Kébé SCP
d'Avocats*

Seny Samya Coly
*Cabinet Maître Cheikh
Fall*

Aby Diallo
*Association des Juristes
Sénégalaises*

Soukeyna Ndao Diallo
*Banque Mondiale
Senegal*

Mactar Diassi
*Etude Maître Mactar
Dassi*

Ibrahima Dieng
ALPHADEV

Alioune Dione
AFreeMAction

Ndeye Coumba Gueye
Ka
*Association des Juristes
Sénégalaises*

Diakhaté Mor
ALPHADEV

Mame Coumba Ngom
COFINA Sénégal

Ababacar Sadikh Niang
*Tribunal Regional de
Tambacounda*

Aby Sane
*Ministère de la Femme
de l'Enfance et de
l'Entreprenariat Féminin*

Djiby Seidy
*Géni & Kébé SCP
d'Avocats*

SERBIA

Mirjana Antic
Lawyer

Olga Cvejić Jančić
*University Educons–
Sremska Kamenica,
Faculty of European
Legal and Political
Studies–Novi Sad*

Marija Jovanovic
*Law office of Marija N.
Jovanovic*

Jelena Kuveljic Dmitric
Law Offices JKD

Ana Popović
*Živković Samardžić Law
Office*

Aleksandra Ristić
Law Office Milošević

Balsa Stevanovic

Nataša Stojnov
Momčilović & Stojnov

Jovana Tomić
*Caković/Tomić–CT
Legal*

SEYCHELLES

Natasha Burian
Judiciary of Seychelles

Vanessa Gill
Georges & Co. Attorneys

Jyotika Kaushik

Larah Michaud
Lawyer

Malcolm Moller
Appleby

Vanessa Nicette
*Pardiwalla Twomey
Lablache*

Manuella Parmantier
*Parmantier Law
Chamber*

SIERRA LEONE

Abu Bakarr Tarawalie

Amy A. Betts-Priddy
GPk Legal

Aisha-Maria Gringel

Francis Kaifala
*University of Sierra
Leone*

Saramba Kandeh
*Marrah and Associates
Law Firm*

Abdul Karim Koroma
*Yada Williams &
Associates, Barristers
and Solicitors*

Mariam Koroma
*Marrah and Associates
Law Firm*

Victor Moinina
*Forum for the
Development of Young
People*

Fatmata Sorie
*Legal Access through
Women Yearning for
Equality Rights and
Social Justice*

SINGAPORE

Kokila Annamalai

Georgia Dawson
*Freshfields Bruckhaus
Deringer*

Nithya Devi

Wilson Foo Yu Kang
Trident Law Corporation

Tracy Gani
Rajah & Tann Asia LLP

Li Sian Goh
*Association of Women
for Action & Research
(AWARE)*

Tanaya Kinjacdekar
Trident Law Corporation

Shreya Kittur
Allen & Gledhill LLP

May Ling Lee
Allen & Gledhill LLP

Belle Lim
*Association of Women
for Action & Research
(AWARE)*

Corinna Lim
*Association of Women
for Action & Research
(AWARE)*

Bernice Loo
Allen & Gledhill LLP

Michael Low
Crossbows LLP

Paroma Ray
*Association of Women
for Action & Research
(AWARE)*

Ashley Robson
Latham & Watkins LLP

Chee Ho See
University of Bristol

Samantha Tan
*Freshfields Bruckhaus
Deringer*

Christine Tee
Allen & Gledhill LLP

Francis Xavier
Rajah & Tann Asia LLP

SLOVAK REPUBLIC

*CMS Reich-Rohrwig
Hainz s.r.o.*

Jana Bugárová
Zahradníková SK s.r.o.

Miroslava Dobrotková
AK Dobrotková s. r. o.

Stanislav Durica
Squire Patton Boggs

Zuzana Faktorová
Zahradníková SK s.r.o.

Dominika Gornařová
Bartošík Šváby SRO

Daniela Ježová
Lawyer

Veronika Miskovicova
Latham & Watkins

Zuzana Ocenasova
*Coordination and
Methodological
Centre for Prevention
of Violence against
Women*

Viera Petrasova
Attorney-at-Law

Igor Šváby
Bartošík Šváby SRO

Richard Svocák
Squire Patton Boggs

Jana Trangelová
BPV Braun Partners

Jarmila Zahradníková
Zahradníková SK s.r.o.

SLOVENIA

Fatur Menard Law Firm

Nika Bosnič
*Odvetniki Šelih &
Partnerji*

Helena Butolen
*Odvetniki Šelih &
Partnerji*

Suzana Kraljić
*University of Maribor,
Faculty of Law*

Katarina Kresal
*Law Firm Miro Senica
and Attorneys d.o.o.*

Sara Makovec
*Law Office Jadek &
Pensa*

Luka Mišič
*University of Ljubljana,
Faculty of Law*

Biljana Pejić
*Law Firm Miro Senica
and Attorneys d.o.o.*

Iris Pensa
*Law Office Jadek &
Pensa*

Primož Rataj
*University of Ljubljana,
Faculty of Law*

Nina Šelih
*Odvetniki Šelih &
Partnerji*

Darja Senčur Peček
*University of Maribor,
Faculty of Law*

Boštjan Špec
*Odvetniška družba Špec
o.p. d.o.o.*

Žiga Sternad
*Law Firm Miro Senica
and Attorneys d.o.o.*

Grega Strban
*University of Ljubljana,
Faculty of Law*

Spela Veselic
*Association SOS
Help-line for Women
and Children Victims of
Violence*

SOLOMON ISLANDS

McChesney Ale
Rano & Company

Tearo Beneteti
*Solomon Islands
Magistrates Court*

Jennifer Corrin
*The University of
Queensland*

Louise Paulsen Hiele
*Save the Children
Australia*

Jennifer Radford
*Legal and Safeguards
Consultant*

SOMALIA

Ahmed Abdi
Catholic Relief Services

Wilo Abdulle
*Ministry of Women
and Human Rights
Development*

Caroline Agalheir
Catholic Relief Services

Amina Haji Ahmed

Farhia Ahmed
*Save Somali Women
and Children*

Hiba Ilyas Ahmed
Simad University

Zahra Mohamed Ahmed

Habiba Haji Jimale

SOUTH AFRICA

*Department of Justice
and Constitutional
Development*

Alex Cullinan
*Allen & Overy (South
Africa) LLP*

Chantelle de Sousa
*Chantelle de Sousa
Attorneys*

Sian Gaffney
Bowmans

Katy Hindle

Tarsha Jenneker
*Allen & Overy (South
Africa) LLP*

Hester Kemp
Kemp Attorneys

Chantal Kur
*The Mediation Clinic
and Divorce Mediations*

Elaine Langa
*Allen & Overy (South
Africa) LLP*

Nkosi Lolah
*University of
Johannesburg*

Kandala Lupwana Jean
Jacques
*University of
Johannesburg*

Mark Mansell
*Allen & Overy (South
Africa) LLP*

Lusanda Raphulu
Bowmans

Lionel Shawe
*Allen & Overy (South
Africa) LLP*

Sheena Swemmer
*University of the
Witwatersrand*

Jennifer
White-Callaghan
*Allen & Overy (South
Africa) LLP*

SOUTH SUDAN

Lindsey Ayuk-Takor
Forcier Consulting

Kwany Dau Dan
Nurture South Sudan

Josephine Drama
Steward Women

Evelyn Edroma
*United Nations
Development
Programme*

Mogga Emmanuel
Case Advocates LLP

Kuyang Harriet Logo

Wani Jumi
Case Advocates LLP

Mambo Leonard
Hact South Sudan

Mariam Musa
Steward Women

Vicent Museke
*United Nations
Development
Programme*

Romano Taban
Lawyer

Tarkuo Weah
*United Nations
Development
Programme*

Monalisa Zatzirua
UN Women

SPAIN

Blanca Ballester
Casarella
*Universitat Oberta de
Catalunya (UOC)*

Mario Barros García
Uría Menéndez

Enrichetta Sandra Bellini
Fornera
*Business and
Professional Women
International (BPWI)*

Ignasi Beltran de
Heredia Ruiz
*Universitat Oberta de
Catalunya (UOC)*

Maria Susana Fernandez
Iglesias
Susana Fdez Abogados

Antonio
Fernández García
*Universitat Oberta de
Catalunya (UOC)*

Marta García
Mandaloniz
*Universidad Carlos III de
Madrid*

Maria Josefa García
Tamargo
Norte Abogados

María López Garayalde
Ashurst LLP

Raquel Mendieta
Grande
Ashurst LLP

Eugenia Revilla Esteve
*Universitat Oberta de
Catalunya (UOC)*

Isabel Rodríguez León
Uría Menéndez

Carlos Rogel Vide
*Universidad
Complutense de Madrid*

Janaína (Jana) Telles
Daniel Toscani
Universitat de València

Aura Esther Vilalta
Nicuesa
*Universitat Oberta de
Catalunya (UOC)*

SRI LANKA

Hansi Abayaratne
D.L. & F. De Saram

Rochelle Ariyawansa
Chamber 32B

Kithmini Aviruppola

Savantha De Saram
D.L. & F. De Saram

Ruwan Dias
Chamber 32B

Sandali Fernando
Chamber 32B

Ramani Jayasundere
The Asia Foundation

Ruvini Katugaha

Ramani
Muttettuwegama
Tiruchelvam Associates

Dunya Peiris
D.L. & F. De Saram

Anjalee Perera

Palitha Perera
Chamber 32B

Gishani Rajaneththi
Tiruchelvam Associates

Heshika Rupasinghe
Tiruchelvam Associates

Ratnam Usha Saraniya
*John Wilson Partners,
Attorneys-at-Law &
Notaries Public*

Shiran Widanapathirana

John Wilson
*John Wilson Partners,
Attorneys-at-Law &
Notaries Public*

ST. KITTS AND NEVIS

Brian J. Barnes
Daniel Brantley

Keinya Blake Gordon
*Blake Ferguson Law
Office*

Jennifer Harding-Marlin
JH Marlin Law

Angelina Sookoo-Bobb
*Law Offices of Sylvester
Anthony*

Rohan Walters
*Government of St. Kitts
and Nevis*

ST. LUCIA

Michelle Anthony-Desir
*Du Boulay, Anthony &
Co.*

Mary Juliana Charles

Samantha Charles

Maureen John-Xavier
*Chambers of John &
John Inc.*

Renee St. Rose

Terentia
Toussaint-Carroll

Leandra Gabrielle
Verneuil
*Leandra Verneuil
Chambers*

ST. VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES

Heidi Badenock

Rene M. Baptiste
*Baptiste & Co. Law
Firm Inc.*

Moureeze Franklyn
*Baptiste & Co. Law
Firm Inc.*

LaKeisha K. John-Farrell
Dentons

Lanthea Leigterwood

Nelcia
Marshall-Robinson
*Committee for the
Development of Women
(CEW)*

Colleen McDonald
Family Court

Jeanie Ollivierre
Marion House

Samantha Robertson
*St. Vincent & the
Grenadines Human
Rights Association*

Beverly Thomas
Richards

Kezron Walters
*Solicitor & Crown
Counsel*

Silma Wilson McLean
*National Workers'
Movement*

SUDAN

Arwa Abdaldaim
AIH Law Firm

Zeinab Abdalla
*Said Al Shahry Law
Office (SASLO)*

Mohamed Abdeen
Abdeen & Co. Law Firm

Abdelrahman Al Gasim
Darfur Bar Association

Yassir Ali
AIH Law Firm

Ayat Barsi
Abdeen & Co. Law Firm

Shukri Dawi Mohamed
Daoud
Shukri Dawi Law Firm

Amel Taha
Lawyer

SURINAME

Yvonne Baal
*Anton de Kom
University of Suriname*

Serena Essed
Schurman Advocaten

Merlin Gout
M.A. Gout

Charline Hoever

Truijiah Kensmil
*Essed & Sohansingh
Law Firm*

Iris Nazir
*Essed & Sohansingh
Law Firm*

SWEDEN

White & Case LLP

Amanda Alwall
Linklaters LLP

Malin Arentoft
Advokatfirman Vinge KB

Fanny Berggren
Setterwalls

Geraldine R. Bjallerstedt
*Independent Gender
Specialist*

Pia Björstrand
*Advokatbyrån Omnia
AB*

Bayo Callender
The Callender Group

Asa Erlandsson
Setterwalls

Ylva Haraldsdotter
*National Centre for
Knowledge on Men's
Violence Against
Women (NCK)*

Viktoria Hybbinette
Wistrand Law Firm

Maria Kostaska
Fägerquist
Wistrand Law Firm

Chrystal Kunosson
*National Centre for
Knowledge on Men's
Violence Against
Women (NCK)*

John Lundberg
Moll Wenden

Oskar Paladini
Linklaters LLP

Ebba Perman Borg
Linklaters LLP

Llorene Grace
Razo-Ompod

Anders Söderlind
Setterwalls

Ebba Walberg Snygg
Moll Wenden

SWITZERLAND

Jeanine Bopp
Bär & Karrer AG

Jacques Douzals
University of Fribourg

Cora Grannemann
Latham & Watkins

Valentin Jentsch
University of Zurich

Nils Kapferer
Bureau de l'Egalité

Arnaud Nussbaumer
University of Fribourg

Roxane Pedrazzini
LALIVE

Nadège Porta
*Terre des Hommes
Foundation (Lausanne)*

Emilie Praz

Gaëlle Sauthier
University of Geneva

Céline Squaratti

Martina Steiner
Baker McKenzie

Sandrine Studer
Bär & Karrer AG

Beatriz Vera
Lawyer

Mischa Zenklusen
Bär & Karrer AG

Kate Zhu
Latham & Watkins

SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC

Waeel AlJashaam
Ishammary

Mouayad Al-Maghribi
Osous Law Firm

Alaa Alsrouji
Lawyer

Ahmad Atallah
Osous Law Firm

Mouhamad Bash
Osous Law Firm

Dina Hassan
Mazen Khaddour
*International Legal
Bureau*

Mouhamad Raed
Shaaban

Faissal Sharabati
*Sharabati, Jabi &
Soumaya Attorneys
at Law*

Dana Soumaya
*Sharabati, Jabi &
Soumaya Attorneys
at Law*

TAIWAN, CHINA

Tsar & Tsai Law Firm

Shu-Chien Chen
*Erasmus University
Rotterdam*

Yuki Chiang
*Lee, Tsai & Partners,
Attorneys-At-Law*

Chuang Chiaoju
*Themis
Attorneys-at-Law*

Yu-Shiou (Clarence)
Chou
Chen & Chou Law Firm

Elva Chuang
*Lee, Tsai & Partners,
Attorneys-At-Law*

Shih-Chao Chueh
Oasis Law

Hsin-Yun Han
*Asus Computer
International*

Hung Hsieh
Pontis Law

Chung-Teh Lee
*Lee, Tsai & Partners,
Attorneys-At-Law*

Elizabeth Pai
*Lee, Tsai & Partners,
Attorneys-At-Law*

Yen-Fun Shih
Presicarre Corporation

TAJIKISTAN

Khujanazar Aslamshoev
*Infrastructure
Consulting LLC*

Zouhal Avzalchoeva

Farhad Azizov
AAA Law Offices

Tovus Khonikboeva
AAA Law Offices

Alisher Khoshimov
Centil Law Firm

Kamoliddin
Mukhamedov
GRATA International

Bahodur Nurov
GRATA International

Sarvinov Salomzoda
Centil Law Firm

Kanat Seidaliev
GRATA International

Zuhro Sharipova

Sherzod Sodatkadamov
*Nazrisha & Mirzoev Law
Firm LLC*

Siyavush Zakirov
AAA Law Offices

TANZANIA

Alexander
Buckeridge-Hocking
Latham & Watkins

Njile Bwana
KKB Attorneys-at-Law

Abdon David

Mansi Gadhvi

Alkarim Hasham

Jacqueline Hima
KKB Attorneys-at-Law

Frank Kifunda
KKB Attorneys-at-Law

Maria Mutui
*Women's Development
Initiative Tanzania*

Alice Mutungi
CRB Africa Legal

Cyril Pesha
CRB Africa Legal

Shreya Ramesh
Latham & Watkins

Brian Rwechungura
CRB Africa Legal

Charles Rwechungura
CRB Africa Legal

Mectrida Rweyemamu

Magdalena Thomas
Oxfam

Amber Tope
Latham & Watkins

Faudhia Yassin
*Golden Triange
Associate*

THAILAND

*Freshfields Bruckhaus
Deringer*

Suchaya
Adulratananukul
*Siam Premier
International Law Office
Limited*

Jakkarin Bantathong
Baker McKenzie

Artima Brikhasri
*Blumenthal Richter &
Sumet*

Chatchavej Chitvarakorn
*Siam Premier
International Law Office
Limited*

Nicharee Dejakaisaya
*Siam Premier
International Law Office
Limited*

Pormpong Denchock
Linklaters LLP

Khun Farah
Anglo-Thai Legal

John P. Formichella
*Blumenthal Richter &
Sumet*

Khun Hannah
Anglo-Thai Legal

Naytiwut Jamallsawat
Blumenthal Richter & Sumet

Noparat Kaewsringarm
Allen & Overy

Dusita Khanijou
Tilleke & Gibbins

Onnicha Khongthong
Blumenthal Richter & Sumet

Rada Lamsam
Siam Premier International Law Office Limited

John Lewis
Anglo-Thai Legal

Patsharamon Manathat
Linklaters LLP

Somporn Manodamrongtham
Chandler MHM Limited

Sutada Mekrungruengkul
National Human Rights Commission of Thailand

William Nophakoon
Baker McKenzie

Nitchakarn Pongcharoen
Linklaters LLP

Pattarapreya Sangsawang
Linklaters LLP

Derik Schmidt
Tilleke & Gibbins

Prachaya Sriwatcharodom
Linklaters

Vitita Subhawatt
Allen & Overy

Khun Thongchai
Anglo-Thai Legal

Juthamart Vacher
Linklaters LLP

Pimvimol (June) Vipamaneerut
Tilleke & Gibbins

Kanokon Worachanyawong
Siam Premier International Law Office Limited

Khun Xhulio
Anglo-Thai Legal

TIMOR-LESTE

Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer

Rui Andrade
VdA-Vieira de Almeida & Associados

Mariana Baptista Borges

Tiago Cochofel de Azevedo
VdA-Vieira de Almeida & Associados

Marina Costa Cabral
VdA-Vieira de Almeida & Associados

Neolanda Flores
Abreu Advogados and C&C Advogados

Gonçalo Neves Lestro
Elisa Pereira
Abreu Advogados and C&C Advogados

Catarina Pinto Correia
VdA-Vieira de Almeida & Associados

TOGO

Kékéli Edo Agboli
Tribunal de Commerce de Lomé

Godwin Têko Seyram Amenyinu
SCP Toble & Associés

Dodji Elvire Assogbavi-Atsu

Akodah Ayewouadan
University of Lomé

Pimom Charlotte Fawiye

Mayi Gnofam
Programme d'Appui à la Femme et à l'Enfance Dëshéritée (PAFED)

Rouki Kegbero épouse Kloutse
Tribunal de Lomé

Biava Kodjo Kloutse
Université de Kara

Akpene Kpegba
Martial Akakpo et Associés

P. Kafui Elome Rachel Molley
Organisation Nationale d'Appui au Développement (ONAD)

Adoudé Tounou-Gblodzo
Groupe de Réflexion et d'Action Femme, Démocratie et Développement (GF2D/ CRIFF)

Komla Yovo
Flores Services

TONGA

Clive Edwards
Edwards Law

Fitilagi Fa'anunu
Tonga Legal Aid Center

Linda Folaumoetu'i
Attorney General's Office of Tonga

TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO

Chanelle Aching
Beckhan Chambers

Karinna Cavita Alexander
Organization for Abused and Battered Individuals (OABI)

Asa Archie

Sherna Alexander Benjamin
Organization for Abused and Battered Individuals (OABI)

Akhail Khan

Ariana Krishinge
Pollonais, Blanc, de la Bastide and Jacelon

Leonora Le Saldo
Organization for Abused and Battered Individuals (OABI)

Umesh Nandalal
Deloitte & Touche

Ranjana Rambachan
Pollonais, Blanc, de la Bastide and Jacelon

Maria Santiago-Valentin
Center for Building Resilient Communities (CDRC)

Sparkle Selman

Rachel Esther Alexander Shade
Organization for Abused and Battered Individuals (OABI)

TUNISIA

Aïda Beji Kallel
Chambre Nationale des Femmes Chefs d'Entreprise

Abdessatar Ben Moussa
Ligue Tunisienne des Droits de l'Homme

Hela Ben Salem

Mahmoud Anis Bettaieb
Cabinet d'Avocats Bettaieb

Béchir Ghachem
GLA

Wael Haffar
Lawyer

Safa Haouel
Cabinet d'Avocats Bettaieb

Amel Mejri

Azaiz Sammoud
Lawyer

TURKEY

Duygu Alkan
Mavioglu & Alkan Law Office (ADMD)

Selin Beceni
BTS & Partners

Burcu Çınar
BTS & Partners

Elif Demiroz-Tan
Çakmak Attorneys-at-Law

Ilayda Dogan
Mavioglu & Alkan Law Office (ADMD)

Emine Dundar
Lawyer

Egemen Egemenoglu
Egemenoglu Law Firm

Elvin Egemenoglu
Egemenoglu Law Firm

Irmak Ergin
Norton Rose Fulbright LLP

Selçuk Esenyeş
Esenyeş & Partners Lawyers and Consultants

Ayşe Nur Gedik
Kamer Foundation

Nilsun Gürsoy
Lawyer

Ekin Inal
*Inal Unver Attorney
Partnership*

Yavuz Iskit
*Inal Unver Attorney
Partnership*

Selcan Koçak
*Esenyel & Partners
Lawyers and
Consultants*

Fikret Taha Kursun
*Kurşun & Kourou Law
Firm*

Kardelen Lule
*Mavioglu & Alkan Law
Office (ADMD)*

Mert Mustecaplioglu
*Inal Unver Attorney
Partnership*

Yeşeren Sözüer
BTS & Partners

Dilara Tamtürk
*Mavioglu & Alkan Law
Office (ADMD)*

Musa Toprak
Terra Law Firm

Zeynep Ünlü
BTS & Partners

Turker Yildirim
*Esenyel & Partners
Lawyers and
Consultants*

Serap Zuvin
*Cakmak
Attorneys-at-Law*

UGANDA

Brenda Peace Amito
*Tulane International
(TILLC)*

Lillian Andama
*Justice and
Constitutional Affairs
Ministry*

David Akky Batema
Ndikabona
High Court of Uganda

Kulia Angela Lucy Izama

Charles Kalumiya
*Kampala Associated
Advocates*

Elizabeth Kemigisha
FIDA Uganda

Sarah Khainza
*Capital Markets
Authority*

Brigitte Kusiima Sendi
*Shonubi, Musoke & Co.
Advocates*

Bernard Mukasa
Ortus Advocates

Edline Eva Murungi

Agnes Nabaggala
Akena
*Shonubi, Musoke & Co.
Advocates*

Sheila Christine
Nabbale
*Shonubi, Musoke & Co.
Advocates*

Brenda Nakibira

Doreen Nawaali
*Masembe, Makubuya,
Adriko, Karugaba &
Ssekatawa Advocates*

UKRAINE

Kateryna Borozdina
*International Women's
Rights Center La
Strada-Ukraine*

Maria Dmytrieva
Feminism UA

Yulia Eismont
Kinstellar Ukraine

Kateryna Ilikchiieva
*Kyiv National
Economics University*

Olena Koptieva
*International
Development
Organization (IDLO)*

Olena Kuchynska
Kinstellar Ukraine

Roman Mohyla
*Law Offices of Roman
Mohyla*

Volodymyr
Monastyrskyy
Dentons

Oleksandra Piskun
Dentons

Olga Prosyanyuk
AVER LEX

Andriy Tsvytkov
*Attorneys' Association
Gestors*

Oksana Zakharchenko
*Attorneys' Association
Gestors*

UNITED ARAB EMIRATES

Amal Afifi
Afifi Law Office

Maitha Al Hashimi
Al Tamimi & Company

Taiba Al Saffar
Al Tamimi & Company

Farah El Yacoubi
Allen & Overy

Stefania Franchini

Diana Hamade
*Diana Hamade
Attorneys-at-Law*

Aqsa Khan Sadiq
Taylor Wessing

Sara Khoja
Clyde & Co.

Ahmed Nofal
*Ahmed Ibrahim Law
Office*

Zisha Rizvi
STA Law Firm

Moustafa Seddik
Bayat Legal Services

Sheena Shibu
Axiom Telecom

Sarit Thomas
Clyde & Co.

UNITED KINGDOM

Helen Almond
*Addleshaw Goddard
LLP*

Bilyana Belcheva
*Shearman & Sterling
LLP*

Chloe-Jane Belton
Dechert LLP

Emily Bodger
Ashurst LLP

Ruth Buchanan
Ashurst LLP

Honza Cervenka
Mcallister Olivarius

Jennifer Ellis
Milbank LLP

Jasmine Henricson
The AIRE Centre

Sandeep Kaur
Milbank LLP

Michael Leftley
*Addleshaw Goddard
LLP*

Jade Levin
Dechert LLP

Klaudia Mach
Milbank LLP

Collette O'Connor

Markella Papadoulis

Saloni Patel
*Shearman & Sterling
LLP*

Jeremy Townend
JLWT Consultancy

UNITED STATES

Freshfields Bruckhaus
Deringer LLP

Sanctuary for Families

Artemis Anninos
*Cahill Gordon & Reindel
LLP*

Jessica Childress
The Childress Firm PLLC

John Chtchekine
*Cahill Gordon & Reindel
LLP*

Adam Czernikiewicz
Latham & Watkins LLP

Jacquelyn Foster
Lawyer

Robin Graine
Graine Mediation

Allison Ianni
EPT Legal

Soumyashree
Meesaraganda
Lawyer

Elena
Paraskevas-Thadani
EPT Legal

Xueqing Shan
*Shearman & Sterling
LLP*

Celia Vilas Sherman
*Cahill Gordon & Reindel
LLP*

Anna Stockamore
*Shearman & Sterling
LLP*

URUGUAY

Leticia Barrios
Bergstein Abogados

Noemi Caballero
*Estudio Bado, Kuster,
Zerbino & Rachetti*

Alvaro Carrau
*Estudio Bado, Kuster,
Zerbino & Rachetti*

Edgardo Centurión
Mallarino
Ateneo Abogados

Guillermo Duarte
Bergstein Abogados

Mariana Fernandez
*Posdas Posadas y
Vecino*

Cecilia Halty
Ferrere Abogados

Ignacio Lopez
Ateneo Abogados

Mariana Pisón
Bergstein Abogados

Verónica Raffo
Ferrere Abogados

Bruna Royol
Bergstein Abogados

Agustin Texo
*Estudio Bado, Kuster,
Zerbino & Rachetti*

Pablo Varela
Pérez-Llorca

UZBEKISTAN

Khusniddin
Abdulfattokhov
GRATA International

Dilfuza Abulkhasan

Nadina Akhmedova
GRATA International

Jamiyla Kaipbergenova
Kinstellar

Muborak Kambarova
Kinstellar

Diyyorbek Kimsanov
*Xushnubek & Partners
LLC*

Mariya Koluseva

Dilfuza Kurolova

Irina Matvienko
Nemolchi.Uz

Azamat Salaev

Mukhabbat Turkmenova

Faina Yagafarova

Nodir Yuldashev
GRATA International

Salimjon Yusupov
Kinstellar

VANUATU

Geoffrey Gee
Geoffrey Gee & Partners

Stephanie Mahuk
Geoffrey Gee & Partners

So'oletaua Motuliki
Geoffrey Gee & Partners

Jin Wen
Geoffrey Gee & Partners

Betty Zinner-Toa
UN Women

VENEZUELA, RB

Betty Gabriela
Rodríguez Cruz
Amazona Foundation

Laura Elina Guevara
Pirrongell

Carlos Lusverti
*Universidad Católica
Andrés Bello*

Wilder Márquez Romero

Jesus Milano Martínez
Labor Gestión de Gente

Maria Corina Muskus Toro

Liliana Ortega Mendoza
COFATIC

María Cristina Parra de
Rojas
*Escritorio Jurídico
Rojas & Parra SC*

Edward Perez
*Universidad Católica
Andrés Bello*

Barbara Puglisi
*Presidencia de la
Asamblea Nacional de
Venezuela*

Carlos E. Rivera Salazar
Labor Gestión de Gente

Ricardo Rojas Gaona
*ENTRA IP / Rojas
Gaona & Bandres Law
Firm*

Leonardo Salamanca
Anzola
S&G Consultores SAS

Karla A. Subero P.
Lawyer

VIETNAM

Huong Bui
RHTLaw Vietnam

Thi Huong Giang Dam
*Honor Partnership Law
Company Limited*

Vi Dang
RHTLaw Vietnam

Nhi Le
Rajah & Tann

Nguyen Le Khanh
Kelvin Chia Partnership

Ha Nguyen
Lexcomm Vietnam

Hai Thao Nguyen
Mayer Brown JSM

Tien Lap Nguyen
NH Quang & Associates

Tieu My Nguyen
*Honor Partnership Law
Company Limited*

Van Anh Nguyen
*Center for Studies and
Applied Sciences in
Gender, Family, Women
and Adolescents
(CSAGA)*

Viet Thuy Trang Nguyen
*Honor Partnership Law
Company Limited*

Anh Phuong Pham
*Honor Partnership Law
Company Limited*

Kim Cuong Phung
*Honor Partnership Law
Company Limited*

Chau Huy Quang
*Rajah & Tann LCT
Lawyers*

Vy Tran
RHTLaw Vietnam

Thu Hang Vu
*Honor Partnership Law
Company Limited*

Benjamin Yap
RHTLaw Vietnam

**WEST BANK AND
GAZA**

Mohammed
AbuHashem

Ashraf Abu Hayyeh
Al-Haq

Saad Al Kayed
Equity Legal Group

Mueen Barghouthi
*Institute of Law of
Berzeit University*

Basem Bushnaq

Mohammed El Nahhal
*Islamic University of
Gaza*

Reem Frainah
*Aisha-Association
for Women and Child
Protection*

Muayad Hattab
An-Najah University

Wissam Jouda

Lubna Katbeh
Equity Legal Group

Ahmed Mustafa Toutah
*Islamic University of
Gaza*

YEMEN, REP.

Aromaimh Mostafa
Abdulgalil
*Office of Agents Law
Firm, Consulting and
Legal*

Ameen Abdulraqueeb
*Office of Agents Law
Firm, Consulting and
Legal*

Alshrihy Mohammad
Abdulrazaq
*Office of Agents Law
Firm, Consulting and
Legal*

Khaled Al-Buraihi
*Khaled Al-Buraihi for
Advocacy & Legal
Services*

Emad Algarash
*Yemen Organization for
Defending Rights and
Democratic Freedoms*

Maher Al-Sablani
Maher

Nabil Alshami
*The Counselor for Legal
Services*

ZAMBIA

Jay Mutale Chisanga
*Corpus Legal
Practitioners*

Chanda Chungu
*Mulenga Mundashi
Kasonde Legal
Practitioners*

Nancy Kawandami
*Zambia Federation of
Associations of Women
in Business*

Diane Kayumba

Mwape Machaya
*Equitas Legal
Practitioners*

Mandy Manda
*National Legal Aid Clinic
for Women*

Charles Mkokweza
*Corpus Legal
Practitioners*

Sankanarji T. Mubanga
*Equitas Legal
Practitioners*

Chiluba Mumba
*Equitas Legal
Practitioners*

Gilbert Mwanza
*Transparency
International Zambia*

Yvonne Kanenga Nawila
*Ministry of Labor and
Social Security*

Patricia Mphanza Ndhlovu
YWCA

Emma Phiri
*Ministry of National
Planning*

Chipili Salati
*Mulenga Mundashi
Kasonde Legal
Practitioners*

Eugene Walusiku
*Ministry of Labor and
Social Security*

ZIMBABWE

Chipo Bhebe
Coghlan, Welsh & Guest

Precious Chakasikwa
Kantor and Immerman

Aulline Chapisa
Friedrich Ebert Stiftung

Sylvia Chirawu-Mugomba

Nqobile Munzara

Phillipa Phillips
Phillips Law Zimbabwe

Thabiso Rumbidzai Phiri
Kantor and Immerman

Precious Taru
Musasa Project

ECO-AUDIT

Environmental Benefits Statement

The World Bank Group is committed to reducing its environmental footprint. In support of this commitment, we leverage electronic publishing options and print-on-demand technology, which is located in regional hubs worldwide. Together, these initiatives enable print runs to be lowered and shipping distances decreased, resulting in reduced paper consumption, chemical use, greenhouse gas emissions, and waste.

We follow the recommended standards for paper use set by the Green Press Initiative. The majority of our books are printed on Forest Stewardship Council (FSC)–certified paper, with nearly all containing 50–100 percent recycled content. The recycled fiber in our book paper is either unbleached or bleached using totally chlorine-free (TCF), processed chlorine-free (PCF), or enhanced elemental chlorine-free (EECF) processes.

More information about the Bank’s environmental philosophy can be found at <http://www.worldbank.org/corporateresponsibility>.



Women, Business and the Law 2021 is the seventh in a series of annual studies measuring the laws and regulations that affect women's economic opportunity in 190 economies. The project presents eight indicators structured around women's interactions with the law as they move through their lives and careers: Mobility, Workplace, Pay, Marriage, Parenthood, Entrepreneurship, Assets, and Pension.

This year's report updates all indicators as of October 1, 2020 and builds evidence of the links between legal gender equality and women's economic inclusion. By examining the economic decisions women make throughout their working lives, as well as the pace of reform over the past 50 years, *Women, Business and the Law 2021* makes an important contribution to research and policy discussions about the state of women's economic empowerment. Prepared during a global pandemic that threatens progress toward gender equality, this edition also includes important findings on government responses to COVID-19 and pilot research related to childcare and women's access to justice.

WBL.WORLDBANK.ORG